#### **Original Article**

# Diagnostic Accuracy of Ultrasonography in Diagnosis of Intussusceptions in Pediatric Patients

Ultrasonography in Diagnosis of Intussusceptions in Children

Rabia Aqeel<sup>1</sup>, Bushra Mohsin<sup>2</sup>, Amber Goraya<sup>1</sup>, Sara Maqbool<sup>1</sup>, Wajeeha Imran Andrabi<sup>3</sup> and Ameenah Khan<sup>4</sup>

## ABSTRACT

**Objective:** To determine the diagnostic accuracy of ultrasonography in diagnosis of intussusceptions in pediatric patients at a tertiary care hospital.

Study Design: Cross sectional study

**Place and Duration of Study:** This study was conducted at the Department of Diagnostic Radiology The Children's Hospital & the Institute of Child Health, Lahore from July 2022 to December 2022.

**Methods:** One hundred and twenty five consecutive patients younger than 6 years old participated in this study. Patients with symptoms such as blood in the stool and abdominal pain were screened using ultrasound by a trained radiologist. Radiological and surgical outcomes were accurately assessed by regularly monitoring patients. Statistics and data were SPSS 22 was used for the analysis.

**Results:** The mean age of patients was 1.75 years, with a standard deviation of 2.11 years. In terms of gender, 63.3% were male, while 36.7% were female. Clinical presentation revealed that abdominal pain was the most common, affecting 53.3% of patients, followed by vomiting (34.4%), bloody stools (8.0%), and fever (4.8%). In the assessment of intussusception in pediatric patients, ultrasound demonstrated the following diagnostic performance as sensitivity (91.95%), specificity (78.95%), positive predictive value (90.91%), negative predictive value (81.08%), and accuracy (88.0%).

**Conclusion:** Ultrasound was found to be 91.95% sensitive, 78.95% specific and 88.0% accurate in diagnosing intussusception in children which owing to its non-invasive and radiation free nature and bedside availability advocate its preferred use in future practice.

Key Words: Diagnostic Accuracy, Ultrasonography, Intussusceptions, Children, Surgery, Imaging

Citation of article: Aqeel R, Mohsin B, Goraya A, Maqbool S, Andrabi WI, Khan A. Diagnostic Accuracy of Ultrasonography in Diagnosis of Intussusceptions in Pediatric Patients. Med Forum 2023;34(10):45-48. doi:10.60110/medforum.341010.

# **INTRODUCTION**

When one section of the bowel invaginates into the next section, a condition known as intussusception occurs. Intussusception is more common in the small intestine than the large intestine. Abdominal pain, which may come and go, bloating, bloody faeces and vomiting are all possible symptoms.<sup>1,2</sup> Obstruction of the ileocecal valve is a possible outcome. Peritonitis and intestinal perforation are two more possible risks.

<sup>3.</sup> Department of Radiology, Lahore Medical &Dental College, Lahore.

<sup>4</sup> Department of Radiology, Services Hospital, Lahore.

Correspondence: Dr. Rabia Aqeel, Senior Registrar, Paediatric Radiology, UCHS & The Children Hospital Lahore. Contact No: 03334722992

Email:	rabiaa	qeelqa	iser1@	<sup>®</sup> gmail	l.com
--------	--------	--------	--------	--------------------	-------

Received:	May, 2023
Accepted:	July, 2023
Printed:	October 2023
rinneu.	October, 2023

In the US, EU, and AU, the annual incidence rate is between 3 to 40 per 10,000 live births on average.<sup>3</sup> In children, intussusception accounts for more than 90% of all cases. However, about 5% of cases have a pathological lead point, such as Meckel diverticulum, lymphoid hyperplasia, intestinal polps, duplication cyst, lymphoma, mesenteric nodes, surgery or trauma. Intussusceptions can happen anywhere in the digestive tract, although the ileocolic and colonic types are the most common.<sup>4,5</sup>

Most cases of intussusception in children are of the ileocolic variety. In children, intussusception usually has an unknown cause, while anatomic and viral factors play a role. Endometriosis, intestinal adhesions, and intestinal tumour are all risk factors in adults.<sup>6</sup> Diagnosis is typically bolstered by medical imaging. Reduction of the intussusceptum non-surgically, employing air or contrast enemas as the modality of choice which is usually the first step in the diagnosis and treatment of this group.<sup>7</sup> Diagnosis is typically bolstered by medical imaging a condition in a child, ultrasonography is the gold standard. Patients with only mild symptoms like nausea and vomiting are increasingly being admitted to

45

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1.</sup> Department of Paediatric Radiology / Diagnostic Radiology, UCHS & The Children Hospital Lahore.

