Original Article

Wheel Spoke Injury of Heel at Plastic Surgery Department of Allama Ighal M

Frequency and Presentation of Wheel Spoke Injury

Surgery Department of Allama Iqbal Memorial Teaching Hospital, Sialkot

Sarfaraz Ahmad¹, Omair Muhammad Siddique², Nimra Ikram³ and Kamran Hamid²

ABSTRACT

Objective: The purpose of this study was to assess demographic distribution and presentation of spoke wheel injury at Sialkot.

Study Design: Retrospective / Cross sectional study

Place and Duration of Study: This study was conducted at the Plastic Surgery Department of Allama Iqbal Memorial Teaching Hospital Sialkot from December 2015 to December 2016.

Materials and Methods: This was a case control study based on retrospective record review of 400 patients presenting in Emergency Department of Allama Iqbal Memorial Teaching Hospital Sia kot. Patients were selected on basis of wheel spoke injury involving foot and ankle while riding bicycle or motor cole. Management of patients was done according to Oestern and Tscherne classification for soft tissue injury at forma was designed to record Demographic data and severity of injuries according to above mentioned classification Permission of record review was granted by Departmental Review committee of Plastic Surgery Department A rama Iqbal Memorial Hospital, Khawaja Muhammad Safdar Medical College, Sialkot.Sanctity of data was maintained by all authors and persons concerned. Data was analyzed for results by SPSS 10.

Results: In this study the frequency of motorcycle spoke wheel injury was 67% (n=268) and bicycle spoke wheel injury was 33% (n=132). The frequency of spoke wheel injury was maximum (61.5%) n=246 at the age of 15-25 years. The patients of spoke wheel injury were (72.5%) n=289 in male and (27.75%) n= 111 in female as the ratio of female riders was less. The frequency of spoke wheel injury was maximum (42.25%) n=69 of grade 1 injury and minimum (04%) n=16 in case of grade 0 injury. The frequency of spoke wheel injury was maximum (62.25%) n=249 in case of Postero lateral side of foot involvement and minimum (5.75%) n= 23 in case of Toe Amputation. The spoke wheel injury of right foot was higher (72.25%) n= 289 as compared to left foot (17.25%) n= 69 and both feet (10.5%) n= 42.

Conclusion: Wheel spoke injuries may result in severe soft tissue damage and bony trauma. Poor prognostic factors included high-energy injury, contamination and a fection, and delayed treatment.

Key Words: Motorcycle/ Bicycle wheen spok injury, Oestern and Tscherne classification.

Citation of articles: Ahmad S, Steding OM, Ikram N, Hamid K. Frequency and Presentation of Wheel Spoke Injury of Heel at Plantic Currery Department of Allama Iqbal Memorial Teaching Hospital, Sialkot. Med Forum 2017;28(7):119-12.

INTRODUCTION

Bicycle and motorcycle is a cheap source of transport in developing countries like Pakistan¹. Due to abundant use and lack of proper safety measures, Cyclists and Bikers comprise a majority of cases

Correspondence: Prof. Dr. Abdul Hamid, Sialkot Medical College, Sialkot.

Contact No: 0323-9824782 Email: hrd.smcs@yahoo.com

Received: May 11, 2017; Accepted: June 13, 2017

presenting in Emergency Department (ED) of our healthcare setups, and to make matters worse when sometimes more than 2 passengers are riding simultaneously. Frequently a child or female is pillion riding and carrying a child².

In such conditions one of the frequent injuries in ED is spoke wheel injury involving heel & ankles³. Injury pattern varies widely with minor abrasion, bruises, laceration to amputations and injury of tendoachilies. Bicycle and motor bike spoke wheel injury occur when the foot or heel is caught between the spokes and frame of a bicycle, that is, if a child sits on the backseat of a bicycle⁴.

Bicycle spoke wheel injury rarely occurs in adults due to length of lower limb but children are commonly involved⁵.

^{1.} Department of Plastic Surgery / General Surgery², Khawaja Muhammad Safdar Medical College, Sialkot.

^{3.} Department of Anatomy, Sialkot Medical College, Sialkot.

There are typically three aspects to the trauma of Bicycle and motor bike spoke wheel injury:

1) Laceration of the tissue from the knife-like action of the spokes, 2) crushing from the impingement of the wheel and frame of the bicycle, and 3) shearing injury from the coefficient of these two forces⁶.

Soft tissue injuries are classified on Oestern and Tscherne classification for closed fractures⁷.

Grade 0	Minimal soft tissue damage		
Grade 1	Superficial abrasion or contusion		
Grade 2	Deep abrasion		
	skin or muscle contusion		
Grade 3	Extensive skin contusion or crush		
	injury		
	severe damage to underlying muscle		

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This was a retrospective observational study including 400 patients presenting in Emergency Department of Allama Iqbal Memorial Teaching Hospital Sialkot. Patients were selected on basis of wheel spoke injury involving foot and ankle while ridining bicycle or motorcycle. Management of patients was done according to Oestern and Tscherne classification for soft tissue injury.

