Original Article

113

Hepatitis C Virus **Clinical Presentation of Hepatitis C** in Urban and **Rural Patients**

Marifat Shah

Virus in Urban and Rural Patients

ABSTRACT

Objective: The objective of this study was to determine the clinical presentation of hepatitis C and comparison of these features of hepatitis C among urban and rural areas.

Study Design: Descriptive / Cross sectional study

Place and Duration of Study: This study was conducted at the Jinnah Teaching Hospital attached to the Jinnah Medical College, Peshawar from October, 2017 to December, 2018

Materials and Methods: This cross sectional descriptive study was conducted on 100 consecutive patients. The participants were diagnosed for hepatitis C by suggesting Anti-HCV antibody through immune chromatographic technique (ICT) followed by ELISA and PCR (qualitative) to confirm; and ultrasound abdomen to detect ascites and any hepatic parenchymal changes. Patient's names, age, sex, marital status, address (rural and urban) and clinical presentations were recorded. Descriptive statistics were calculated in SPSS 20.0.

Results: Comparison was made for clinical presentations of Hepatitis C between rural and urban areas using chisquare test. P-value ≤ 0.05 was considered significant. Male were n=40(40%) and female were n=60(60%). The mean age was 41.78±14.19 years. The age ranged from 17 to 75 years. Most of the participants were married n=95(95%). The clinical presentation of hepatitis C were generalized body aches, jaundice, lethargy, fever, arthralgia, ascites, and malaise etc. The most common presentation of hepatitis C in our sample was generalized body aches n=45(45%). Of total the n=7(7%) cases reported arthralgia. Jaundice was found in n=5(5%) participants. Lethargy was found in n=7(7%) cases. Five (5%) cases presented with ascites. Malaise was reported by 7 cases. Nine (9%) cases were asymptomatic.

Conclusion: The difference in clinical presentations of hepatitis C between urban and rural areas was not statistically significant (P>0.05). This showed that the main role in clinical presentation of Hepatitis C is genetic and environment may have fewer roles.

Key Words: Hepatitis C, clinical presentations, rural, urban

Citation of articles: Shah M. Clinical Presentation of Hepatitis C Virus in Urban and Rural Patients. Med Forum 2019;30(5):113-116.

INTRODUCTION

Generally the term Hepatitis refer to inflammation of the liver, may resulting from many causes like viral, bacterial, fungal, parasitic organisms, alcohol, drugs, autoimmune diseases, and metabolic diseases.¹ More than 50% of cases of acute hepatitis in the America are presented mainly in the emergency department.²

Round about 55% to 85% of patients develop chronic hepatitis C post exposure to hepatitis C virus (HCV). The objective of hepatitis treatment is to eradicate the virus, attain a sustained virologic response (SVR), and intercept disease progression to avoid late complications.³

May, 2019

Printed:

Correspondence: Dr. Marifat Shah, Assistant Professor, Jinnah Medical College, Peshawar. Contact No: 0346-9041984 Email: marifats@hotmail.com January, 2019 Received: Accepted: March, 2019

Treatment of hepatitis with interferon based regimens are no longer recommended; as oral, direct acting antiviral agents are now recommended as the first line treatment. Complications of HCV in long-term comprise of cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC).4,5

Most patients are asymptomatic during initial exposure to the HCV.6 Approximately 30% of individuals present with features like fatigue, arthralgia, or jaundice, associated with a transient increase in serum aminotransferases, especially alanine amino-transferase,⁷ but fulminant hepatic failure is a rare occurrence.8 A spontaneous eradication of hepatitis C virus infection happens in 15%-30% of patients, while in the residual percentage the infection becomes chronic.9, Nevertheless, another study reported that after acute infection, up to 45% of young healthy individuals may produce a vigorous antibodies and cellular mediated immune responses, which results in the spontaneous eradication of the viral agent.¹¹

Hoofnagle reported that extra-hepatic presentation may result in a poor health related quality of life. The symptoms of these comprise of fatigue, anorexia, muscles aches, joint pain, irritation, and headaches.⁷ Fatigue is possibly the most common and disabling