Morbidity occurs, especially because of delays in diagnosis and treatment. Conducting a study on the diagnostic accuracy of ultrasonography in pediatric intussusception diagnosis is essential due to the lack of local research in this critical area. Timely diagnosis is crucial in managing this condition, and regional factors may impact diagnostic performance. By assessing the effectiveness of ultrasonography within our specific healthcare context, we aim to provide valuable insights for local healthcare providers, potentially reducing diagnostic delays and improving patient outcomes. This research will contribute to advancing pediatric medical practice in our region, ultimately enhancing the quality of care for young patients with intussusception.

### **METHODS**

This cross-sectional study, with approval from the Research Ethics Committee was conducted on 125 consecutive patients at the Department of Diagnostic Radiology The Children's Hospital & the Institute of Child Health, Lahore from July 2022 to December 2022. All parental approval was obtained in written form. All hospital patients, both inpatients and outpatients, as well as those seen in the emergency room were included. Children of either sex younger than 6 years old who presented with the classic intussusception symptoms of colicky abdominal discomfort, vomiting, and red jelly stools were considered for inclusion. Excluded were those who had previously been diagnosed with intussusception via barium enema or CT scan, as well as those whose intussusception was reduced on barium enema.

We used a Toshiba Aplio ultrasound system (Toshiba, Tokyo, Japan) with 3.5 MHz and 8.0 MHz probes to capture the images. A senior attending radiologist with three years of experience took a series of longitudinal and transverse photographs and evaluated them. After surgery, all patients with irreducible intussusception symptoms were monitored, even those with free fluid or no blood flow on colour Doppler. In addition, we considered patients when barium enema was unsuccessful in reducing the intussusception and surgery was the only option left. The results of the patients' tests, whether positive or negative, were recorded on the attached proforma. Intussusception cases confirmed by ultrasound were surgically corrected, and the outcomes were documented. Patients whose ultrasounds came back negative were observed, and if another scan the following day showed no

intussusception, they were given only conservative care and sent home.

SPSS having Version 22.0 was used for data collection and analysis on the patients. The average and standard deviation for the ages of the patients were shown. Using surgical findings as the gold standard, negative and positive predictive values of ultrasonography for diagnosing intussusceptions were estimated after data analysis, sensitivity, and specificity were determined. Post-stratification Ultrasound's sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values were calculated using Surgery as the gold standard in a 2x2 table.

## RESULTS

The mean age of patients was 1.75 years, with a standard deviation of 2.11 years. The age distribution showed that 33.3% of patients were in the 0-1 years range, 46.7% in the 1-3 years range, and 20.0% in the 3-6 years range. In terms of gender, 63.3% were male, while 36.7% were female. Clinical presentation revealed that abdominal pain was the most common, affecting 53.3% of patients, followed by vomiting (34.4%), bloody stools (8.0%), and fever (4.8%) as shown in table 1. In the ultrasound category, 88 patients (70.4%) tested positive for intussusception, while 37 patients (29.6%) tested negative. On the other hand, in the surgery category, 80 patients (64.0%) were diagnosed as positive for intussusception, while 45 patients (36.0%) were diagnosed as negative. These findings indicate that the majority of patients tested positive for intussusception in both ultrasound and surgery modalities, with slightly higher percentages in the ultrasound group (70.4%) compared to the surgery group (64.0%) as shown in table 2.

 Table No. 1: Demographics Data age, gender and presenting complaints of study sample

Variables	Characterstics	No. of	%age
		Patients	
Age	Mean $\pm$ SD	$1.75 \pm 2.11$	-
	0-1 years	41	33.3%
	1-3 years	58	46.7%
	3-6 years	25	20.0%
Gender	Male	79	63.3%
	Female	46	36.7%
Clinical	Abdominal	66	53.3%
Presentation	Pain		
	Vomiting	43	34.4%
	-		
	Bloody Stools	10	8.0%
	Fever	06	4.8%

Table 3 displays a 2x2 contingency table assessing the diagnostic accuracy of ultrasound in detecting intussusception in children. Among the 125 patients, ultrasound correctly identified intussusception in 80 cases (True Positives) but erroneously suggested its

#### Med. Forum, Vol. 34, No. 10

presence in 8 cases (False Positives). In the assessment of intussusception in pediatric patients, ultrasound demonstrated the following diagnostic performance metrics as depicted in Table 4, sensitivity (91.95%), specificity (78.95%), positive predictive value (90.91%), negative predictive value (81.08%), and accuracy (88.0%).<sup>14,15</sup>