Grade 0 injuries were cleaned with Normal Saline and in case of inability to weight bear on affected limb below knee POP slab was applied; patients were discharged with oral analgesic and follow up was done in Out Patient Department.

Grade 1 injuries were treated with Normal Saline wound lavage and dressing with Povidine Jodin solution and limb immobilized in back slate parent discharged on Oral Antibiotics and Oral and gesic. Wound reassessed in Out Patient Department.

Grade 2 injuries were washed with Normal Saline cleaned with Povidine Iodine solution, dressing was done, POP back slab applied and discharged on follow up next day with oral artibiotics and oral analgesics.

Grade 3 injuries were managed with wound toilet under general anesthesia, patient was assessed next day and further debridement done. Parenteral Antibiotics for gram positive and negative cover was done. All patients were given immunization for tetanus.

Performa was designed to record Demographic data and severity of injuries according to above mentioned classification. Permission of Departmental review committee of Allama Iqbal Memorial Teaching Hospital was obtained. Data was analyzed for results by SPSS 10.

Inclusion criteria

Selection criteria was developed to include all patients visiting emergency department between December 2015-December 2016 with injuries to heel ankle and fore foot resulting in contusion, abrasion, distortion, laceration while riding a bicycle or motorbike behind the rider.

Exclusion Criteria: All patients with open fractures during spoke wheel injury were excluded from the study.

RESULTS

In this study the frequency of motorcycle spoke wheel injury was 67% (n=268) and bicycle spoke wheel injury was 33% (n=132) as shown in table no1. The frequency of spoke wheel injury was maximum (61.5%) n=246 at the age of 15-25 years as shown in table no 2. The patients of spoke wheel injury was (72.5%) n=289 in male and (27.75%) n= 111 in female as the ratio of female riders was less as shown in table no 2. The frequency of spoke wheel injury was maximum (42.25%) n=69 of grade 1 injury and minimum (04%) n=16 in case of grade 0 injury as shown in table no 4.

Table No. 1: Distribution of Bicycle/ Motorcycle spoke wheel injury

Cases Sr. Spoke Wheer Percentage No Injur (%) 1 Bicycle spoke 132 33% wheel miury Motore Spoke 2 268 67% Wheel Injury Total 400 100%

Table No. 2 Age Distribution in Bicycle/ Motorcycle spike vheel injury

Sr. Age (Years) Cases Percentage No (%) 9 - 14 91 22.75% 1 2 15-25 246 61.50% 3 26-35 31 7.75% 4 36-45 21 5.25% 5 46 & above 11 2.75% Total 400 100%

Table No. 3 Gender distribution in Bicycle/ Motorcycle spoke wheel injury

 Sr. No
 Gender Of Sender S

Total

Table No. 4 Distribution of injured patients according to grading of Injury

400

100%

according to grading or injury							
Sr.	Grading	Cases	Percentage				
No			(%)				
1	Grade 0	16	04%				
2	Grade 1	169	42.25%				
3	Grade 2	121	30.25%				
4	Grade 3	94	23.50%				
	Total	400	100%				

The frequency of spoke wheel injury was maximum (62.25%) n=249 in case of Postero lateral side of foot

involvement and minimum (5.75%) n= 23 in case of Toe Amputation as shown in table no 5. The spoke wheel injury of right foot was higher (72.25%) n= 289 as compared to left foot (17.25%) n= 69 and both feet (10.5%) n= 42 as shown in table no 6.

Table No. 5 Distribution of patients according to foot region involved

1000 region my ory eu						
Sr.	Region of foot	Cases	Percentage			
No			(%)			
1	Poster lateral Foot	249	62.25%			
2	Toe Amputation	23	5.57%			
3	Tendoachiles	57	14.25%			
	Rupture					
4	Anterolateral Injury	71	17.75%			
	of foot					
	Total	400	100%			

Table No. 6 Distribution of patients according to injury of foot

Sr.	Side of foot	Cases	Percentage
No			(%)
1	Right Foot	289	72.25%
2	Left Foot	69	17.25%
3	Both Feet	42	10.50%
4	Total	400	100%

DISCUSSION

Due to prevalent socioeconomic conditions motorcycle spoke wheel injuries are common in Pakistan as compared to bicycle spoke wheel injuries. In our study also motorcycle wheel spoke injury was higher compared to bicycle wheel spoke injury. In car study mostly adolescent males were involved with najority having Grade 1 & 2 injuries. Posterolater foot was commonly accompanied with heel pad injury which required flaps for coverage^{8,9}. In a cod, b Mine et al, has shown that spoke wheer injulies healing time was prolonged and repeat debride tent was needed for severe injuries⁷. Insufficient treatment or closure with tight sutures of heel flap and contamination resulted in longer hospital stay and flap recrosis¹⁰. Poor prognostic factors included high-energy injury, contamination, infection, and delayed treatment¹¹ Injuries resulting in heel pad loss at Calcaneum were needed to be covered by Sural artery based flaps ¹². Instep flaps was mostly in the zone of trauma. Despite flap coverage the missing glaborous skin and loss of sensation, sensate flaps require highly specialized microscopic surgery and teamwork which is not readily available everywhere in Pakistan. All patients with tendoachiles rupture, required repair followed flap coverage. Injuries in motor bike are of severe in nature compared to bicycle spoke wheel injury due to speed and shearing forces applied⁸. A lot of modification have been recommended to prevent these injuries like mesh or guard to cover wheel spokes and horizontal upright¹³. Public

awareness and education can also lead to reduction in number of accidents.