Med. Forum, Vol. 30, No. 5

extra-hepatic symptom of hepatitis C, found in almost 50% of the affected patients. Shakil et al.¹² reported that fatigue was found in about 61% of US blood donors who were affected by HCV. In another study on 239 participants with various causes of liver disease (including HCV) were examined in an outpatient setting, 70% had some form of musculoskeletal pain, and 54% had fatigue.¹³

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This cross sectional descriptive study was carried out at Jinnah Teaching Hospital attached to the Jinnah Medical College, Peshawar, from October, 2017 to December, 2018 on 100 consecutive patients. Sampling was done using convenient sampling technique. Approval was taken from ethical review committee of the hospital. After detailed explanation to the participants regarding the purpose of the study a verbal informed consent was taken.

A detail history was taken followed by relevant examination. All participants were diagnosed for hepatitis C by history, examination and appropriate laboratory investigations. The participants were diagnosed for hepatitis C by suggesting Anti-HCV antibody through immune chromatographic technique (ICT) followed by confirmation with Enzyme-linked immune sorbent assay(ELISA) and qualitative (PCR).Abdominal Polvmerase chain reaction ultrasound was also advised for each patient to look for the presence of Ascites and any parenchymal liver disease or focal mass lesion e.g. hepatocellular carcinoma. Patient's name, age, sex, marital status, addresses (rural and urban) and clinical presentations were recorded in pre-structured proforma. Pakistani nationals, both genders, age above 15 years and cooperative patients were included in this study.

The collected data were analyzed using SPSS version 20.0. Mean and standard deviation were calculated for quantitative variables like age. Frequency and percentages were computed for qualitative variables like sex, marital status, address (rural and urban) and clinical presentations of Hepatitis C. Comparison were made for clinical presentations of Hepatitis C between rural and urban areas using chi-square test. P-value less than or equal to 0.05 (≤ 0.05) was considered significant.

RESULTS

The total participants were hundred (100), in which male were n=40(40%) and female were n=60(60%). The mean age was 41.78 ± 14.19 years. The age ranged from 17 to 75 years. Most of the participants were married n=95(95%). Half of the sample belong to urban n=50(50%) and half to rural areas n=50(50%). The most common age group was 31-45 years n=31(31%) followed by 46-60 years n=29(29%) and 15-30 years

n=28(28%). The least number of participants were in age group 61-75 years n=12(12%). The details of frequency of age groups, gender, marital status and rural/urban are given in table 1.

Table	No.1:	Frequency	of	age	groups,	genders,
marital status and rural/urban area						

Variable		Frequency	Percent	
	15-30	28	28.0	
	31-45	31	31.0	
Age group	46-60	29	29.0	
	61-75	12	12.0	
	Total	100	100.0	
	Male	40	40	
Gender	Female	60	60	
	Total	100	100	
	Married	95	95	
Marital status	Unmarried	5	5	
wiai itai status	Total	100	100	
	Urban	50	50	
Urban/Rural	Rural	50	50	
	Total	100	100	

Table No.2: Frequency of clinical presentations of Hepatitis C

Clinical presentations of Hepatitis C	Frequency	Percent	
GBA	45	45	
GBA, Routine screening	3	3	
Routine	0	0	
screening(asymptomatic)	9	9	
Lethargy. GBA	3	3	
Maliase, Burning sensation	1	1	
GBA, Arthalgia	2	2	
Maliase	1	1	
Arathalgia, Malaise	1	1	
GBA, Ascites	1	1	
Acute Hepatic (Jaundice)	5	5	
Feverish, Malaise	1	1	
GBA, pain RHC	2	2	
Feverish, Legs aches	4	4	
Arthralgia	7	7	
Ascites	5	5	
A/N screen	6	6	
Lethargy, Burning sensation	1	1	
Lethargy, Malaise	3	3	
Total	100	100	

^{*}GBA, generalized body aches; A/N, antenatal; RHC, right hypochondrium

The clinical presentation of hepatitis C were generalized body aches, jaundice, lethargy, fever, arthralgia, Ascites, and malaise etc. The most common presentation of hepatitis C in our sample was

Med. Forum, Vol. 30, No. 5

generalized body aches n=45(45%). Of total the n=7(7%) cases reported arthralgia. Jaundice was found in 5% participants. Lethargy was found in n=7(7%) cases. Five (5%) cases presented with ascites. Malaise was reported by 7 (7%) cases. Nine (9%) cases in this study were asymptomatic and were found during routine screening for different tasks (preoperative, blood donation, going abroad etc). The six (6%) antenatal(A/N) cases were also found during the routine A/N screening (seemingly asymptomatic).Rests of details are given in table 2.

