# Original Article To Observe the Outcomes of Early versus Delayed Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy in Patients Presented with Acute Cholecystitis

Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy in Patients with Acute Cholecystitis

Samina Karim<sup>1</sup>, Ahmad Shah<sup>2</sup> and Mohammad Ishaq Durani<sup>2</sup>

## ABSTRACT

**Objective:** To compare the outcomes between early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy in patients with acute cholecystitis.

Study Design: Observational study

**Place and Duration of Study:** This study was conducted at the Department of Surgery, Unit-1, Sandeman Provincial Civil Hospital, Quetta from 1<sup>st</sup> January 2017 to 31<sup>st</sup> December 2018.

**Materials and Methods:** One hundred and forty patients of both genders presented with acute cholecystitis were included in this study. Patient ages were ranging from 15 to 60 years. Patients were divided into two groups Group I received early cholecystectomy within <6 days after diagnosis and Group II received delayed cholecystectomy after 4 to 6 weeks of diagnosis. Outcomes were recorded such as operative and post operative complications, conversion to open surgery, hospital stay and return to routine activity.

**Results:** Ninety eight (70%) patients were females while 42 (30%) were males. 52 (37.14%) patients were ages between 15 to 30 years, 58 (41.43%) had ages 31 to 45 years and 30 (21.43%) patients were ages 46 to 60 years. Conversion to open cholecystectomy, preoperative complications and post-operative complications, length of hospital stay were significantly less in early cholecystectomy than delayed cholecystectomy p-value <0.05.

**Conclusion:** Early laparoscopic cholecystectomy shows better results as compared to delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

Key Words: Acute cholecystitis, Early laparoscopic cholecystectomy, Delayed cholecystectomy, Post-operative complications

Citation of articles: Karim S, Shah A, Durani MI. To Observe the Outcomes of Early versus Delayed Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy in Patients Presented with Acute Cholecystitis. Med Forum 2019;30(6):44-46.

# INTRODUCTION

A variety of treatments have been offered from time to time for gall bladder (GB) diseases. Cholecystectomy has stayed as one of the best and most accepted treatment modalities for GB diseases. Every year, about 500,000 people all over the world have to remove their gall bladders. Acute cholecystitis was traditionally treated with antibiotics and supportive treatment and cholecystectomy was performed after 6 weeks of the acute episode.<sup>1-4</sup> The potential hazard of severe complications, if surgery is performed in an area of distorted anatomy caused by acute inflammation was the major concern.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>2.</sup> Department of Surgery, Sandeman Provincial Civil Hospital Quetta.

Correspondence: Dr. Samina Karim, Assistant Professor of General Surgery, Bolan Medical College Quetta. Contact No: 03458319813 Email: samenakarim05@gmail.com

Received:	February, 2019
Accepted:	April, 2019
Printed:	June, 2019

Till date laparoscopic cholecystectomy is considered the 'gold standard' in the treatment of cholelithiasis/ cholecystitis and highlights all theadvantages of laparoscopy as minimally invasive surgical aid.<sup>6</sup> Initially laparoscopic cholecystectomy used to be performed in selected cases, but with advances in instrumentation, better visualisation because of new generation cameras, optics, increasing knowledge about the anatomy of the hepato-biliary tree and the surrounding structures with improved surgical skills.<sup>7,8</sup> performing Surgeons started laparoscopic cholecystectomy even in acute cholecystitis, which was initially considered a relative contraindication. After 48 hours it is now the procedure of choice for patient presenting with acute cholecystitis unless it is contraindicated for technical reason or safety.<sup>9,10</sup> The present study was undertaken to compare the outcome and postoperative complications of early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy in acute cholecystitis.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

This observational study was conducted at Department of Surgery, Unit-1, Sandeman Provincial Civil Hospital, Quetta from 1<sup>st</sup> January 2017 to 31<sup>st</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1.</sup> Department of General Surgery, BMC, Quetta.

