Original Article

Management of Iatrogenic Bile

Cholecystectomy

Duct Injuries Following Cholecystectomy

Syed Ahmed Sultan Ali, Bashir A Sheikh, Farah Idrees, Mubashir Iqbal, Muhammad Sohail Choudhary, Adnan Aziz and Naheed Sultan

ABSTRACT

Objective: The objective of the study is to analyzed Iatrogenic bile duct injuries (IBDI) following laparoscopic and open cholecystectomies and their management.

Study Design: Observational study

Place and Duration of Study: This study was conducted at Surgical Unit, Civil Hospital, Karachi from January 2009 to December 2015.

Materials and Methods: The study includes twenty three patients by convenient sampling technique. Patients with common bile duct (CBD) injury following open & laparoscopic cholecystectomy were included whereas patients with CBD injury following hepatobiliary pancreatic malignancy, gastrectomy, abdominal trauma, CBD exploration due to stone disease/stricture were excluded from the study.

Results: A total of twenty three patients, 20 (87%) female and 03(13%) male were included in the study after IBDI following laparoscopic/open cholecystectomy. Mean age was 42.65 (range: 25 (51) Emergency department admission was common mode of admission (15 patients, 65.2%). Whereas mean the to referral following injury was 4.87 (median 5) days. Roux-en—Y hepatojejunostomy were the common st surgical procedure performed 14(60.2%) patients. Major complications noted were stricture at anastomasis site (1 patient, 4.3%) and liver abscess (1 patient, 4.3%) but overall no mortality.

Conclusion: Early diagnosis and treatment of iatrogenic bile duct in ury result in reduce morbidity and mortality. **Key Words:** Iatrogenic bile duct injuries, Cholecystectomy, Biliary pass urgery

Citation of article: Ali SAS, Sheikh BA, Idrees F, Iqbal M. Choudhary MMS, Aziz A, Sultan N. Management of Iatrogenic Bile Duct Injuries Following Cholecystectomy Mel Forum 2017;28(1):14-17.

INTRODUCTION

One of the most common general surgical process. performed is Cholecystectomy.¹ Following thi procedure Iatrogenic bile duct injuries (IBD) are me postoperative complications that are most deficult challenge to treat. The risk of bile duet injures is 0.2-0.4%, it is more common following hparoscopic cholecystectomy (LC) than Ater (pen tholecystectomy (OC).^{2,3} Early identification and hardrhave excellent outcome, although it also depends on extent of injury. Sprengel in 1891, reported first iatrogenic bile duct injury. 4,5,6,7,8 The first procedures performed for IBDI was end-to-side choledochoduodenostomy by Mayo in 1905, whereas first Roux-en-Y hepatojejunostomy was performed by Dahl 1909 and in 1954, Hepp and Couinaud described the hilar plate and left hepatic duct dissection for repair of high strictures. Roux-en-Y hepatojejunostomy, is now the procedure of choice used for reconstruction of IBDI. 9,10

Department of Surgery, Civil Hospital, Karachi.

Correspondence: Dr. Syed Ahmed Sultan Ali, SMO, Surgical

Unit-5, Civil Hospital, Karachi Contact No: 03002143269 Email: sasasmc@yahoo.com

Received: September 7, 2016; Accepted: October 20, 2016

The object of the study is to analysis the iatrogenic bile luct injuries during laparoscopic and open cholecystectomies and their management at tertiary care center.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The Prospective observational study was conducted at surgical unit, Civil Hospital, Karachi from 2009 to 2015. Twenty three patients were included in the study. **Inclusion Criteria:** Patients with IBDI following laparoscopic and open cholecystectomy were included in the study.

Exclusion Criteria: Patients with CBD injury following hepatobiliary pancreatic malignancy, gastrectomy, blunt and abdominal trauma and CBD exploration due to stone disease/stricture were excluded from the study.

Procedure Details: All patients with IBDI were admitted from outpatient and emergency department by convenient sampling technique. Consent was taken, a proforma was used to record the data which included patient's age, sex, diagnosis at the time of cholecystectomy, time of injury, time of referral, investigative workup, type of injury according to the Strasberg classification, 11 type and timing of surgical/nonsurgical management, complications (leak, stricture and need of second procedures) and treatment outcome were assessed. Patients with peritonitis were

explored in emergency, washed out and drain placed. Definitive procedures for emergency cases and other were performed after stabilization of patients and assessing ductal injuries by Strasberg's classification. Roux-en-Y hepatojejunostomy, primary repair with or without T-tube insertion and ERCP were used in patients management.

Statistical Analysis/outcome measures: The data was analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 16. Descriptive statistics frequency, percentage, mean etc. were calculated.

RESULTS

The study included 23 (20 women and 03 men)patients, in which 12(52.2%) patients injury was because of laparoscopy whereas in 11(47.8%) patients it was because of open cholecystectomy. Mean age was 42.65 (range: 25-65).

