Original Article

Comparison of Thoracic Epidural and Paravertebral Block for Postoperative **Pain Control in Patients with Thoracotomy**

Epidural and Paravertebral Block for **Postoperative** Pain with **Thoracotomy**

Muhammad Imran¹, Burhanud Din², Zeeshan Ehsan³, Jawad Hameed², Abid Haleem Khattak² and Abdul Baseer³

ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare post-operative analgesic effect and complications of thoracic epidural and paravertebral block in thoracotomy patients.

Study Design: Randomized clinical trial study

Place and Duration of Study: This study was conducted at the Lady Reading Hospital in Peshawar from November 2022 to October 2023.

Methods: Overall, 380 patients were included in this study both genders. Patients were divided into two groups (TPVB and TEA) by lottery method. There were 306 patients received TPVB and 74 patients received TEA. Both groups, TEB or PVB as applicable, had their respective interventions applied 30 minutes before end of surgery, with the time duly recorded.

Results: VAS score at 1 hour of thoracotomy was 3.96 ± 1.13 in TPVB group and 4.16 ± 1.22 in TEA group, at 2 hours it was 3.54±0.81 and 3.44±1.21, at 6 hours 3.08±0.93 and 3.09±0.98, at 12 hours 2.46±0.66 and 2.41±0.69, at 24 hours it was 1.97±0.28 and 1.98±0.31 in TPBV and TEA group, respectively.

Conclusion: Management of postoperative pain in thoracotomy patients, it was noted that preemptive thoracic paravertebral block (TPVB) and thoracic epidural analgesia (TEA) resulted in similar Visual Analog Scale (VAS) scores and supplemental analgesic requirements.

Key Words: Thoracic epidural analgesia, Thoracic paravertebral block, Visual analogue score, Thoracotomy, Complications

Citation of article: Imran M, Din B, Ehsan Z, Hameed J, Khattak AH, Baseer A. Comparison of Thoracic Epidural and Paravertebral Block for Postoperative Pain Control in Patients with Thoracotomy. Med Forum 2024;35(2):41-44. doi:10.60110/medforum.350209.

INTRODUCTION

Thoracotomy inflicts substantial trauma and a major contributor to severe acute pain during the postoperative period¹. Inadequately managed acute pain following thoracotomy can elevate postoperative morbidity and extend hospitalization durations. Failure to effectively address thoracotomy-related pain may lead to the onset of chronic pain, impeding patients' ability to resume normal activities for an extended period 2,3 .

Various analgesic methods, including plane blocks, thoracic epidural analgesia (TEA), intercostal nerve blocks, pleural blocks and thoracic paravertebral block

Department of Thoracic Surgery / Anesthesia² Cardiothoracic Surgery³, Lady Reading Hospital, Peshawar.

Correspondence: Dr. Jawad Hameed, MBBS, Assistant Professor of Anesthesia, Lady Reading Hospital, Peshawar. Contact No: 0333 9202031

Email: drjawadhameed@gmail.com

Received: November, 2023 Accepted: December, 2023 Printed: February, 2024

(TPVB), have been proposed for thoracotomy pain management⁴. While the risk of spinal hematoma is comparatively lower in patient of normal coagulation with for block applications⁵. Despite this, thoracic epidural analgesia (TEA) continues to be regarded as the gold standard technique for managing postthoracotomy pain^{6,7}.

The paravertebral space, extending on either side of the vertebral column in a wedge-shaped manner, houses structures such as the dorsal ramus, spinal nerve, sympathetic chain, rami communicantes⁸. When a local anesthetic is administered to the paravertebral space, it induces sympathetic or somatic block, unilateral analgesia, making it a suitable choice for providing anesthesia during unilateral surgical procedures in the thoracic region^{9,10}. The TPVB technique can be applied bilaterally or unilaterally, offering the advantage of avoiding contralateral sympathetic block, unlike TEA, and minimizing the risk of hypotension while maintaining blood pressure¹¹.

Study aimed to assess and compare the effectiveness as well as the occurrence of side effects associated with the TEA and TPVB methods applied for analgesia management after thoracotomy analgesia in the context of postoperative acute pain.

METHODS

This study conducted at Lady Reading Hospital in Peshawar from November 2022 to October 2023 was initiated after Ethical approval. Data of patients who underwent elective thoracic surgery at the hospital were recorded on a pre-designed performa. Inclusion criteria comprised individuals aged 18 to 80, having undergone thoracotomy, and receiving either thoracic epidural analgesia (TEA) or thoracic paravertebral block (TPVB) for postoperative pain management.

Exclusions were made for patients those operated under emergency conditions, or individuals with pre-existing chronic pain who constantly used analgesics. "Catheter failure," defined as catheter dislocation, postoperative kinking, and epidural catheter occlusion. The patients were divided into TPVB and TEA, using a lottery method.