#### Med. Forum, Vol. 30, No. 6

December 2018. A total OF 140 of both genders presented with acute cholecystitis were included in this study. Patient ages were ranging from 15 to 60 years. Patient's detailed medical history including age, sex were examined after taking informed consent from all the patients. Patients with coagulopathy, severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, end stage liver disease, congestive cardiac failure, obstructive jaundice and pregnant women were excluded from this prospective, observational study. All the patients received laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Patients were equally divided into two groups Group I received early cholecystectomy within less than 6 days after diagnosis and Group II received delayed cholecystectomy after 5 to 7 days up to 6 weeks of diagnosis. Peroperative and post-operative complications, hospital stay, duration of surgery, conversion to open surgery and back to routine activity were examined and compare these variables between early and delayed cholecystectomy. All the statistical data was analyzed by SPSS 20.0. P-value <0.05 was considered as significant.

### RESULTS

There were 98 (70%) female patients in which 50 patients in Group I and 48 patients in Group II while 42 (30%) were males in which 20 in Group I and 22 in Group II. 52 (37.14%) (28 in Group I, 24 in Group II) patients were ages between 15 to 30 years, 58 (41.43%) (28 in Group I, 30 in Group II) had ages 31 to 45 years and 30 (21.43%) (14 in Group I, 16 in Group II) patients were ages 46 to 60 years (Table 1)

Mean operative time in Group I was  $51.5\pm12.4$  minutes while in Group II it was  $64.7\pm11.6$  minutes. Peroperative complications such as haemorrhage, bile duct trauma, conversion to open surgery in Group I and II was noted in 4 and 10 patients, 0 and 1 patient, 1 and 6 patients respectively. Post-operative complications such as surgical site infection, nausea vomiting, bile leakage were recorded and compared in both groups as 2 in Group I and 16 in Group II, 4 in Group I and 8 in Group II, 1 and 5 patients respectively. The mean hospital stay in Group I was  $4.26\pm2.3$  and in Group II it was  $7.69\pm2.4$  days. The mean duration of back to routine activity in Group I was  $12.42\pm4.68$  days and in Group II it was  $16.34\pm5.62$  days (Table 2).

 Table No.1: Gender and age wise distribution in both groups

Variable	Group I	Group II	Total (%)		
Gender					
Female	50	48	98 (70%)		
Male	20	22	42 (30%)		
Age (years)					
15 - 30	28	24	52 (37.14%)		
31 - 45	28	30	58 (41.43%)		
46 - 60	14	16	30 (21.43%)		

P-value >0.05

 Table No. 2: Comparison of outcomes in both groups (N=140)

			Р		
Variable	Group I	Group II	value		
Mean operative					
time (min)	51.5 <u>+</u> 12.4	64.7 <u>+</u> 11.6	0.002		
Per-operative complication					
		10			
Hemorrhage	4 (5.71%)	(14.29%0	0.04		
Bile duct injury	-	1 (1.43%)	0.01		
Conversion to					
open	1 (1.43%)	6 (8.57%)	0.03		
Post-operative complications					
		16			
SSI	2 (2.86%)	(22.86%)	0.007		
Nausea/vomitin					
g	4 (5.71%)	8 (11.43%)	0.004		
Bile leakage	1 (1.43%)	5 (7.14%)	0.007		
Mean hospital					
stay (days)	4.26 <u>+</u> 2.3	7.69 <u>+</u> 2.4	0.005		
Mean time to routine activity	12.42 <u>+</u> 4.68	16.34 <u>+</u> 5.62	0.002		

# DISCUSSION

In present study, mean operative time in Group I was 51.5+12.4 minutes while in Group II it was 64.7+11.6 minutes. A study conducted by Memon et al<sup>11</sup> reported that the mean operative time was 53.8+11.2 minute in early cholecystectomy group and 62.68+12.38 minutes in delayed group. In our study we found that peroperative complications such as hemorrhage found in 5.71% in Group I and 14.29% patients in Group II. Rate of conversion to open surgery was high in delayed cholecystectomy group than early cholecystectomy group 8.57% and 1.43%. These results shows similarity to some other studies in which conversion to open surgery rate was high in delayed cholecystectomy as compared to early.<sup>12,13</sup> In our study post-operative complications such as surgical site infection, nausea vomiting, bile leakage were recorded and compare in both groups and observed early cholecystectomy had less prevalence of post-operative complications as compared to delayed cholecystectomy 10% and above 30%. These results shows similarity to other studies conducted regarding acute cholecystitis and reported that rate of post-operative complications were high in delay cholecystectomy as compared to early cholecystectomy group.<sup>14,15</sup> In this study we observed that the mean hospital stay in Group I was 4.26+2.3 and in Group II it was 7.69+2.4 days. The mean duration of back to routine activity in Group I was 12.42+4.68 days and in Group II it was 16.34+5.62 days. These results were similar to some other studies.<sup>16,17</sup> Overall we found that patients who received early cholecystectomy had less post-operative complication than the patients

#### Med. Forum, Vol. 30, No. 6

received delayed cholecystectomy. We found no mortality during the study period and at follow-up.