Table No.1: Final diagnosis according to Strasberg's Classification

Classification		
Staging according to Strasberg's classification	Frequency	Percent
Type D:Lateral injury to the extrahepatic bile ducts (CBD,CHD,right/left hepatic duct)	1	4.3
Type A/C:Bile leak from minor duct in continuity/ not with CBDi.e.i.e.cystic duct stump/liver bed, right posterior sectoral duct	8	34.8
SubtypeE1:CHD injury,stump>2cm from bifurcation	4	17.4
SubtypeE2:Middle CHD injury,stump<2cm from bifurcation		21.7
SubtypeE3:High(hilar):CHD division at bifurcation	7	17.4
SubtypeE4:Separate left & right hepatic duct	1	4.3
Total	23	100.0

Emergency department admissions were common (15 patients, 65.2%). Chronic calculus cholecystitis was primary diagnosis in 14(60.9%) patients, acute calculus cholecystitis and empyma gallbladder were noted in 02(8.6%) patients, whereas no previous record were found in 7 (30.4%) patients. The mean time to referral following injury was 4.87 (median 5) days.CBD injury was assessed according to Strasberg's classification (Table-1). In 4 (17.4%) patients, emergency exploration were carried out without repair, except biliary aspiration and drainage.

Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) was carried out to assessed injury in 14(61.1%) patients, whereas Endoscopic retrograde

cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) remained diagnostic in 5(21.5%) patients.

Table No.2: Operative findings with surgical procedures

procedures			
Finding of first surgery with procedure	No. of patients (Percent)	Finding of second surgery with procedure	No. of patients (Percent)
Conservative management (No second surgery at our unit)	8(34.8%)	Non	20(87%)
Injury at CBD with biliary fluid aspirated, repair done, drain placed	1(4.3%)	Lateral CBD injury, Cut 2cm below confluence, Repair over T- tube	2(8.7%)
Injury at CHD, stemp<2cm, biliary fluid aspirated, drain placed	1/1/3%)	CHD injury, tump<2cm, Roux-Y- Hepatoje- junostomy performed	1(4.3%)
No injuly identified biliar fluid aspirated, Dain placed	1(4.3%)		
Cats. Anjury at Capb, biliary huid aspirated, drained placed	1(4.3%)		
CHD injury, stump<2cm, Roux-en-Y Hepatoje- junostomy	3(13%)		
CHD devision at bifurcation, Roux-en-Y Hepatoje- junostomy	3(13%)		
Separate right & left hepatic duct, Gassion capsule dissected, Roux-en-Y Hepatoje-junostomy	1(4.3%)		
Injury at CHD, stump>2cm, Roux-en-Y Hepatoje- junostomy	4(17.4%)		
Total	23(100%)		23(100%)

Commonest injury noted were type A/C, 8 (34.8%) patients, followed by type E2, 5(21.7%) patients, type E1 and E3 each had 4 (17.4%) patients and lastly 01(4.3%) for each of type D and type E4 injuries. (Table-2) Roux-en-Y hepatojejunostomy was the commonest operative procedure performed 14(60.2%) patients, while in 0 9(38.7%) patients, no surgery were performed. Therapeutic ERCP was performed in 02(8.6%) patients. Early and late complication were shown in Table 3& 4. Readmission were of 06(25.9%) patients with no associated mortality. (Table 3 & 4).

Table No.3: Early complications

Early post operative complications	No. of patients (Percent)
Bile coming in drain(inadequate injury identified at first surgery, treated as late complication)	1(4.3%)
Chest infection	2(8.7%)
Wound infection	1(4.3%)

Table No.4: Late complication which requires conservative/surgical procedure

compet varives	surgicui procedure	
Complication	Surgical procedure	No. of patients (Percent)
CHD injury,	Stump <2cm from bifurcation, Roux-en-Y Hepatojejunostomy	1(4.3%)
Liver abscess	Incision & drainage under general anesthesia	1(4.3%)
Stricture at CHD	Roux-en-Y Hepatojejunostomy	1(4.3(4)
Cholangitis	Conservative treatment	2(8.1%)

DISCUSSION

Gallstone disease is a majo public health problem throughout the world and challetystectomy is the common procedure. When the operative mortality of less than 1%, it does have a destire morbidity of bile duct injuries of 0.5% which is comparatively small but difficult to treat. Error! Bookmark not defined. This small observation study was dominated by female patients twenty out of twenty three which quit similar to other studies like Gluszek SError! Bookmark not defined. and Evangelos Felekouras Error! Bookmark **not defined.** The mean age were 42.65 (rang:25-65) years which is similar to Mercado MA.Error! Bookmark not defined. Our study showed almost equal patients of laparoscopic versus opencholecystectomy (12 laparoscopic 11, open cholecystectomy) which is similar to the study conducted by JM Plummer. 12 The mean time to referral following injury was 4.87 (median 5) days. Which was a moderate duration as compare to a study conducted by Evangelos Felekouras Error! Bookmark not

