

# Determine the Outcomes of Bailout Thrombectomy in Patients Undergoing Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention

Farhan Faisal<sup>1</sup>, Dost Muhammad<sup>2</sup>, Riaz-ud-Din<sup>1</sup>, Abdul Ghaffar<sup>3</sup> and Fazal-ur-Rehman<sup>3</sup>

## ABSTRACT

**Objective:** To determine the clinical outcomes of patients who received bailout thrombectomy for primary percutaneous coronary intervention.

**Study Design:** Observational / cross-sectional study.

**Place and Duration of Study:** This study was conducted at the Department of Cardiology, Bolan Medical Complex Hospital Quetta from July 2018 to December 2018.

**Materials and Methods:** Two hundred patients of both genders undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention were analyzed in this study. All patients were divided into two groups. Group A comprised 100 patients and received PPCI with bailout thrombectomy and Group B comprised 100 patients and received primary percutaneous coronary intervention alone. Outcomes such as mortality, re-infarction, heart failure, cardiogenic shock, renal impairment, excess bleeding, post procedure stroke and hospital stay were examined and compare between both groups.

**Results:** In Group A, there were 53% males and 47% females with mean age  $56.45 \pm 10.88$  years. In Group B 55% were males and 45% were females with mean age  $58.35 \pm 9.23$  years. In Groups A there were more diabetic patients 45% than Group B 32% (p-value 0.005), Group B had more smokers 60%. There was a significant difference between group A and B regarding family history of coronary artery disease 35% vs 20% (p=0.003). In Group A, 3% patients were died and in Group B 2% patients were died with no significant difference. Group A patients had more renal impairment 9% vs 5% and stroke 3% vs 1% than Group B. Hospital stay was high in Group A patients  $7.12 \pm 2.05$  vs  $5.34 \pm 1.02$  days.

**Conclusion:** Patients received bailout thrombectomy for percutaneous coronary intervention had high rate of comorbidities. There was no significant difference in term of mortality between both groups. However, patients with bailout thrombectomy had more renal impairment and post-procedure stroke.

**Key Words:** ST-segment elevated myocardial infarction, Bailout thrombectomy, PPCI, Outcomes

**Citation of articles:** Faisal F, Muhammad D, Din R, Ghaffar A, Rehman R. Determine the Outcomes of Bailout Thrombectomy in Patients Undergoing Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. Med Forum 2019;30(9):24-27.

## INTRODUCTION

Globally, acute myocardial infarction is one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality in cardiac patients and acute coronary artery thrombosis is the major cause of ST-segment elevated myocardial

infarction.<sup>1</sup>In these patients aspiration thrombectomy for PPCI considered a useful management.<sup>1,2</sup> Aspiration thrombectomy is mostly not useful procedure in patients who presented in the early hours after the event occur. Many of studies reported that aspiration thrombectomy had major adverse outcomes in patients presented in early hours.<sup>3</sup> Patients presented late after the event occur the procedure aspiration thrombectomy considered a procedure of choice with better outcomes.<sup>3,4</sup>

After the acute myocardial infarction myocardial blush grade and TIMI flow is a main predictor of mortality and morbidity. Routine aspiration thrombectomy during percutaneous coronary intervention in STEMI patients is associated to high rate of stroke.<sup>4-6</sup>Therefore, routine aspiration thrombus is considered not useful procedure in patients with ST-segment elevation.<sup>7</sup> Aspiration thrombus is very effective with very low rate of mortality and cardiovascular morbidity in patients having TIMI thrombus grade >3. Thus, in this condition bailout thrombectomy considered an effective and useful procedure. Many of studies demonstrated that bailout

<sup>1</sup>. Department of Cardiology, Bolan Medical Complex Hospital Quetta.

<sup>1</sup>. Department of Cardiology, Postgraduate Medical Institute Quetta.

<sup>1</sup>. Department of Cardiology, Bolan University of Medical and Health Science Quetta.

Correspondence: Dr. Farhan Faisal, Senior Medical Officer, Department of Cardiology, Bolan Medical Complex Hospital Quetta.

