Original Article

The Evaluation of the Changes in **Blood Pressure of Patients During Tooth**

Blood Pressure Variation During **Tooth Extraction**

Extraction

- 1. Muhammed Junaid Lakhani 2. Muhammad Mohsin Girach 3. Anjum Tariq 4. Michelle Griffin
- 1. Prof. of Oral Maxillofacial Surgery, 2. Prof. of Oral Maxillofacial Surgery, 3. Asstt. Prof. of Operative Dentistry, 4. Demonstrator, Dept. of Oral Maxillofacial Surgery, Jinnah Medical and Dental College, Karachi

ABSTRACT

Objective: This study was conducted to evaluate the changes in blood pressure of hypertensive patients having dental extraction under a local anesthetic containing epinephrine.

Study Design: Cross-sectional descriptive and analytical study

Place and Duration of Study: This study was carried out at Jinnah Medical & Dental College (JMDC) from January 2015 to April 2015.

Materials and Methods: The data was collected from the patients visiting the Oral Surgery OPD of Jinnah Medical & Dental Colleges, Karachi. The study was conducted at Jinnah Medical and Dental colleges, Karachi. The study was conducted at Jinnah Medical and Dental colleges, Karachi. in blood pressure of patient with a known history of hypertension controlled units medications. All the patients underwent uncomplicated dental extraction. The blood pressure was recorded at three times: before the local anesthesia, 3 minutes post local anesthesia, and 3 minutes post extraction.

2 % Lidocaine with adrenaline 1:180,000was used for local anesthesia. Carefu administration of anesthesia was

ensured to avoid direct injection into the bloodstream. In majority of cases only 2 anesthetic ampules were used (only two patient required 3 ampules). The data was stored in excel weeksheet and was analyzed using SPSS.

Results: The total sample size was 122 patients seen in the Oral and maxillofacial surgery department of JMDC. There were 52 females and 70 males. Mean age was 46.17 ± 16.26 years (range 25-78). The age was further divided into four groups;

Group 1: 25-34, Group 2: 35-44, Group 3: 45-54, Group 4: 55 and above. There was no significant change in diastolic and systolic blood pressure blood pressure at three time points. Paired sample T test was used, the only significant difference was in SBP before (SBP1) and after anesthesia (SBP2) (t= -2.28 p=0.045). No significant change noticed in the DBP values at DBP1, DB12 and DBP3. Amongst the age group the significant variation in systolic blood pressure was seen in the age group 3 and 4.

Conclusion: This study was conducted to had on the changes in blood pressure of patients with a known history of hypertension controlled using medications. The study showed no significant changes in the observed parameters.

Key Words: Blood pressure changes Typertension, Local anesthesia with vasoconstrictor

Citation of article: Lakhani MJ, Girach MM, Tariq A, Griffin M. The Evaluation of the Changes in Blood Pressure of Patients During To th Extraction. Med Forum 2016;27(3):40-43.

INTRODUCTION

For successful dentistry achieving good-quality local anesthesia (LA) is a prerequisite. Local anesthesia acts by blocking the nerve conduction of both myelinated and unmyelinated nerve fibers. It is a reversible change and it slows down the depolarization phase, and decreases the influx of sodium ions.

Local anesthetic agents commonly used in dentistry is Lidocaine in combination with vasoconstrictors like Epinephrine.¹ Epinephrine is added in local anesthetic to reduce bleeding and increase its safety as lower anesthetic doses are required for effective anesthesia. 2,3,4 Physiological responses associated with local anesthetic solutions containing a vasoconstrictor

Correspondence: Dr. Muhammed Junaid Lakhani Prof. of Oral Maxillofacial Surgery, Jinnah Medical and

Dental College, Karachi Contact No.: 0300-8222287 E-mail: drmjunaid@hotmail.com

include changes in heart rate and blood pressure. 5,6,7 Researchers observed a significant increase from 5 to 12 mmHg in the systolic blood pressure in patients submitted to root surface debridement without local anesthesia. 8,9 Use of epinephrine in patients with known history of CVS problems is controversial, although new research shows that using vasoconstrictor in local anesthesia appears to be safe and it also provide relief patient's pain and discomfort during treatment. 10,11