Comparison of clinical presentation of Hepatitis C between urban and rural areas showed that the difference between the two were not statistically significant (P=0.468). The details are given in table 3.

Table 3: Comparison of clinical presentation ofHepatitis C between urban and rural areas

Clinical	Address				P-
presentation of	Urban		Rural		value*
Hepatitis C	n	%	n	%	
GBA	21	21	24	24	
GBA, Routine	1	1	2	2	
screening	1	1	Z	2	
Routine	6	6	2	2	
screening	0	0	3	3	
Leg aches	0	0	0	0	
Lethargy. GBA	2	2	1	1	
Maliase, Burning	0	0	1	1	
sensation	0	0	1	1	
GBA, Arthalgia	2	2	0	0	
Malaise	1	1	0	0	
Arathalgia,	1	1	0	0	
Malaise	1	1	0	0	
GBA, Ascites	1	1	0	0	0.46
Acute Hepatic	3	3	2	2	0.40 8
(Jaundice)	5	5	2	2	0
Feverish,	0	0	1	1	
Maliase	0	0	1	1	
GBA, pain RHC	0	0	2	2	
Feverish, Legs	2	2	2	2	
aches	2	2	Z	Z	
Arthralgia	3	3	4	4	
Ascites	4	4	1	1	
A/N screen	3	3	3	3	
Lethargy					
,Burning	0	0	1	1	
sensation					
Lethargy,	0	0	3	3	
Malaise	U	0	3	3	

*Pearson Chi-Square Test

DISCUSSION

In study we determined the clinical features/ manifestations of hepatitis C in our population. Due to environmental and genetic variations the manifestations of hepatitis C may be variable in various populations. Hepatitis C virus is inconsistent because of the features of the viral RdRp (RNA-dependent RNA polymerase), increase rate of replication, and large population samples. The characteristics of Darwinian evolution of HCV are the appearance of the HCV genotypes, including six main variants and a large number of subtypes.¹⁴

Our findings showed that the clinical presentation of hepatitis C were generalized body aches, jaundice, lethargy, fever, arthralgia, ascites, and malaise etc. Fatigue is a complex symptom that includes a variety of complaints encompassing malaise, lethargy, lassitude and tiredness. The fatigue comprises the most common complaint among the hepatitis patients. ¹⁵ The incidence of fatigue in hepatic pathologies is less clearly defined. Fatigue is usually an integral part of the clinical features of individuals affected by autoimmune hepatitis, frequently paralleling liver inflammation as by serum alanine aminotransferase detected quantification or liver biopsy findings, and response is frequently fairly quick to the immunosuppressive therapy.^{16,17} In viral hepatitis the acute presentations are often associated with feelings of fatigue or malaise, which slowly subsides as the patient recovers clinically (e.g. from hepatitis A). Though, the scenario in patients with chronic viral hepatitis appears to be more debatable. Specially, a significant frequency of patients with chronic hepatitis C who are followed in tertiary care centers, or who are used as a participant in clinical trials, complain of fatigue or decreased vitality, which has a direct negative impact on their health related quality of life.18

Our findings showed that the most common presentation of hepatitis C in our sample was generalized body aches. A study Barkhuizen et al.¹³ on 239 participants with various causes of liver disease (including HCV) were examined in an outpatient setting, 70% had some form of musculoskeletal pain, and 54% had fatigue. These results are similar to our findings.

In the present study the comparison of clinical presentation of Hepatitis C between urban and rural showed the difference between the two areas were not statistically significant (P=0.468). This showed the main role in clinical presentation of Hepatitis C is genetic and environment has fewer roles. No such study has been traced in literature on comparison of clinical presentation of Hepatitis C between urban and rural areas.

However, this study is cross sectional design and small sample study; so more studies of randomized clinical trial design are required to further explore this area.