The majority of spoke-wheel injury occurred in the area of posterior aspect of ankle and posterolateral aspect. Most of them were minor injuries of grade 0 and 1. Severe injury to heel may lead to heel pad avulsion and often transaction of tendoachilles. 9,14 Results of our study were consistent with them. In literatures, it has been shown that bicycle spoke injuries have resulted in severe heel pad injury, transaction of tendo-achilles and warranted the need for flap surgeries to cover the wounds.³ Such injuries are often found to be deteriorating after 48 hrs or later as the vascularity of soft tissue in this area is poor and internal degloving is common due to shearing force sustained to the skin. Hence it becomes mandatory to see the wound repeatedly for any change in status of the wound. An ankle foot or a back splint is also recommended as it helps in good healing and exercise to be performed.⁴ Various modifications in basele has been advised for preventing such injuries, like mesh cover, or plastic shield to bridge the gap between fork and horizontal upright of bicfcle or hotorcycle etc.¹⁵ Making the people aware of the mode of injury and preventive measures explained at the costumer level of bicycle could decease the frequency of these injuries. 16

CONCLUSION

Theel spoke injuries may result in severe soft tissue damage and bony trauma. Poor prognostic factors included high-energy injury, contamination and infection, and delayed treatment.

General people awareness regarding the injury, preventable measures, use of appropriate spoke guards, foot rests, children wearing proper shoes etc are the simplest measure to avoid the spoke wheel injury.

Author's Contribution:

Concept & Design of Study: Sarfraz Ahmad
Drafting: Omair Muhammad

Siddique

Data Analysis:
Revisiting Critically:
Final Approval of version:

Statique

Nimra Ikram

Kamran Hamid

Sarfraz Ahmad

Conflict of Interest: The study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

REFERENCES

- Segers MJM, Wink D, Clevers GJ. Bicycle-spoke injuries: a prospective study. Injury 1997;28: 267–9.
- 2. Izant RJ, Rothmann BF, Frankel VH. Bicycle spoke injuries of the foot and ankle in children: an underestimated "minor" injury. J Pediatr Surg 1969;4:654–6.

- 3. Venema, S. Hag. Bicycle spoke entrapment in children. Injury Surveillance Exchange (CISE) 1999;2:5-6
- Oestern HJ, Tscherne H. Pathophysiology and classification of soft issue injuries associated with fractures. In: Tscherne H, editor. Fractures with soft tissues injuries. New York: Springer-Verlag; 1984.p.1–9.
- 5. Suri MP, Naik NR, Raibagkar SC, et al. Heel flap injuries in spoke wheel accidents. Injury 2007;38:619–24
- 6. Xiu H, Chong F, Wai J, et al. Treatment of spoke heel injuries in children. KeZaZhi 2009; 23(10):1180-2.
- 7. Mine R, Fukui M, Nishimura G. Bicycle spoke injuries in the lower extremity. Plast Reconstr Surg 2000;106(7):1501-6.
- 8. D'Souza LG, Hynes DE, McManus F, et al. The bicycle spoke injury: an avoidable accident? Foot Ankle Int 1996;17(3):170-3.
- 9. Agarwal A, Pruthi M. Bicycle-spoke injuries of the foot in children. J Orthopaed Surg 2010;18: 338–41.

G. Conin

- 10. David F, Cadbt J, Bosch G, Brama P, Weeren R, Schie H. Short-term cast immobilisation is effective in reducing lesion propagation in a surgical model of equine superficial digital flexor tendon injury. Equine Vet J 2012;44:570–5.
- 11. Strauch B. Bicycle spoke injuries in children. J Trauma 1966;6:61–4.
- 12. Yilmaz M, Karatas O, Barutcu A. The Distally Based Superficial Sural Artery Island Flap: Clinical Experiences and Modifications. Plastic Reconstructive Surg 1998;102(7):2358-2367.
- 13. Roffman M, Moshel M, Mendes DG. Bicycle spoke fracture. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1979;144:230–2.
- 14. Sankhala SS, Gupta SP. Spoke-wheel injuries. Indian J Pediatr 1987;54:251–6.
- 15. Suri MP, Naik NR, Raibagkar SC, et al. Heel flap injuries in spoke wheel accidents. Injury 2007; 38:619–24.
- 16. Waller JA. Bicycle o pership, use, and injury patterns among clear entary school children. Injury Prevention 1995, 1256-61