Original Article

Crawford Tube Stenting after Failed Medical Treatment and Failed Probing in Patients with Congenital Nasolacrimal Duct Obstruction

Crawford Tube Stenting with Congenital Nasolacrimal Duct Obstruction

Anum Fatima¹, Muhammad Faaz Malik¹, Attaullah Shah Bukhari², Faiza Rameez¹, Saira Bano¹ and Kaleemullah¹

ABSTRACT

Objective: Assess reduction in post-operative symptoms after Crawford tube stenting in patients with congenital nasolacrimal duct obstruction (CNLD)

Study Design: Experimental / longitudinal study

Place and Duration of Study: This study was conducted at the Isra postgraduate institute of Ophthalmology, Al-Ibrahim eye hospital Karachi from January-2020 to December-2020

Materials and Methods: After seeking approval, a longitudinal study was conducted in which 103 patients aged 1-5 years with CNLDO were selected. In all the patients probing was carried out. After probing, a Crawford tube stent was inserted through the upper and lower punctum with the probes removed and the free ends tied to the nose. Data was analyzed using SPSS Version 21.0. Post stratification, Chi-square/Fisher exact test will be applied to assess significant association between success of the treatment. P-value<0.05 will be considered significant.

Results: 103 patients were included in the study, 70 (68.6%) male and 33 (31.4%) female with the mean age of patients being 3.1±1.7 years. No significant difference was seen in the 1st week and 1st month regarding discharge and regurgitation test (P=0.310, P=0.555). However, significant difference was seen in the 3rd month after treatment

Conclusion: Crawford tube stenting is an effective procedure after medical and probing has failed in patients with congenital nasolacrimal duct obstruction.

Key Words: Crawford Tube Stenting, Failed Medical Treatment, Failed Probing, Patients.

Citation of article: Fatima A. Malik MF, Bukhari AS, Rameez F, Bano S, Kaleemullah, Crawford Tube Stenting after Failed Medical Treatment and Failed Probing in Patients with Congenital Nasolacrimal Duct Obstruction. Med Forum 2021;32(9):154-156.

INTRODUCTION

Nasolacrimal duct obstruction (NLDO) is an obstruction found in the lacrimal system that most commonly produces the symptom of Epiphora¹. NLD can be either an acquired condition, or it may be congenital in nature. Congenital Nasolacrimal Duct Obstruction (CNLDO) is a highly prevalent disorder found in the pediatric population. Studies of epidemiology have reported the incidence of CNLDO to be from $5-20\%^2$.

^{1.} Department of Ophthalmology, Isra School of Optometry, Karachi.

Correspondence: Dr. Anum Fatima, Senior Registrar of Ophthalmology, Isra School of Optometry, Karachi.

Contact No: 0335-7485133 Email: dr.anumlaghari@gmail.com

May, 2021 Received: July, 2021 Accepted: September, 2021 Printed:

probing⁶⁻⁷. If the above mentioned management techniques fail to resolve the obstruction and symptoms surgical procedures can then be carried out, with Dacryocystorhinostomy (DCR) being the most common procedure⁸⁻⁹. DCR can be carried out either externally or through an endoscopic approach. External DCR in children is reported to have an excellent success rate¹⁰. However, the success rate of Endoscopic DCR is also

said to be approaching that of External DCR¹¹. The method that surgeons adapt, either being Endoscopic or External DCR still remains controversial, with some being in favor of the external DCR approach, while

The reason for the development of CNLDO is a

mechanical obstruction in the nasolacrimal duct (NLD)

located near the valve of Hasner. Fortunately, the rate

of spontaneous resolution of CNLDO is said to be 90%

within the first year³. The clinical signs and symptoms

of the disease include excessive tearing, mucopurulent

or mucous discharge, along with a positive

regurgitation test⁴⁻⁵. If CNLDO doesn't resolve

spontaneously then medical management is necessary. Management of CNLDO includes conservative medical

management that can include the administering of antibiotics, massaging of the lacrimal duct, and even

^{2.} Department of Ophthalmology, Khairpur Medical College Khairpur Mir's.