defined. comparing early and delayed intervention for LC. Emergency explorations was limited to 04(17.4%) for patients with toxicity due to biliary peritonitis. Primary elective explorations were 11(47.8%). In 04(17.4%) patients, drain placed at cholecystectmy, in which drain output became nil in a week (labeled as type A/C injuries). In 2(8.7%) patients, drain placed under ultrasound (U/S)guidance which later on became nil (again labeled as type A/C injuries), whereas multiple U/S guided aspirations in 01(4.3%) patient and only resuscitation was carried out in 01(4.3%) patient(both labeled as type A/C injuries). Type D injury, 01(4.3%) patient, in which stent passed via ERCP and U/S guided drain placed. So, conservative management were remained successful in 9 patients (39.1%).Roux-en-Y hepatojejunostomy were performed in 14(60.2%) patients. In which the injuries were according to Strasberg classification are: Type E IV:1, Type E III:4, Type E II:5, Type E I:4. ERCP was performed in 05(21.5%) pair its in 03(12.9%) patients it was therapeutic, in rest of 02(8.6%) it was diagnostic. Early postoperative complications were chest infection 02(8.7%) patients, you'd infection 01(4.3%) patient, lastly failure to identify complete injury 01(4.3%) patient, which letter on lead to another surgery ended up in Rux-en-Y hepatojejunostomy. CBD injuries noted in our study are somewhat similar with studies conducted by Evangelos Felekouras Error! Bookmark pot efined., Arora A, 13 AinulHadi, 14 Muhammad Saldique. 15 Readmissions were of 06(25.9%) patients and it was for late postoperative complications. One patient had stricture at anastomasis site two years after CBD repair, for which Roux-en-Y hepatojejunostomy was performed. Another patient developed liver abscess for which incision & drainage under general anesthesia was performed, whereas 02(8.7%) patients developed cholangitis managed conservatively. Lastly 01(4.3%) patient admitted for remove of stents following lateral CBD injury, which was not the complication. The complication observed in our study were less as compare to study conducted by Ozturk E.16

CONCLUSION

Bile duct injuries are worse complication of both open and laparoscopic cholecystectomy. It can have devastating effects on patients quality of life. If these injuries are diagnosed early (during operation or the early postoperative period) can reduce the morbidity and mortality.

Conflict of Interest: The study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

REFERENCES

 Archer SB, Brown DW, Smith CD, Branum GD, Hunter JG. Bile duct injury during laparoscopic

- cholecystectomy: results of a national survey. Ann Surg 2001;234:549–558
- 2. Głuszek S, Kot M, Bałchanowski N, Matykiewicz J, Kuchinka J, Kozieł D, et al. Iatrogenic bile duct injuries--clinical problems.Pol Przegl Chir 2014;86(1):17-25.
- Talpur KAH, Malik AM, Memon AI, Qureshi JN, Sangrasi AK, Laghari AA. Biliary bypass surgery-Analysis of indications & outcome of different procedures. Pak J Med Sci 2013;29(3):799-802.
- 4. Jabłonska B, Lampe P. Iatrogenic bile duct injuries: Etiology, diagnosis and management. World J Gastroenterol 2009; 15(33): 4097–4104.
- 5. Braasch JW. Historical perspectives of biliary tract injuries. Surg Clin North Am 1994;74:731–740.
- Hardy KJ. Carl Langenbuch and the Lazarus Hospital: events and circumstances surrounding the first cholecystectomy. Aust NZJ Surg 1993;63: 56–64.
- 7. Van Gulik TM. Langenbuch's cholecystectomy, once a remarkably controversial operation. Neth J Surg 1986;38:138–141.
- 8. Gorka Z, Ziaja K, Nowak J, Lampe P, Wojtyczka A. Biliary handicap. Pol Przeg Chir 1992;64: 969–976.
- 9. Mercado MA, Chan C, Salgado Nesme N, Lopez Rosales F. Intrahepatic repair of bile duct injuries. A comparative study. J Gastrointest Surg 2008; 12(2):364-8.

- 10. Felekouras E, Petrou A, Neofytou K, Moris D, Dimitrokallis N, et al. Early or delayed intervention for bile duct injuries following laparoscopic cholecystectomy? A dilemma looking for an answer. Gastroenterol Res Pract 2015;104235.
- 11. Chun K. Recent classifications of the common bile duct injury. Korean J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg 2014;18(3):69–72
- 12. Plummer JM, Mitchell DIG, Duncan ND, McDonald AH, Arthurs M. Bile duct injuries in the laparoscopic era: the university hospital of the West Indies experience. West Ind Med 2006; 55(4).
- 13. Arora A, Nag HH, Yadav A, Agarwal S, Javed A, et al. Prompt Repair of Post cholecystectomy Bile Duct Transaction Recognized Intraoperatively and Referred Early: Experience from a Tertiary Care Teaching Unit. Ind J Surg 2015;77(2):99-103.
- 14. AinulHadi, Aman Z, Khan SA, Khan M, Zafar J, et al. Surgical management of bile duct injuries following open or aparoscopic cholecystectomy. JPMA 2013.
- 15. Saddioue M, Ripu A, Ahmed M, Iqbal P. Bile Duct Injury Management and Outcome. J Surg Pal (Int) 2012;17(4).
- 16. Oztuk F. Can MF, Yagci G, Ersoz N, Ozerhan IH, Harlak A, et al. Management and mid- to long-term results of early referred bile duct injuries during paroscopic cholecystectomy. Hepatogastroenterol 2009;56(89):17-25.