Original Article

Role of Diagnostic Laparoscopic for Conversion to Therapeutic Laparotomy in Blunt Abdominal Trauma

Laparoscopic for **Conversion to Therapeutic** Laparotomy

Syed Haider Abbas¹, Muhammad Akram Dogar ², Muhammad Kareem Ullah¹, Saeed Mahmood¹, Adnan Sadiq Butt¹, and Ehtisham Ahmed Khan¹

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the frequency of conversion to the aparotomy after diagnostic laparoscopic in blunt abdominal trauma

Study Design: Cross-sectional study

Place and Duration of Study: This study was conducted at the Department of Surgery, Lahore General Hospital, Lahore from 1st January 2019 to 30th June 2019.

Materials and Methods: Ninety five patients were included. Then patients will undergo laparoscopic surgery under general anaesthesia. During laparoscopy, patients were evaluated if there was abdominal unstable wound or internal organ bleeding and cannot be managed through laparoscopy, and then conversion to therapeutic laparotomy was labelled.

Results: The mean age of patients was 36.33±13.93 yeas. There were 79 (83.2%) males and 16 (16.8%) females in our study. The major cause of trauma was road traffic accident (30.5%) followed by fall from height (24.2%), strike something hard (23.2%) and fight (22.1%). Eighteen (18.95%) patients needed for conversion to open laparotomy after laparoscopic procedure.

Conclusion: The frequency of need of conversion to open surgery was found to be low in our study. Now, we have got the local evidence and now we recommend the laparoscopy to be performed in all BAT cases, keeping in mind the low rate of conversion to open surgery.

Key Words: Blunt abdominal trauma, Laparoscopy, Laparotomy, Conversion

Citation of article: Abbas SH, Dogar MA, Kareemullah M, Mahmood S, Butt AS, Khan EA. Role of Diagnostic Laparoscopic for Conversion to Therapeutic Laparotomy in Blunt Abdominal Trauma. Med Forum 2019; 30(11):113-116.

INTRODUCTION

Blunt abdominal trauma (BAT), most commonly resulting from motor vehicle accidents and falls, is a mechanism of injury frequently encountered by both emergency physicians and trauma surgeons. Unlike penetrating abdominal injuries, unclear signs of traumatic injuries after BAT often leaves many treatment decisions to the acumen of the clinician.^{1,2} abdominal traumas is the leading causes of mortality and common presentation in an emergency setting. Mortality rate related to this trauma is enormous.

So rapid diagnosis has very much importance for making appropriate therapeutic decisions, which could

Correspondence: Dr. Sved Haider Abbas, Registrar Department of Surgery, Lahore General Hospital Lahore. Contact No: 0333 3625052

Email: sshhah2000@yahoo.com

August, 2019 Received: September, 2019 Accepted: November, 2019 Printed:

reduce the incidence of trauma-related mortality rate and can saves lives³ Major abdominal trauma has significant threat to the health of patient. World Health Organization has an estimation that abdominal traumarelated mortality rate is about 9% all over the world.⁴ In England and Wales, around 12,500 individuals die every year as a consequence of trauma, which makes this a leading cause of morality in young adults as well as in children.5

In the last 30 years, penetrating abdominal injuries have been managed by operative exploration irrespective of the hemodynamic condition of the patient. The majority of clinicians would choose the surgical option for the management patients with hemodynamic instability.6-8

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This cross sectional study was carried out at Department of Surgery, Lahore General Hospital Lahore from 1stJanuary 2019 to 30thJune 2019 and comprised 95 cases. Patients of age 16-60 years of either gender, presenting with blunt abdominal trauma were included. Patients who have diabetes mellitus, renal disease, bleeding disorders, open abdominal wound, intestinal perforation, free gas under the

^{1.} Department of Surgery, General Hospital Lahore.