Table No. 2: Diagnosis of intussusception onultrasound & surgery

Modality	Intussusception	Frequen- cy	(%)
	Positive	88	70.4
Ultrasound	Negative	37	29.6
	Total	125	100.0
	Positive	80	64.0
Surgery	Negative	45	36
	Total	125	100.0

 Table No. 3: 2x2 Contingency table to determine diagnostic performance of ultrasound in diagnosing Intussusception in children

Intussusception on US	Intussusception on Surgery		Total
	Positive	Negative	
Positive	80 (TP)	08 (FP)	88
Negative	07 (FN)	30 (TN)	37
Total	80	45	125

Table No. 4: The diagnostic precision of sonography in the evaluation of suspected intussusception among pediatric patients, using surgical findings as the reference standard

Statistic	Formula	Value
Sensitivity	$\frac{a}{a+b}$	91.95%
Specificity	$\frac{d}{c+d}$	78.95%
Accuracy	$\frac{\overline{a+d}}{\overline{a+b+c+d}}$	88.0%
Disease prevalence	$\frac{a+b}{a+b+c+d}$	37.86%
Positive Predictive Value	$\frac{a}{a+c}$	90.91%

## DISCUSSION

The reliability of ultrasonography in identifying intussusception has been contested by radiologists, despite the fact that it is accurate and more cost-effective than other diagnostic modalities for intussusception. It has been noted that intussusception is the most prevalent abdominal emergency in young children and is the second-most prevalent cause of intestinal obstruct- ion, following pyloric stenosis.<sup>10</sup>

In this study mean age of the enrolled children was  $1.75\pm2.11$  years and 63.3% were male and 43.2% were patients. Our results of children age and gender were in accordance with Gul et al. The average age of the participants in the Gul et al. (2016) study in which

mean age was  $2.3\pm1.4$  years and 56.8% were male and 43.2% female patients.<sup>11</sup> Clinical presentation revealed that abdominal pain was the most common, affecting 53.3% of patients, followed by vomiting (34.4%), bloody stools (8.0%), and fever (4.8%) are in line with findings of Shuaib et al. (2022)<sup>12</sup> who reported that intussusception can manifest itself in a number of different ways clinically, but it is always accompanied by stomach discomfort and other symptoms of bowel obstruction. Similar clinical presentations are reported by Gul et al. (2016) abdominal pain in 85 (44.3%) patients, vomiting in 30 (15.6%), and abdominal mass in 16 (18.2%).<sup>13</sup>

In our study, on ultrasound examination 88(70.4%) found positive for intussusception as compared to 80 patients (64.0%) were diagnosed as positive on surgery. These are comparable with findings of Gul et al. (2016) that ultrasound examination led to the diagnosis of intussusception in 33 patients (Graph-1), while surgical intervention revealed the condition in 31 patients.<sup>13</sup> In our study sensitivity (91.95%), specificity (78.95%), positive predictive value (90.91%), negative predictive value (81.08%) and accuracy were (88.0%). Our findings are similar with study by Gul et al.(2016).<sup>13</sup> in which overall sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of ultrasonography for the diagnosis of intussusception were 83.9%, 95.7%, and 93.7%, respectively. Overall internationally, ultrasonography has been shown to have a sensitivity of 85% to 100% and a specificity of 88% to 100% when diagnosing intussusception in adults, whereas in children, these figures are 94.94%, 97.06%, and 95.57%.<sup>14,15,16,17,18</sup>

Ultrasonography is a reliable, safe, and effective tool in confirming the presence of acute intussusception in children. The morbidity associated with a late diagnosis can be reduced with early surgical intervention, which can be facilitated by USG. If ultrasonography is used as a first screening test for intussusception, the patient will be exposed to less radiation from unneeded contrast-based enemas. If the ultrasonography results are good, a contrast enema will be necessary as well, which could increase the total cost.<sup>19</sup>

Our results may potentially be limited because all of our attending physicians were paediatricians and because we only analysed data from a single centre. Last but not least, intussusception may have been present but was misdiagnosed since it resolved on its own prior to any intervention, such as an air enema.

## CONCLUSION

In the diagnosis of acute intussusception in children, ultrasonography is reliable, safe, and useful. Ultrasound was found to be 91.95% sensitive, 78.95% specific and 88.0% accurate in diagnosing intussusception in children which owing to its non-invasive and radiation free nature and bedside availability advocate its preferred use in future practice.