This study was conducted to find out the changes in blood pressure of hypertensive patients having dental extraction under a local anesthetic containing epinephrine.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted at Jinnah Medical and Dental College (JMDC) from January 2015 to April 2015. The study was conducted to evaluate the changes in blood pressure of patient with a known history of hypertension controlled using medications. comprehensive history and clinical examination was performed to assess the health status. All patients had OPG done to evaluate the dental health status. All the patients underwent uncomplicated dental extraction. The blood pressure was recorded at three times: before the local anesthesia, 3 minutes post local anesthesia, and 3 minutes post extraction. 2 % Lidocaine with adrenaline 1:180,000 was used for local anesthesia. Careful administration of anesthesia was ensured to avoid direct injection into the bloodstream. In majority of cases only 2 anesthetic ampules were used (only two patient required 3 ampules). The patients having controlled hypertension using medications, maximum systolic blood pressure of 140 mmHg, and maximum diastolic blood pressure of 90 mmHg were included in the study. Consent was taken from all patients and the purpose of the study was explained. Patients underwent uncomplicated dental extraction. No premedication like anxiolytic was given to relax the patients. Patients were asked to take their routine medicine after breakfast on the day of extraction. Patients were instructed to avoid alcohol and smoking from the night prior to extraction. Mercury sphygmomanometer was used to record the blood pressure (BP). The blood pressure was recorded in sitting position on the right hand. For local anesthes 2 % Lidocaine with adrenaline 1:180,000 was Infiltration along with inferior alveolar nerve block wa used for lower molar extractions. Auto spirating syringe was used to deliver anesthesia to Koid lirectly injecting the anesthesia into the bloods ream. In majority of cases only 2 anesthetic an pule were used (only two patient required 3 ampures). The data was stored in excel worksheet nd vas analyzed using SPSS.

RESULTS

The total sample size was 122 patients seen in the Oral and maxillofacial surgery department of JMDC. There


Table No.1: Paired Samples Test

Paired Differences df Sig. Mean Std. Std. 95% Confidence Interval (2-tailed) Deviation Error of the Difference Mean Lower Upper SBP1 Pair 1 -1.6809.150 .828 -3.320 -.040 -2.028121 .045 SBP2

Table No.2: Paired Samples Test Paired Differences 95% Confidence Interval of the Std. Std. Difference Deviatio Error Sig. (2-Mean Mean Lower Upper df tailed) n

were 52 females and 70 males. Mean age was 46.17 ± 13.26 years (range 25-78). The age was further divided into four groups;

Group 1: 25-34, Group 2: 35-44, Group 3: 45-54, Group 4: 55 and above.



36% of the total patients were using antihypertensive drugs along with other drugs for other comorbidities. The most commonly used antihypertensive drug was Atenolol which accounted for 55% followed by angiotensin II receptor antagonists 35%, and about 10% were using calcium antagonists.

Pair 1	SBP1	779	13.996	1.267	-3.287	1.730	615	121	.540
	SBP3								

Comorbidities were present in 76.3% of the patients; the remaining 23 % had only hypertension. Type 2 diabetes mellitus and Ischemic heart disease were the most common comorbidities. 45/122patients were smokers. Regarding number of ampules in majority of cases only 2 anesthetic ampules were used (only two patient required 3 ampules). The reasons for extraction included; dental caries in 90 cases, periodontal problems in 14 cases, and combination in 18 cases.

Data showed no significant changes in diastolic and systolic blood pressure blood pressure at three time points. Paired sample T test was used, the only significant difference was in SBP before (SBP1) and after anesthesia (SBP2) (t=-2.28 p=0.045). No significant change noticed in the DBP values at DBP1, DBP2 and DBP3.Table1.

Those patients who required less than two anesthetic ampules showed a non-significant change in SBP between the first and third time points (i.e.,SBP1 and SBP3) (t= -0.615 p=0.0540). Table 2.

Amongst the age group the significant variation in systolic blood pressure was seen in the age group 3 and 4. Table 3.

Table No.3: Age-wise Mean BP

Age Group	SBP1	SBP2	SBP3
1	117.24	120.34	117.76
2	121.79	121.25	119.29
3	123.00	125.38	12(1.00
4	131.80	133.20	29.80

DISCUSSION

In literature many studies have investigated the blood pressure changes in the patient p dergoing tooth extraction in local anesthetic injection with vasoconstrictor 12,13,14. It our study there was no significant difference in classolic and systolic blood pressures at three time points. The only significant difference noticed was in SBP before the procedure (SBP1) and after anesthesia (SBP2). There was no difference in diastolic blood pressure (DBP) at any point that is (DBP1, DBP2 and DBP3). In our study we used vasoconstrictor with the local anesthesia and it did not significantly affect the blood pressure similar findings were reported in the study conducted by Silvestre et al. 15

The only significant finding was a slight increase in mean SBP before and after the anesthesia. This could be because of anxiety or discomfort.