In the TEA group, patients were prepared in aseptic environment, and skin anesthesia injected. The epidural space was accessed between T5-T7 using an 18-Gauge Tuohy needle. A four-centimeter segment of the catheter was intentionally positioned within the epidural space. To omit the risk of vascular and intrathecal injection, a test dose comprising 5 μ g/ml (1:200,000) adrenaline and 3 ml of 2% lidocaine was administered, and subsequent assessment involved placing patients in the supine position and evaluating the bilateral block through a pin-prick test.

During the surgical procedure, epidural analgesia was achieved by combining 67.5 ml of 0.5% bupivacaine, 200 ml of saline, and morphine 10 mg/ml. During the initial 24-hour postoperative period, a 0.125% bupivacaine infusion was given epidurally through an elastomeric pump at a constant rate of 4 ml/h as part of our study comparing its effectiveness with thoracic paravertebral block (TPVB). Patients in the TPVB group underwent ultrasound-guided paravertebral block placement 2-3 cm lateral to T5 spinous process under general anesthesia. Patients received 1g of paracetamol every 8 hours. Patient satisfaction was categorized as satisfied, moderately satisfied, or unsatisfied.

Data analyses were conducted using SPSS for Windows, version 27.0 after analysis p < 0.05 was considered for all statistical analyses.

RESULTS

Overall, 380 patients were included in this study both genders. There were 306 (80.5%) patients received TPVB and 74 (19.5%) patients received TEA. The demographics and baseline characteristics of both the groups were almost equal (p>0.050). (Table. 1).

The distribution of VAS score, additional analysis requirements and satisfaction level of both the study groups was almost equal (p>0.050). (Table. 2).

The side effects, nausea-vomiting, bradycardia, hypotension, headache, itching, sweating and

respiratory depression, were more common in the patients, who received TEA as compare to the patients who received TPVB, (p<0.001). (Table. 3).

Table No. 1: Demographics and baseline characteristics of both the study groups

characteristics of both the study groups				
Variable	TPVB	TEA	p-	
	306 (80.5%)	74 (19.5%)	valu	
			e	
Age (years)	58.52±10.25	60.63±12.42	0.34	
			2	
Sex				
Male	220 (71.9)	54 (73.0)	0.85	
Female	86 (28.1)	20 (27.0)	3	
BMI (kg/m ²)	26.21±3.48	27.82±3.52	0.17	
			4	
ASA				
II	98 (32.0)	20 (27.0)	0.40	
III	208 (68.0)	54 (73.0)	4	
Diagnosis				
Lung cancer	217 (70.9)	59 (79.7)	0.09	
Bronchiectasis	38 (12.4)	9 (12.2)	3	
Hydatid Cyst	12 (3.9)	5 (6.8)		
Pleural	11 (3.6)	1 (1.4)		
thickening/effusio				
n				
Interstitial Lung	19 (6.2)	0 (0.0)		
Disease				
Other	9 (2.6)	0 (0.0)		
Operation type				
Thoracotomy	224 (73.2)	55 (74.3)	0.84	
VATS +	82 (26.8)	19 (25.7)	5	
Thoracotomy				
Operation				
Lung Resection	164 (53.6)	44 (59.5)	0.63	
Pneumonectomy	75 (24.5)	15 (20.3)	5	
Exploration-	40 (13.1)	7 (9.5)		
Decortication				
Other	27 (8.8)	8 (10.8)		
Duration of	270.33±15.2	268.28±16.5	0.30	
Anesthesia	6	2	6	

Table No. 2: Distribution of VAS score, additional analgesic requirements and satisfaction level of both

the study groups Variable TPVB TEA p-74 (19.5%) 306 value (80.5%)VAS at 1 hour 3.96±1.13 4.16±1.22 0.197 VAS at 2 hours 3.54±0.81 3.44±1.21 0.342 VAS at 6 hours 3.08±0.93 3.09±0.98 0.944 VAS at 12 hours 2.46±0.66 2.41±0.69 0.524 VAS at 24 hours 1.97±0.28 1.98 ± 0.31 0.796 61 (19.9) Additional 18 (24.3) 0.404 Analgesic Requirements Satisfaction level Moderate 77 (25.2) 13 (17.6) 0.168 Fully satisfied 229 (74.8) 61 (82.4)

Table No. 3: Distribution of side effects between both the study groups

Variable	TPVB	TEA	p-
	306	74	value
	(80.5%)	(19.5%)	
Nausea-vomiting	14 (18.9)	26 (35.1)	< 0.001
Hypotension	8 (2.6)	13 (17.6)	< 0.001
Bradycardia	26 (8.5)	19 (25.7)	< 0.001
Headache	1 (0.3)	4 (5.4)	< 0.001
Itching	2 (0.7)	8 (10.8)	< 0.001
Sweating	1 (0.3)	6 (8.1)	< 0.001
Respiratory	1 (0.3)	5 (6.8)	< 0.001
depression			

DISCUSSION

Thoracotomy, considered one of the most painful surgical procedures, poses a significant risk of postoperative pulmonary complications, including pulmonary embolism, pain-related atelectasis, and pneumonia. Inadequate treatment of this pain can lead to heightened postoperative morbidity and an extended hospital stay¹². After thoracotomy effective pain management can hinder these complications.