CONCLUSION

- The clinical presentations of hepatitis C were generalized body aches, jaundice, lethargy, fever, arthralgia, ascites, and malaise
- The most common presentation of hepatitis C in our sample was generalized body aches
- The difference in clinical presentations of hepatitis C between urban and rural areas were not statistically significant (P>0.05).

Author's Contribution:

Concept & Design of Study:	Marifat Shah
Drafting:	Marifat Shah
Data Analysis:	Marifat Shah
Revisiting Critically:	Marifat Shah
Final Approval of version:	Marifat Shah

Conflict of Interest: The study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

REFERENCES

- 1. Grewal US, Walia G, Bakshi R, Chopra S. Hepatitis B and C Viruses, their coinfection and correlations in chronic liver disease patients: A tertiary care hospital study. Int J Appl Basic Med Res 2018;8(4):204-9.
- Poynard T, Cacoub P, Ratziu V, Myers R, Dezailles M, Mercadier A, et al. Fatigue in patients with chronic hepatitis C. J Viral Hepat. 2002; 9(4):295-303.
- 3. Yoon EL, Sinn DH, Lee HW, Kim JH. Current status and strategies for the control of viral hepatitis A in Korea. Clin Molecul Hepatol. 2017; 23(3):196-202.
- 4. Jawad A. Hepatitis C. BMJ 2017;358: j2861(https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.j2861).
- 5. Terrault NA. Care of Patients Following Cure of Hepatitis C Virus Infection. Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018;14(11):629-34.
- 6. Villano SA, Vlahov D, Nelson KE, Cohn S, Thomas DL. Persistence of viremia and the importance of long-term follow-up after acute hepatitis C infection. Hepatol 1999;29(3):908-14.
- Hoofnagle JH. Hepatitis C: the clinical spectrum of disease. Hepatol 1997;26(S3):15S-20S.

- Kodali S, McGuire BM. Diagnosis and management of hepatic encephalopathy in fulminant hepatic failure. Clin Liver Dis 2015;19(3):565-76.
- Deutsch M, Papadopoulos N, Hadziyannis ES, Koskinas J. Clinical characteristics, spontaneous clearance and treatment outcome of acute hepatitis C: a single tertiary center experience. Saudi J Gastroentrol 2013;19(2):81-9.
- Wang CC, Krantz E, Klarquist J, Krows M, McBride L, Scott EP, et al. Acute hepatitis C in a contemporary US cohort: modes of acquisition and factors influencing viral clearance. J Infect Dis 2007;196(10):1474-82.
- 11. Gerlach JT, Diepolder HM, Zachoval R, Gruener NH, Jung M-C, Ulsenheimer A, et al. Acute hepatitis C: high rate of both spontaneous and treatment-induced viral clearance1. Gastroenterol 2003;125(1):80-8.
- Shakil AO, Conry-Cantilena C, Alter HJ, Hayashi P, Kleiner DE, Tedeschi V, et al. Volunteer blood donors with antibody to hepatitis C virus: clinical, biochemical, virologic, and histologic features. Annal Int Med 1995;123(5):330-7.
- Barkhuizen A, Rosen HR, Wolf S, Flora K, Benner K, Bennett RM. Musculoskeletal pain and fatigue are associated with chronic hepatitis C. Ame J Gastroenterol 1999;94(5):1355.
- 14. Pawlotsky J-M. Hepatitis C virus genetic variability: pathogenic and clinical implications. Clin Liv Dis 2003;7(1):45-66.
- 15. Swain MG. Fatigue in liver disease: pathophysiology and clinical management. Canad J Gastroent Hepatol 2006;20(3):181-8.
- 16. Ferrari R, Pappas G, Agostinelli D, Muratori P, Muratori L, Lenzi M, et al. Type 1 autoimmune hepatitis: patterns of clinical presentation and differential diagnosis of the 'acute'type. J Hepatol 2004;97(7):407-12.
- 17. Czaja AJ, Freese DK. Diagnosis and treatment of autoimmune hepatitis. Hepatol. 2002;36(2):479-97.
- Kenny-Walsh E. Clinical outcomes after hepatitis C infection from contaminated anti-D immune globulin. New Engl J Med 1999;340(16):1228-33.