^{2.} Department of Surgery, Central Park Medical College Lahore.

diaphragm, penetrating abdominal wounds, non-traumatic abdominal emergencies and iatrogenic injuries were excluded. Patients were undergone laparoscopic surgery under general anesthesia. All surgeries were done by a consultant surgeon with assistance of researcher. Initially, all patients were resuscitated with intravenous fluids, analgesics, intravenous antibiotics and blood transfusion, if required. During laparoscopy, patients were evaluated if there was abdominal unstable wound or internal organ bleeding and cannot be managed through laparoscopy and then conversion to therapeutic laparotomy was labeled. Data was analyzed by using SPSS-21.

RESULTS

The mean age of patients was 36.33 ± 13.93 years. There were 79 (83.2%) males and 16 (16.8%) females in our study. There were 41 (43.2%) patients who had ASA I before undergoing laparoscopy while 54 (56.8%) had ASA III. The major cause of trauma was road traffic accident (30.5%) followed by fall from height (24.2%), strike something hard (23.2%) and fight (22.1%) (Table 1).

Table No.1: Demographic information of the

patients (n-95) % Variable No. Age (years) 36.33±13.93 Gender Male 79 83.2 Female 16 16.8 Cause of trauma Fight 21 22.1 Road traffic accident 29 30.5 Strike something hard 22 23.2 23 24.2 Fall from height Duration of BAT (hours) 3.54±1.77

Table No.2: Vitals at presentation

Body temperature (°F)	99.73±0.73	
Blood Pressure (mmHg)	134.37±15.83	
Respiratory Rate (bpm)	16.51±3.16	
Pulse (bpm)	78.80±11.81	

Table No.3: Need to conversion to therapeutic laparotomy

Conversion to therapeutic laparotomy	No.	%
Yes	18	18.95
No	77	81.05

At time of presentation, the mean body temperature was 99.73±0.73°F, mean blood pressure was 134.37±15.83mmHg, mean respiratory rate was 16.51±3.16bpm and mean pulse rate was 78.80±11.81bpm (Table2).

Eighteen (18.95%) needed for conversion to open laparotomy after laparoscopic procedure and 77 (81.05%) not need for conversion to open laparotomy after laparoscopic procedure (Table 3)

DISCUSSION

The management of BAT has been improved intensely. Though laparotomy is a standard-of-care in patients who are hemodynamic ally unstable, while patients who are hemodynamic ally stable are generally managed through non-operative way, adding adjuncts like interventional radiology. Nevertheless, whilenonoperative wayhas proved good results in some injuries involving solid organs. But few other lesions, including diaphragm, hollow viscous and mesentery, are not eligible for such approach and necessitates a surgical intervention. Sometimes, the choice between surgical and conservative management is difficult. This is consistent with cases with injury to the diaphragm or intestines, which cannot be detected by imaging techniques. Thus, this gives rise to a need for other modalities to aid the diagnosis and even management of such cases.8,10

Laparoscopy is applied for better diagnosis and therapeutic purpose in hemodynamic ally stable patients presented with abdominal trauma. 11 The characteristic of laparoscopy in the diagnosis and also in therapeutic interventions has been improved significantly in last decades. In abdominal trauma, laparoscopy has been considered as a feasible substitute for diagnosis of intraabdominal injuries following penetrating & blunt abdominal trauma. The number of negative or nontherapeutic laparotomies executed earlier, has been reduced after the initiation of laparoscopy use for the diagnosis and treatment.12 The surgical outcome of laparoscopy has greatly improvement in several parts of abdominal surgery. But there are several apprehensions of laparoscopy which limit it application in such cases. 13,14

Laparoscopy is a safe and precise in abdominal injuries. The use of laparoscopy has several benefits including reduced hospital stay, less post-operative wound infections or ileus complications, and also no missed injuries. 15,16 In a meta-analysis, composed of 11 reports with around 355 patients of blunt abdominal trauma, the sensitivity and specificity of laparoscopy were 94% & 98%, respectively with accuracy rate of 97% in estimating the ultimate requisite for therapeutic laparotomy. Though fairly safe and accurate (morbidity rate ~ 1.2%), the interventional nature, cost of procedure and time consumption nature of laparoscopy limit its use in routine in abdominal trauma cases. 6,17,18 Laparoscopy can considerably reduce the further surgical interventions. It is very useful in accurate diagnoses and has better therapeutic potential. If findings of laparoscopy found negative, it may also lessen the quantity of unnecessary laparotomies.