Contact No: 0346-8322583

Email: farhanfaisal76@yahoo.com

Received: May, 2019

Accepted: August, 2019

Printed: September, 2019

thrombectomy for percutaneous coronary intervention had low mortality rate in patients having thrombus grade>3.<sup>7-9</sup>

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This cross-sectional study was conducted at Department of Cardiology, Bolan Medical Complex Hospital Quetta from 1<sup>st</sup> July 2018 to 31<sup>st</sup> December 2018. Two hundred patients of both genders with ages above 35 years presented with acute ST-segment elevated myocardial infarction undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention were included. Patients detailed demographic including, age, gender, residence, comorbidities such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, smoking history and hypercholesterolemia, history of liver disease and renal disease were examined after taking informed written consent. Patients who were presented late after 24 hours with STEMI, those who required CABG and those with no consent were excluded. All the patients were divided in to two groups. Group A contains 100 patients and received PPCI with bailout thrombectomy and Group B consist of 100 patients and received primary percutaneous coronary intervention alone. Outcomes such as mortality, re-infarction, heart failure, cardiogenic shock, renal impairment, excess bleeding, post-procedure stroke and hospital stay were examined and compare between both groups. Data was analyzed by SPSS 20.0. Student t-test and chi-square test was used to compare the outcomes between both groups. Frequencies and percentages was obtained to analyze the values in tabulation form. P-value <0.05 was set as statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

In Group A there were 53% males and 47% females with mean age 56.45±10.88 years. In Group B 55% were males and 45% were females with mean age 58.35±9.23 years. There were 52% and 45% patients in Group A and B had rural residency while 48% and 55% patients in Group A and B had urban residency. In Group A 45% patients had diabetes mellitus while in Group B 32% had diabetes mellitus. Hypertension found in 35% in Group A and 32% in Group B. Hypercholesterolemia found in 60% and 59% in Group A and B. There were more smokers 60% in Group B than 38% in Group A patients. Liver disease found in 10% and 21% patients in Group A and B. History of renal disease found in 22% and 10% patients in Group A and B. There were no significant difference regarding previous history of coronary artery disease, myocardial infarction and percutaneous coronary intervention (p=>0.05). There was a significant difference between group A and B regarding family history of coronary artery disease 35% vs 20% (p=0.003) (Table 1). According to the clinical presentation we found 12% in Group A and 8% patients in Group B had cardiogenic

shock. 18% and 15% in Group A and B had Killip class >2 heart failure, 35% patients in Group A had early presentation within 12 hours while 65% patients had late presentation 12 to 24 hours. In Group B 33% patients had early and 67% patients had late presentation. No significant difference between both groups. 54% and 59% patients had anterior myocardial infarction and 36% and 27% patients had inferior myocardial infarction in Group A and B (Table 2).

**Table No.1: Demographic information of the patients**

Variable	Group A	Group B	P value
Age (years)	56.45±10.88	58.35±9.23	0.062
<b>Gender</b>			
Male	53 (53%)	55 (55%)	>0.05
Female	47 (47%)	45 (45%)	>0.05
<b>Residence</b>			
Rural	52 (52%)	45 (45%)	>0.05
Urban	48 (48%)	55 (55%)	>0.05
<b>Comorbidities</b>			
Diabetes	45 (45%)	32 (32%)	<0.05
Hypertension	35 (35%)	32 (32%)	>0.05
Hypercholesterol emia	60 (60%)	59 (59%)	>0.05
History of Smoking	38 (38%)	60 (60%)	0.001
History of CLD	10 (10%)	21 (21%)	0.024
History of renal disease	22 (22%)	10 (10%)	0.021
<b>Cardiac Factors</b>			
History of CAD	8 (8%)	7 (7%)	>0.05
History MI	9 (9%)	8 (8%)	>0.05
History of PCI	10 (10%)	11 (11%)	>0.05
<b>Family history of CAD</b>			
Yes	35 (35%)	20 (20%)	0.003
No	65 (65%)	80 (80%)	-

**Table No.2: Clinical findings between both groups**

Variables	Group A	Group B	P-value
Cardiogenic Shock	12 (12%)	8 (8%)	0.031
Class>2 HF	18 (18%)	15 (15%)	0.049
Early Presentation	35 (35%)	33 (33%)	>0.05
Late Presentation	65 (65%)	67 (67%)	>0.05
<b>Myocardial Infarction</b>			
Anterior	54 (54%)	59 (59%)	0.046
Inferior	36 (36%)	27 (27%)	0.033