some advocate for using endoscopic DCR which is a less invasive method with various different stent materials especially silicon¹²⁻¹⁴. Since CNLDO is a very common issue in across the world, and with data in the Pakistan being very anecdotal concerning silicon Crawford tube, a longitudinal study was conducted to assess Crawford tube stenting after failed medical treatment and failed probing in patients with congenital nasolacrimal duct obstruction.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This experimental / longitudinal study was conducted after being granted approval from the Institutional review board at Isra postgraduate institute of Ophthalmology, Al-Ibrahim eye hospital Karachi for six months from January-2020 to December-2020. The study took place for a period of 6 months in which 103 patients aged 1-5 years with CNLDO was included through Non-probability sampling technique. The children were included in the study after taking consent from their parents or guardian regarding their inclusion in the study. Once adequate consent was taken and the patients were eligible for the study, other factors of trauma to eye, use of any eye drops, and history of active infection of the eye were taken into account. Before Crawford tube was commence, a probing range from 0.70 to 1.10mm in diameter was conducted through the upper and lower punctum. After probing the Crawford tube stent consisting of two probes was passed through first the upper punctum and then through the lower punctum, the probes then are removed and the free ends tied to the nose. All of these procedures will be performed under strict supervision with experienced consultants working in the facility. After the completing of intubation, the patients will be called for follow up on the 1st week, 1st month, and the 3rd month. At every follow up visit, patient will be evaluated for mucopurulent discharge, Epiphora, and regurgitation test. A successful treatment will be considered once there is an absence of Epiphora and discharge. At the end of 3rd month, the Crawford tube will be removed. Data was analyzed using SPSS 21.0, Mean ± SD will be computed for age. Frequency and percentage will be computed for gender, epiphora, mucous discharge and regurgitation. Post stratification, Chi-square/Fisher exact test will be applied as appropriate to assess significant association between age, gender and success of the treatment. P-value<0.05 will be considered significant.

RESULTS

A total of 103 patients were included in the study.70 of these were male and 33 females. Mean age of the patients was 3.1 ± 1.7 years. On presentation 90 patients had discharged, 70 had epiphora and regurgitation was present in 88 patients.

Table No.1: Demographic data presented as frequency and percentage

Factors	Frequency (%)				
Gender					
Male	70 (68.6%)				
Female	33 (31.4%)				
Mean Age	3.1± 1.7 years				
Eye					
Right	73 70.6%)				
Left	30 (29.4%)				

Table No.2: Symptoms after 1st week of Surgery

Symptoms		Frequency		
	Yes	No	P-Value	
 Discharge 	71	32		
 Epiphora 	33	70	p=0.310	
 Regurgitation 	69	34		

Table No.3: Symptoms after 1st month of Surgery

Symptoms		Frequency		
	Yes	No	P-Value	
 Discharge 	61	42		
 Epiphora 	26	77	p=0.555	
 Regurgitation 	71	32		

Table No.4: Symptoms after 3rd month of Surgery

Symptoms	Free	Frequency		
	Yes	No		
 Discharge 	50	53		
 Epiphora 	13	90		
 Regurgitation 	53	50		

DISCUSSION

Patients are not immediately subjected to surgical intervention when dealing with CNLDO, instead observation is first considered. Later on, medical management and probing is initiated. Once we have exhausted all the options, only them surgical treatment might be considered necessary. In our study, a Crawford tube was used to treat CNLDO. Our study showed that in the 3rd month of post-operative follow up, a significant reduction in symptoms and obstruction was seen. This finding is a similar finding to another study, in which all patients showed a reduction in symptoms after undergoing double silicon intubation, stating that it is an alternative to DCR in children who had undergone conventional treatment for nasolacrimal obstruction¹⁵. The procedure that we performed was simple and very effective as it was able to relive the symptoms of CNLDO. Another study conducted by Memon et al (2012), in which olive tip silicon intubation was used to resolve CNLDO showed that an overall success rate of 89% was seen in children aged 12-48 months of age. 92% success rate in children under the age of 2 years (P<0.0001), and 90% in children aged 2-3 years (P<0.0001). The study

concluded that silicon intubation with an olive tip is highly successful as primary treatment ¹⁶. We removed the Crawford tube at the end of the 3rd month, another study has shown that a greater treatment outcome can occur if the silicon tube was placed in situ for more than 6 months ¹⁷. Using silicon tube is also beneficial because of the fact that it prevents the formation of granulation tissue which could have created obstruction around the newly created patent tract ¹⁸. Crawford tube can be used to only treat symptomatic Epiphora in patients in which there isn't any nasolacrimal duct obstruction, as demonstrated by Tong et al (2016) who showed that Crawford tube is simple, safe, and effective in relieving functional Epiphora ¹⁹.