The distribution of VAS score, additional analgesic requirements and satisfaction level of both the study groups was almost equal, in a study Zengin et al¹³ reported that preemptive thoracic paravertebral block (TPVB) combined with postoperative intravenous patient-controlled analgesia (PCA) and postoperative complications were less frequent when compared to TEA, while both approaches exhibited similar Visual Analog Scale (VAS) scores and additional analgesic requirements. Consequently, the findings suggest that TPVB, especially when coupled with postoperative IV PCA, may serve as a favorable alternative for preventing acute pain following thoracotomy.

Studies conducted by Karmakar et al¹⁴ and Yeung et al¹⁵ concluded that thoracic Epidural Analgesia (TEA), a regional analgesia technique, continues to serve as the gold standard for managing post-thoracotomy pain; however, recent studies suggest that Thoracic Paravertebral Block (TPVB), increasingly utilized in recent years, may offer a comparable or even superior analgesic effect compared to TEA.

The analgesic effect of thoracic paravertebral block (TPVB) arises from unilateral somatic and sympathetic block effects, providing pain relief across both upper and lower dermatomes within the application area. This includes achieving pain control along the thoracotomy line comparable to thoracic epidural analgesia (TEA)¹⁶. Our study demonstrated similar pain scores between TPVB and TEA, along with comparable rates of additional analgesic use, aligning with existing literature and supporting the efficacy of TPVB as an effective method for acute pain management following thoracotomy.

In this study side effects, nausea-vomiting, hypotension, bradycardia, headache, itching, sweating and respiratory depression, were more common in the patients, who received TEA as compare to the patients who received TPVB, the differences were statistically significant, (p<0.001). The use of thoracic epidural analgesia (TEA) is restricted due to the potential emergence of undesired complications, including bradycardia, hypotension, urinary retention, nauseavomiting, and which may arise as a consequence of sympathetic block¹⁷.

In this study additional analgesic requirement were needed in 19.9% patients in TPVB group and 24.3% in TEA group. In recent years, the acceleration of postoperative recovery, particularly in thoracic surgery, has emerged as a significant concern, with a focus on Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) protocols. These protocols prioritize opioid-free and low complication rate analgesia strategies to support optimal patient outcomes and recovery¹⁸.

A study was conducted by Mukherjee et al¹⁹ and reported that patients who received PVB for postoperative analgesia had better pain relief compared to those who received TEB. Additionally, improvement with PVB not only occurred immediately after surgery but also lasted for a longer duration. Another author found that patients who received paravertebral bupivacaine plus fentanyl experienced superior pain relief however, there were no significant differences observed in supplementary analgesic requirements between the two groups.

Another study, randomly assigned 45 patients to paravertebral infusion of bupivacaine, blocks in intercostal region, thoracic epidural injection, revealing no significant differences in respiratory depression, pain reduction, or adverse events after 20 hours of block.

CONCLUSION

In terms early postoperative pain management following thoracotomy, it was noted that preemptive thoracic paravertebral block (TPVB) and thoracic epidural analgesia (TEA) resulted in similar Visual Analog Scale (VAS) scores and supplemental analgesic requirements. However, patients receiving TPVB exhibited fewer postoperative complications compared to those with TEA, suggesting that TPVB could be a viable alternative for preventing acute pain after thoracotomy.

Author's Contribution:

Concept & Design of Study: Muhammad Imran Drafting: Burhanud Din,

Burhanud Din, Zeeshan Ehsan Jawad Hameed, Abid Haleem Khattak, Abdul

Baseer

Data Analysis:

Revisiting Critically: Muhammad Imran Final Approval of version: Muhammad Imran

Conflict of Interest: The study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