47

#### Med. Forum, Vol. 34, No. 10

Author's Contribution:

Concept & Design of Study:	Rabia Aqeel
Drafting:	Bushra Mohsin, Amber
	Goraya
Data Analysis:	Sara Maqbool, Wajeeha
	Imran Andrabi, Ameenah
	Khan
Revisiting Critically:	Rabia Aqeel, Bushra
	Mohsin
Final Approval of version:	Rabia Aqeel

**Conflict of Interest:** The study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

#### Source of Funding: None

Ethical Approval: No. 38658/CH&ICH dated 21.06.2022

## REFERENCES

- 1. Teixeira H, Hauswirth F, Römer N, Muller MK, Baechtold M. An ileo-colic intussusception reaching down to the descending colon - A case report. Int J Surg Case Rep 2022;93:107009.
- Restivo V, Costantino C, Giorgianni G, Cuccia M, Tramuto F, Corsello G, et al. Case-control study on intestinal intussusception: implications for antirotavirus vaccination. Expert Rev Vaccines 2018;17(12):1135-1141.
- Patsikas MN, Papazoglou LG, Paraskevas GK. Current Views in the Diagnosis and Treatment of Intestinal Intussusception. Top Companion Anim Med 2019;37:100360.
- Zhang B, Wu D, Liu M, Bai J, Chen F, Zhang R, Fang Y. The diagnosis and treatment of retrograde intussusception: a single-centre experience. BMC Surg 2021;21(1):398.
- Edwards EA, Pigg N, Courtier J, Zapala MA, MacKenzie JD, Phelps AS. Intussusception: past, present and future. Pediatr Radiol 2017;47:1101–8.
- Bari H, Karkhanis S, Dasari BVM. Pyloroduodenojejunal Intussusception due to Hyperplastic Polyp of the Brunner Gland. J Gastrointest Surg 2021;25(2):565-566.
- Xuan NT, Son NH, Thien HH. Treatment outcome of acute intussusception in children under two years of age: a prospective cohort study. Cureus 2020;12(4):e7729.
- 8. Yehouenou Tessi RT, El Haddad S, Oze KR, Mohamed Traore WY, Dinga Ekadza JA, Allali N, et al. A child's acute intestinal intussusception and literature review. Global Pediatric Health 2021;8(23):1-5.

- Levinson H, Capua T, Scolnik D, Rimon A, Salomon L, Glatstein M. Comparison between small and large bowel intussusception in children: the experience of a large tertiary care pediatric hospital. Pediatr Emergency Care 2020;36(4): e189-91.
- Rahmani E, Amani-Beni R, Hekmatnia Y, Yaseri AF, Ahadiat SA, Boroujeni PT, et al. Diagnostic Accuracy of Ultrasonography for Detection of Intussusception in Children; a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Archives Academic Emerg Med 2023;11(1):e24.
- 11. Annigeri VM, Dasar S, Gadgade B, Halgeri AB, Bagalkot PS. Childhood intussusception: a 7 years prospective analysis of data in a single center. Int J Health Sci Res 2017;7(1):34-8.
- Shuaib I, Samad MA, Khattak MA, Nawab K, Gul H, Khan N. Diagnostic accuracy of ultrasound in diagnosis of intussusception in children considering surgical findings as gold standard. Pak J Radiol 2022;32(4):208-12.
- 13. Gul P, Mansoor MA, Mahmud R, Jesrani A, Zaidi SM. The diagnostic accuracy of sonography in children suspecting intussusception keeping surgical findings as gold standard. Pak J Radiol 2016;26(4).
- 14. Gale HI, Gee MS, Westra SJ, Nimkin K. Abdominal ultrasonography of the pediatric gastrointestinal tract. World J Radiol 2016;8(7): 656-59.
- 15. Mandeville K, Chien M, Willyerd FA, Mandell G, Hostetler MA, Bulloch B. Intussusception: clinical presentations and imaging characteristics. Pediatr Emerg Care 2012;28(9):842-4.
- 16. Dadlani A, Lal S, Shahani B, Ali M. Ultrasonography for the diagnosis of intussusception in children: an experience from Pakistan. Cureus 2020;12(8):e9656.
- 17. Naseem M, Haq IU, Jan MA, Ali M. A study of sensitivity and specificity of ultrasonography in diagnosing intussusception in pediatric age group. Gomal J Med Sciences 2011;9(2):230-232.
- Sultan B, Akhtar N, Ijaz R, Rafiq DAM, Bhutto A. Accuracy of Ultrasound In Diagnosis Of Intussusception In Children Taking Surgical Procedures As Gold Standard. J Pharmaceutical Negative Results 2023;14(4):539-543.
- 19. Hsiao HJ, Wang CJ, Lee CC, Hsin YC, Yau SY, Chen SY, et al. Point-of-care ultrasound may reduce misdiagnosis of pediatric intussusception. Frontiers Pediatr 2021;9(3):60-64.