CONCLUSION

This study was conducted to find out the changes in blood pressure of patients with a known history of hypertension controlled using medications. The study showed no significant changes in the observed parameters.

Conflict of Interest: The study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

REFERENCES

- 1. Moore PA, Boynes SG, Hersh EV, DeRossi SS, Sollecito TP, Goodson JM, et al. The anesthetic efficacy of 4 percent articaine 1:200,000 epinephrine: two controlled clinical trials. J Am Dent Assoc 2006;137:132-81.
- 2. Cioffi GA, Chertow B, Glahn RP, Terezhalmy GT, Lake CR. The Jemodynamic and plasma catech lamine responses to routine restorative dental care. And Dent Assoc 1985;11:67-70.
- 3. Jasak JT, Yagiela JA. Vasoconstrictors and local anest esia a review and rationale for use. J Am Dent Assoc 1983;107:623-30.
- 4. Davenport RE, Porcelli RJ, Iacono VJ, Bonura CF, allis GI, Baer PN. Effects of anesthetics containing epinephrine on catecholamine levels during periodontal surgery. J Periodontol 1990; 61:553-8.
- 5. Cheraskin E, Prasertsuntarasai T. Use of epinephrine with local anesthesia in hypertensive patients. IV. Effect of tooth extraction on blood pressure and pulse rate. J Am Dent Assoc 1959; 58:61-8.
- 6. Goldstein DS, Dionne R, Sweet J, Gracely R, Brewer HB, Gregg R, et al. Circulatory, plasma catecholamine, cortisol, lipid, and psychological responses to a real-life stress (third molar extractions): effects of diazepam sedation and of inclusion of epinephrine with the local anesthetic. Psychosom Med 1982;44:259-72.
- 7. Mochizuki M, Yokota S, Murata Y, Watanabe H, Nishibori M, Suzuki N, et al. Changes in heart rate and blood pressure during dental procedures with local anesthesia. Anesth Prog 1989;36:234-5.
- Grant DA, Lie T, Clark SM, Adams DF. Pain and discomfort levels in patients during root surface debridement with sonic metal or plastic inserts. J Periodontol 1993, 64:645-50.
- Brand HS, Gortzak RA, Palmer-Bouva CC, Abraham RE, Abraham-Inpjin L. Cardiovascular and neuroendocrine responses during acute stress induced by different types of dental treatment. Int Dent J 1995;45:45-8.

- 10. Neves RS, Neves IL, Giorgi DM, Grupi CJ, César LA, Hueb W, et al. Effects of epinephrine in local dental anesthesia in patients with coronary artery disease. Arq Bras Cardiol 2007;88:545-51.
- 11. Elad S, Admon D, Kedmi M, Naveh E, Benzki E, Ayalon S, etal. The cardiovascular effect of local anesthesia with articaine plus1:200,000 adrenalin versus lidocaine plus 1:100,000 adrenalin inmedically compromised cardiac patients: a prospective, randomized, double blinded study. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2008;105:725-30.
- 12. ¹Viana AM, Campos AC, Morlin MT, Chin VK. Plasma catecholamine concentrations and hemodynamic responses to vasoconstrictor during conventional or Gow-Gates mandibular

- anesthesia.Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2005;100:415-9.
- 13. Cáceres MT, Ludovice AC, Brito FS, Darrieux FC, Neves RS, Scanavacca MI, et al. Effect of local anesthetics with and without vasoconstrictor agent in patients with ventricular arrhythmias. Arq Bras Cardiol 2008;91:128-33, 142-7.
- 14. Meechan JG, Parry G, Rattray DT, Thomason JM. Effects of dental local anaesthetics in cardiac transplant recipients. Br Dent J 2002;192:161-3.
- 15. ¹Silvestre FJ, Verdú MJ, Sanchís JM, Grau D, Peñarrocha M. Effects of vasoconstrictors in dentistry upon systolic and diastolic arterial pressure. Med Oral 2001;6:57-63.