Source of Funding: None

Ethical Approval: No. 201/LRH/MPI dated

21.11.2022

REFERENCES

- 1. Vilvanathan S, Kuppuswamy B, Sahajanandan R. A randomized control trial to compare thoracic epidural with intercostal block plus intravenous morphine infusion for postoperative analgesia in patients undergoing elective thoracotomy. Annals Cardiac Anaesthesia 2020;23(2):127.
- Hussain S, Mehmood H, Asad H, Rahat SA, Khan A. Comparing Pain and Quality of Life Measures after Anatomic Lung Resection Using Either Thoracoscopy or Thoracotomy. Med J South Punjab 2023;4(2):63-9.
- Xiu-Liang L, Zhang J, Wan L, Wang J. Efficacy of single-shot thoracic paravertebral block combined with intravenous analgesia versus continuous thoracic epidural analgesia for chronic pain after thoracotomy. Pain Physician 2021;24(6):E753.
- Pehlivanoğlu F, Mehtap TU, Sazak H, Pehlivanoğlu P, Zengin M, Alagöz A. Comparison of continuous thoracic epidural block and continuous thoracic paravertebral block for management of post thoracotomy pain: a randomised trial. Anatolian Current Med J 2022;4(2):179-84.
- Tamura T, Mori S, Mori A, et al. A randomized controlled trial comparing paravertebral block via the surgical field with thoracic epidural block using ropivacaine for post-thoracotomy pain relief. J Anesth 2017;31:263-70.
- Kumar S, Hameed M, Shehzad R, Samad K. The comparison of the analgesic efficacy of continuous paravertebral block alone compared with continuous paravertebral and intercostal nerve block for thoracotomy in adults: a randomized controlled trial. J Anesthesia 2023;37(6):923-9.
- Elsabeeny WY, Ibrahim MA, Shehab NN, Mohamed A, Wadod MA. Serratus anterior plane block and erector spinae plane block versus thoracic epidural analgesia for perioperative thoracotomy pain control: a randomized controlled study. J Cardiothoracic Vascular Anesthesia 2021;35(10):2928-36.
- 8. Liang XL, An R, Chen Q, Liu HL. The analgesic effects of thoracic paravertebral block versus thoracic epidural anesthesia after thoracoscopic surgery: a meta-analysis. J Pain Res 2021;Mar 26:815-25.

- 9. Xu M, Hu J, Yan J, Yan H, Zhang C. Paravertebral block versus thoracic epidural analgesia for postthoracotomy pain relief: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. Thoracic Cardiovascular Surgeon 2021;70(05):413-21.
- 10. Patel A, Kumar V, Garg R, Bhatnagar S, Mishra S, Gupta N, et al. Comparison of analgesic efficacy of ultrasound-guided thoracic paravertebral block versus surgeon-guided serratus anterior plane block for acute postoperative pain in patients undergoing thoracotomy for lung surgery-A prospective randomized study. Saudi J Anaesthesia 2020;14(4):423.
- 11. Borys M, Hanych A, Czuczwar M. Paravertebral block versus preemptive ketamine effect on pain intensity after posterolateral thoracotomies: A randomized controlled trial. J Clin Med 2020;9(3):793.
- 12. Spaans LN, Dijkgraaf MG, Meijer P, Mourisse J, Bouwman RA, Verhagen AF, et al. Optimal postoperative pain management after VATS lung resection by thoracic epidural analgesia, continuous paravertebral block or single-shot intercostal nerve block (OPtriAL): study protocol of a three-arm multicentre randomised controlled trial. BMC Surg 2022;22(1):330.
- Zengin M, Baldemir R, Ülger G, Sazak H, Alagöz A. Comparison of thoracic epidural analgesia and thoracic paravertebral block in pain management after thoracotomy. Anatolian Current Med J 2022;4(1):70-5.
- 14. Karmakar MK. Thoracic paravertebral block. Anesthesiol 2001;95: 771-80.
- Yeung JH, Gates S, Naidu BV, Wilson MJ, Gao Smith F. Paravertebral block versus thoracic epidural for patients undergoing thoracotomy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2016;2:CD009121.
- 16. Özden Omaygenç D, Çıtak N, İşgörücü Ö, et al. Comparison of thoracic epidural and intravenous analgesia from the perspective of recovery of respiratory function in the early post-thoracotomy period in lung cancer surgery. Turk Thorac J 2021;22: 31-6.
- 17. Fang B, Wang Z, Huang X. Ultrasound-guided preoperative single-dose erector spinae plane block provides comparable analgesia to thoracic paravertebral block following thoracotomy: a single center randomized controlled double-blind study. Ann Transl Med 2019;7:174.
- 18. Batchelor TJP, Ljungqvist O. A surgical perspective of ERAS guidelines in thoracic surgery. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol 2019;32: 17-22.
- 19. Mukherjee M, Goswami A, Gupta SD, Sarbapalli D, Pal R, Kar S. Analgesia in post-thoracotomy patients: Comparison between thoracic epidural and thoracic paravertebral blocks. Anesth Essays Res 2010;4(2):75-80.