Though few trials showed favorable results on laparoscopy use in cases of blunt abdominal trauma, randomized controlled trials are missing. Laparoscopy necessitates appropriate training and practice and also adequate staffing and equipment. One study found that laparoscopy has 100% therapeutic role in cases of BAT and no case require conversion to open/therapeutic laparotomy. While another study found that laparoscopy has 93.75% therapeutic role in cases of BAT and 6.25% cases required conversion to open/therapeutic laparotomy. One more study showed three times conversion to laparotomy after laparoscopy in BAT cases i.e. 18%. One

In another study, 35 cases of blunt abdominal trauma were recruited and planned to undergo laparoscopy. Approximately 27 (77%) cases were managed by using laparoscopy. This included 43% cases who underwent for both; diagnostic and therapeutic purpose while 34% cases only had diagnostic purpose. Eight (23%) cases needed to be converted to open surgery. This was because of active bleeding and complex abdominal injuries.²² Lin et al²³ observed 8.5% rate of need to be converted to open surgery after laparoscopy in cases of blunt abdominal trauma. With the advancement in radiological imaging and treatment, like trans-arterial embolization, 24,25 non-surgical management way has become a treatment of choice for several hemodynamic ally stable cases of blunt abdominal trauma. 23,26 Alternatively, laparotomy done in emergency setting can be life saving for unstable cases like patients in shock and not responding to the fluid resuscitation. However, laparoscopy could be beneficial in such situations, for example for cases of isolated intraabdominal fluid accumulation of undefined origin detected on computed tomography scans.²⁶.

CONCLUSION

The frequency of need of conversion to open surgery was found to be low in our study. Now, we have got the local evidence and now we recommend the laparoscopy to be performed in all BAT cases, keeping in mind the low rate of conversion to open surgery.

Author's Contribution:

Concept & Design of Study: Syed Haider Abbas

Drafting: Muhammad Akram Dogar, Muhammad

Kareem Ullah

Data Analysis: Saeed Mahmood, Adnan

Sadiq Butt, Ehtisham Ahmed Khan

Revisiting Critically: Syed Haider Abbas,

Muhammad Akram

Dogar

Final Approval of version: Syed Haider Abbas

Conflict of Interest: The study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

REFERENCES

- Heron M, Smith B. Deaths: leading causes for 2003. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Center for Health Statistics 2016 cited 2018; Available from: http://www.cdc.gov/ nchs/products/pubs/pubd/hestats/leadingdeaths03/l eadingdeaths03.htm#fig1
- Neeki MM, Hendy D, Dong F, Toy J, Jones K, Kuhnen K, et al. Correlating abdominal pain and intra-abdominal injury in patients with blunt abdominal trauma. Trauma Surg Amp Acute Care Open 2017;2(1).
- 3. Gong J, Mei D, Yang M, Xu J, Zhou Y. Emergency CT of blunt abdominal trauma: experience from a large urban hospital in Southern China. Quantita Imag Med Surg 2017;7(4):461-8.
- 4. World Health Organization. Injuries and violence: the facts 2014: World Health Organization; 2014
- Pande R, Saratzis A, Winter Beatty J, Doran C, Kirby R, Harmston C. Contemporary characteristics of blunt abdominal trauma in a regional series from the UK. Ann Royal Coll Surg Engl 2017;99(1):82-7
- 6. Amin P, Magnotti L, Fabian T, Croce M. The role of laparoscopy in abdominal trauma. Trauma 2011;13(2):137-43
- 7. O'Malley E, Boyle E, O'Callaghan A, Coffey JC, Walsh SR. Role of laparoscopy in penetrating abdominal trauma: a systematic review. World J Surg 2013;37(1):113-22
- 8. Uranüs S, Dorr K. Laparoscopy in abdominal trauma. Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg 2010;36(1): 19-24.
- 9. Justin V, Fingerhut A, Uranues S. Laparoscopy in Blunt Abdominal Trauma: for Whom? When? and Why? Curr Trauma Reports 2017;3(1):43-50.
- Ozkan OV, Justin V, Fingerhut A, Uranues S. Laparoscopy in abdominal trauma. Curr Trauma Reports 2016:2(4):238-46
- 11. Lin H-F, Chen Y-D, Chen S-C. Value of diagnostic and therapeutic laparoscopy for patients with blunt abdominal trauma: A 10-year medical center experience. PloS one 2018;13(2):e0193379
- 12. Mohamed M, Mansy W, Zakaria Y. Use of laparoscopy in the management of abdominal trauma: a center experience. Egyptian J Surg 2015;34(1):11.
- 13. Lim KH, Chung BS, Kim JY, Kim SS. Laparoscopic surgery in abdominal trauma: a single center review of a 7-year experience. World J Emerg Surg 2015;10:16.
- 14. O'Malley E, Boyle E, O'Callaghan A, Coffey JC, Walsh SR. Role of laparoscopy in penetrating