## CONCLUSION

Acute cholecystitis is the most common disorder and laparoscopic cholecystectomy is the most common performing procedure in surgical department. It is concluded from this study that patients who received early laparoscopic cholecystectomy had less complication rate as compared to delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Early laparoscopic cholecystectome was safe and cost effective procedure with less hospital stay and less time to back to routine activity.

#### **Author's Contribution:**

Samina Karim
Ahmad Shah
Mohammad Ishaq
Durani
Samina Karim, Ahmad
Shah
Samina Karim

**Conflict of Interest:** The study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Cuschieri A. Approach to the treatment of acute cholecystitis: open surgical, laparoscopic or endoscope? Endoscopy 1993;25:397-8.
- 2. Järvinen HJ, Hästbacka J. Early cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis: a prospective randomized study. Ann Surg 1980;191:501.
- Siddiqui T, MacDonald A, Chong PS, Jenkins JT. Early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. Am J Surg 2008;195: 40-7.
- 4. Takada T, Kawarada Y, Nimura Y, Yoshida M, Mayumi T, Sekimoto M, et al. Background: Tokyo guidelines for the management of acute cholangitis and cholecystitis. J Hepato-Biliary-Pancreatic Sci 2007;14:1-0.
- Lai PB, Kwong KH, Leung KL, Kwok SP, Chan AC, Chung SC, et al. Randomized trial of early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis. Br J Surg 1998; 85:764-7.
- Soper NJ, Stockmannpt, Dunnegan DL, Ashley SW. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy. The new 'gold standard'? Arch Surg 1992;127:917-21.

- 7. Jarrar MS, Chouchène I, Fadhl H, Ghrissi R, Elghali A, Ferhi F, et al. Early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for lithiasic acute cholecystitis during emergency admissions. results of a monocentric experience and review of the literature. Tunis Med 2016;94:519-24.
- Uysal E, Turel KS, Sipahi M, Isik O, Yilmaz N, Yilmaz FA. Comparison of early and interval laparoscopic cholecystectomy for treatment of acute cholecystitis. which is better? a multicentered study. Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech 2016; 26:e117-21.
- 9. Chang TC, Lin MT, Wu MH, Wang MY, Lee PH. Evaluation of early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy in the treatment of acute cholecystitis. Hepatogastroenterol 2009;56:26-8.
- Zhou MW, Gu XD, Xiang JB, Chen ZY. Comparison of clinical safety and outcomes of early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis: a meta-analysis. Sci World J 2014;14:2014
- 11. Memon AA, Maheshwari T, Lal K, Memon ZY, Tariq A. Complications of laparoscopic cholecystectomy in acute cholecystitis. Med Channel 2013;19(2):56–9.
- 12. Rouf Gul RA, Sheikh RA, Salroo NA, Matoo AR, Wani SH. Comparison of early and delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis: experience from a single center. North Am J Med Sci. 2013;5:414.
- 13. Minutolo V, Licciardello A, Arena M, Nicosia A, Di Stefano B, Calì G, et al. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in the treatment of acute cholecystitis: comparison of outcomes and costs between early and delayed cholecystectomy. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2014;18:40-6.
- 14. Garber SM, Korman J, Cosgrove JM, Cohen JR. Early laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis. Surgical endoscopy. 1997;11:347-50.
- 15. Lo CM, Liu CL, Fan ST, Lai EC, Wong J. Prospective randomized study of early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis. Ann Surg. 1998;227:461.
- 16. Johansson M, Thune A, Blomqvist A, Nelvin L, Lundell L. Management of acute cholecystitis in the laparoscopic era: results of a prospective, randomized clinical trial. J Gastrointestinal Surg 2003;7:642-5.
- 17. Chhajed R et al. Early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis: a comparative study. Int Surg J 2018;5(10):3381-5.