CONCLUSION

Crawford tube stenting in patients with failed medical treatment and failed attempts of probing in patients with congenital nasolacrimal duct obstruction is an effect means of treatment. It is relatively simple and can be done with minimal complications. We recommend that this should be used more often. Larger and multicentric studies are needed for assessing results better.

Author's Contribution:

Data Analysis:

Concept & Design of Study: Anum Fatima

Drafting: Muhammad Faaz Malik,

Attaullah Shah Bukhari FAiza Rameez, Saira Bano, Kaleemullah

Revisiting Critically: Anum Fatima,

Muhammad Faaz Malik Anum Fatima

Conflict of Interest: The study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

REFERENCES

Final Approval of version:

- 1. Schnall BM. Pediatric nasolacrimal duct obstruction. Current opinion in ophthalmol 2013; 24(5):421-4.
- 2. Vagge A, Ferro Desideri L, Nucci P, Serafino M, Giannaccare G, Lembo A, et al. Congenital nasolacrimal duct obstruction (CNLDO): a review. Diseases 2018;6(4):96.
- 3. Paul TO. Medical management of congenital nasolacrimal duct obstruction. J Pediatr Ophthalmol Strabismus 1985; 22:68-70
- Olitsky SE. Update on congenital nasolacrimal duct obstruction. Int Ophthalmol Clin 2014; 54(3):1-7.
- 5. Petris C, Liu D. Probing for congenital nasolacrimal duct obstruction. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2017;(7).
- Andalib D, Gharabaghi D, Nabai R, Abbaszadeh M. Monocanalicular versus bicanalicular silicone

- intubation for congenital nasolacrimal duct obstruction. J AAPOS 2010;14:421–24.
- Aggarwal RK, Misson GP, Donaldson I, Willshaw HE. The role of nasolacrimal intubation in the management of childhood epiphora. Eye (Lond) 1993;7:760–2.
- 8. de Souza CE, Nisar J, de Souza RA. Pediatric endoscopic dacryocystorhinostomy. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2012;147:335–337.
- 9. Pediatric Eye Disease Investigator Group. Resolution of congenital nasolacrimal duct obstruction with nonsurgical management. Arch Ophthalmol 2012;130:730–34.
- 10. Leong SC, Karkos PD, Burgess P, Halliwell M, Hampal S. A comparison of outcomes between nonlaser endoscopic endonasal and external dacryocystorhinostomy: single-center experience and a review of British trends. Am J Otolaryngol 2010;31(1):32-7.
- VanderVeen DK, Jones DT, Tan H, Petersen RA. Endoscopic dacryocystorhinostomy in children. J Am Assoc Pediatr Ophthalmol Strabismus 2001; 5(3):143-7.
- 12. Fayers T, Laverde T, Tay E, Olver JM. Lacrimal surgery success after external dacryocystor-hinostomy: functional and anatomical results using strict outcome criteria. Ophthalmic Plastic Reconstructive Surg 2009;25(6):472-5.
- 13. Ozer S, Ozer PA. Endoscopic vs external dacryocystorhinostomy-comparison from the patients' aspect. Int J Ophthalmol 2014;7(4):689.
- 14. Cho WK, Paik JS, Yang SW. Surgical success rate comparison in functional nasolacrimal duct obstruction: simple lacrimal stent versus endoscopic versus external dacryocystorhinostomy. European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngol 2013; 270(2):535-40.
- 15. Mauffray RO, Hassan AS, Elner VM. Double silicone intubation as treatment for persistent congenital nasolacrimal duct obstruction. Ophthalmic Plastic Reconstructive Surg 2004; 20(1):44-9.
- 16. Memon MN, Siddiqui SN, Arshad M, Altaf S. Nasolacrimal duct obstruction in children: outcome of primary intubation. J Pak Med Assoc 2012; 62(12):1329-32.
- 17. Welsh MG, Katowitz JA. Timing of Silastic tubing removal after intubation for congenital nasolacrimal duct obstruction. Ophthalmic Plastic Reconstructive Surg 1989;5(1):43-8.
- 18. Attarzadeh A, Sajjadi M, Owji N, Talebnejad MR, Farvardin M, Attarzadeh A. Inferior turbinate fracture and congenital nasolacrimal duct obstruction. Eur J Ophthalmol 2006;16(4):520-4.
- 19. Tong NX, Zhao YY, Jin XM. Use of the Crawford tube for symptomatic epiphora without nasolacrimal obstruction. Int J Ophthalmol 2016; 9(2):282.