In Group A 3% patients were died and in Group B 2% patients were died with no significant difference. Group A patients had more renal impairment 9% vs 5% and stroke 3% vs 1% than Group B. In Group A and B 5% and 4% patients had NYHA class IV heart failure. In Group A 3% patients found to have excess bleeding and in Group B 2% patients had excess bleeding. Re-

infarction found in 4% and 2% patients in Group A and B. Hospital stay was high in Group A patients 7.12±2.05 vs 5.34±1.02 days (Table 3)

**Table No.3: Outcomes of procedure between both groups**

Outcome	Group A	Group B	P-value
Died	3 (3%)	2 (2%)	>0.05
Renal Imp	9 (9%)	5 (5%)	0.04
Stroke	3 (3%)	1 (1%)	0.048
HF	5 (5%)	4 (4%)	>0.05
Excess Bleeding	3 (3%)	2 (2%)	>0.05
Re-infarction	4 (4%)	2 (2%)	0.049
Hospital stay (days)	7.12±2.05	5.34±1.02	0.02

## DISCUSSION

Acute myocardial infarction is one of the most common cardiac disorders. In USA about 0.6 million people found to have myocardial infarction categorized as STEMI.<sup>10</sup> Every year 0.12 million people have undergo percutaneous coronary intervention.<sup>11</sup> Present study was conducted aimed to examine the outcomes of percutaneous coronary intervention with bailout thrombectomy in patients presented with ST-segment elevated myocardial infarction. In this study we analyzed 200 patients whom were undergoing PPCI. We divided patients into two groups Group A and B. Group A consist of those patients who received bailout thrombectomy for PCI and Group B received PCI alone. We found that there were no significant difference regarding gender and age wise distribution between both groups. These results were similar to some other studies.<sup>12,13</sup>

In present study we found that in Group A 45% patients had diabetes mellitus while in Group B 32% had diabetes mellitus. Hypertension found in 35% in Group A and 32% in Group B. Hypercholesterolemia found in 60% and 59% in Group A and B. There were more smokers 60% in Group B than 38% in Group A patients. These results were comparable to some other studies.<sup>14,15</sup> In this study we found a significant difference between group A and B regarding family history of coronary artery disease 35% versus 20% (p=0.003). A study conducted by Alsaïdy<sup>16</sup> reported no difference in term of family history of coronary artery disease.

In present study Group A patients had more cardiogenic shock and killip class >2 heart failure than the patients treated PCI alone. These results were similar to other study in which patients who received bailout thrombectomy for PPCI had increase rate of cardiogenic shock.<sup>17</sup>

In our study we found In Group A 3% patients were died and in Group B 2% patients were died with no significant difference. These results were similar to some other studies in which no major difference was

found in term of mortality between patients who received aspiration thrombectomy and patients who received PCI alone.<sup>18</sup> We found that group A patients had more renal impairment 9% vs 5% and stroke 3% vs 1% than Group B. In Group A and B 5% and 4% patients had NYHA class IV heart failure. In Group A 3% patients found to have excess bleeding and in Group B 2% patients had excess bleeding. Re-infarction found in 4% and 2% patients in Group A and B. Hospital stay was high in Group A patients 7.12±2.05 vs 5.34±1.02 days. These results were comparable to international literature.<sup>19</sup>

## CONCLUSION

Patients received bailout thrombectomy for percutaneous coronary intervention had high rate of comorbidities. There was no significant difference in term of mortality between both groups. However, patients with bailout thrombectomy had more renal impairment and post-procedure stroke. More patients had major bleeding and length of hospital stay was also high in patients who received bailout thrombectomy.

### Author's Contribution:

Concept & Design of Study: Farhan Faisal  
 Drafting: Dost Muhammad, Riaz-ud-Din  
 Data Analysis: Abdul Ghaffar, Fazal-ur-Rehman  
 Revisiting Critically: Farhan Faisal  
 Final Approval of version: Farhan Faisal

**Conflict of Interest:** The study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

## REFERENCES

1. Nahlawi G, Brener SJ. Thrombus aspiration in acute myocardial infarction. *Interv Cardiol* 2013;5(6):673-81.
2. Desch S, Stiermaier T, De Waha S, Lurz P, Gutberlet M, Sandri M, et al. Thrombus aspiration in patients with ST segment elevation myocardial infarction presenting late after symptom onset. *J Am Coll Cardiol Interv* 2016;9(2):113-22.
3. Ikari Y, Sakurada M, Kozuma K, Kawano S, Katsuki T, Kimura K, et al. Upfront thrombus aspiration in primary coronary intervention for patients with ST-segment elevation acute myocardial infarction: report of the VAMPIRE (Vacuum Aspiration Thrombus Removal) trial. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2008;1(4):424-31.
4. Gibson CM, Cannon CP, Murphy SA, Ryan KA, Mesley R, Marble SJ, et al. Relationship of TIMI myocardial perfusion grade to mortality after administration of thrombolytic drugs. *Circulation* 2000;101(2):125-30.