- abdominal trauma: a systematic review. World J Surg 2013;37(1):113-22.
- 15. Chestovich PJ, Browder TD, Morrissey SL, Fraser DR, Ingalls NK, Fildes JJ. Minimally invasive is maximally effective: Diagnostic and therapeutic laparoscopy for penetrating abdominal injuries. J Trauma Acute Care Surg 2015; 78(6):1076-83.
- Zafar SN, Onwugbufor MT, Hughes K, Greene WR, Cornwell EE, 3rd, Fullum TM, et al. Laparoscopic surgery for trauma: the realm of therapeutic management. Am J Surg 2015;209(4): 627-32.
- 17. Nicolau A. Is laparoscopy still needed in blunt abdominal trauma. Chirurgia (Bucur) 2011;106(1): 59-66.
- 18. El-Bendary YB, Al-Adawi J, Al-Qadhi H. The use of laparoscopy in the management of trauma patients: brief review. Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J 2016;16(1):e9.
- 19. Nnamonu MI. Laparoscopy in Blunt Abdominal Trauma. World J Lap Surg 2017;10(2):66-8
- 20. Memon MR, Sanghi AG, Abbasi SA, Memon AA. Role of laparoscopy in blunt abdominal trauma. Rawal Med J 2013;38(1):40-3
- 21. Lim KH, Chung BS, Kim JY, Kim SS. Laparoscopic surgery in abdominal trauma: a

- single center review of a 7-year experience. World J Emerg Surg 2015;10(1):16.
- 22. Koto M, Mosai F, Matsevych O. The role of laparoscopy in blunt abdominal trauma: diagnostic, therapeutic or both? South African journal of surgery Suid-Afrikaanse tydskrif vir chirurgie 2017;55(2):60.
- 23. Lin HF, Chen YD, Lin KL, Wu MC, Wu CY, Chen SC. Laparoscopy decreases the laparotomy rate for hemodynamically stable patients with blunt hollow viscus and mesenteric injuries. Am J Surg 2015; 210(2):326-33.
- Peitzman AB, Heil B, Rivera L, Federle MB, Harbrecht BG, Clancy KD, et al. Blunt splenic injury in adults: Multi-institutional Study of the Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma. J Trauma 2000;49(2):177-87.
- Velmahos GC, Toutouzas KG, Radin R, Chan L, Demetriades D. Nonoperative treatment of blunt injury to solid abdominal organs: a prospective study. Arch Surg (Chicago, Ill: 1960) 2003; 138(8):844-51.
- Lee PC, Lo C, Wu JM, Lin KL, Lin HF, Ko WJ. Laparoscopy decreases the laparotomy rate in hemodynamically stable patients with blunt Abdominal trauma. Surg Innov 2014;21(2): 155-65.