5. Henriques JP, Zijlstra F, van't Hof AW, de Boer MJ, Dambrink JH, Gosselink M, et al. Angiographic assessment of reperfusion in acute myocardial infarction by myocardial blush grade. *Circulation* 2003;107(16):2115-9.
6. van't Hof AW, Liem A, Suryapranata H, Hoorntje JC, de Boer MJ, Zijlstra F. Angiographic assessment of myocardial reperfusion in patients treated with primary angioplasty for acute myocardial infarction: myocardial blush grade. Zwolle Myocardial Infarction Study Group. *Circulation* 1998;97(23):2302-6.
7. Cairns JA, Yusuf S, Rokoss MJ, Gao P, Meeks B, et al. Outcomes after thrombus aspiration for ST elevation myocardial infarction: 1-year follow-up of the prospective randomized trial. *Lancet* 2016;387(10014):127-35.
8. Ibanez B, James S, Agewall S, Antunes MJ, Bucciarelli-Ducci C, Bueno H, et al. 2017 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation: The Task Force for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J* 2018;39(2):119-77.
9. National Cardiovascular Data Registry. NCDR CathPCI Registry. Institutional Outcomes Report 2015 Q2. National Outcomes Report 999997. NCDR CathPCI Registry National Outcomes Report, 1-72. 2016.
10. Mozaffarian D, Benjamin EJ, Go AS, et al; American Heart Association Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. Heart disease and stroke statistics - 2016 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2016;133(4): e38-e360.
11. Vecchio S, Varani E, Chechi T, et al. Coronary thrombus in patients undergoing primary PCI for STEMI. *World J Cardiol* 2014;6(6):381-92.
12. Cairns JA, Yusuf S, Meeks B, Pogue J, RokossMJ, et al. Randomized trial of primary PCI with or without routine manual thrombectomy. *N Engl J Med* 2015;372(15):1389-98.
13. Sharma V, Jolly SS, Hamid T, Sharma D, Chiha J, Chan W, et al. Myocardial blush and microvascular reperfusion following manual thrombectomy during percutaneous coronary intervention for ST elevation myocardial infarction: insights from the total trial. *Eur Heart J* 2016;37:1891-1898.
14. Sahin M, Acar G, Kalkan ME, Acar RD, Kilicgedik A, Teymen B, et al. Thrombus aspiration during primary percutaneous coronary intervention associated with reduced platelet activation. *Saudi Med J* 2015;36:935-9.
15. Alsaidy MA. Study the baseline characteristics, angiographic variables and clinical outcomes of patients going for primary PCI(PPCI) who needed bailout thrombectomy and compare them to patients going for (PPCI) alone. *Pak J Heart* 2018; 51(3): 189-95.
16. Lee WC, Fang CY, Chen HC, Hsueh SK, Chen CJ, Yang CH, et al. Aspiration thrombectomy and drug-eluting stent implantation decrease the occurrence of angina pectoris one year after acute myocardial infarction. *Medicine* 2016;95:e3426.
17. Geng T, Zhang JG, Song ZY, Dai SP, Luo Y, Xu ZS. Aspiration thrombectomy and intracoronary tirofiban in st-segment elevation myocardial infarction: combination treatment for patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *Herz* 2016;41:732-40.
18. Lagerqvist B, Olivecrona GK, Omerovic E, Gudnason T, Maeng M, et al. Thrombus aspiration during ST segment elevation myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med* 2013;369(17):1587-97.
19. Olivecrona GK, Lagerqvist B, Fröbert O, Gudnason T, Maeng M, Råmunddal T, et al. Impact of thrombus aspiration during ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a six month composite endpoint and risk of stroke analyses of the TASTE trial. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord* 2016;16:62.