Original Article

A Comparison of the Accuracy of the London Atlas and Demirjian Age **Estimation Methods Based on Panoramic** Radiography of Developing Teeth

London Atlas and Demirjian **Age Estimation** Methods

Laila Azher Jawa¹, Zehra Azher Jawa² and Zubair Hassan Awaisi¹

ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare two age estimation methods in children by using Demirjian's and the London Atlas of Tooth Development methods and to evaluate among these two methods which is more accurate.

Study Design: Cross-sectional study

Place and Duration of Study: This study was conducted at the Department of Orthodontics at Nishtar Institute of Dentistry, Multan from May 2021 to February 2022.

Materials and Methods: To conduct this research we included OPGS of 100 children of 7 and 16 years of age group, proforma each patient was filled containing their date of birth and date of X-ray done. Then we assessed each radiograph to find out the developmental stage of tooth in respective region. Dental age estimation was calculated by using Demirjian and London Atlas methods and the difference and correlations between these two methods and chronological age was assessed. Differences and associations between two selected dental age estimation methods and chronological age were evaluated by paired t-test and Pearson's correlation analysis. P value ≤0.05 was considered as significant.

Results: In this study the mean chronological age evaluated was 10.23±2.7 years, while in London atlas method it was estimated as 10.11±2.91 and in Demirjian 10.44±2.5 years. In few number of individuals, Demirjian's method slightly over-estimated the age in females than males, while in London Atlas it underestimated the age in girls than boys, but the overall difference was not statistically significant. Both of the methods which we used in our study to determine dental age have no significant differences between them in terms of accuracy. P value for London Atlas was 0.14 and for Demirijian 0.15.

Conclusion: These two methods are reliable in estimating age of individuals of unknown chronological age.

Key Words: Age estimation, Dental age. Demirjian method. London Atlas of tooth development and eruption method, Chronological age

Citation of article: Jawa LA, Jawa ZA, Awaisi ZH. A Comparison of the Accuracy of the London Atlas and Demirjian Age Estimation Methods Based on Panoramic Radiography of Developing Teeth. Med Forum 2022;33(12):48-51.

INTRODUCTION

In different fields like in forensic and pediatric dentistry and also in orthodontics knowing exact age is of high importance. Tooth development is widely used in determining age and level of maturity. Age plays a critical role in orthodontic treatment planning, pediatric dentistry, surgeries^{1,2}and also has a significant part in terms of legal perspective^{3,4,5} and forensic dentistry⁶.

1. Department of Orthodontics / Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery², Nishtar Institute of Dentistry, Multan.

Correspondence: Dr. Laila Azher Jawa, Postgraduate Resident of Orthodontics, Nishtar Institute of Dentistry, Multan.

Contact No: 0332-0699556

Email: laila.azher.jawa@gmail.com

July, 2022 Received: September, 2022 Accepted: Printed: December, 2022

Different studies from time to time have shown that from living persons to dead, accurate estimation of age is very important. Ever since its importance was realized various methods and techniques were proposed by researchers and dentists to evaluate age with accuracy. Age simply can be chronological that is the age which can be easily calculated by date of the birth and then there is a biological age that can be assessed by secondary sexual characteristics, bone maturity and dental age. If in some instances chronological age is not known and accurate age estimation is required as mentioned earlier than there are different methods to assess age, among these techniques developmental and mineralization stages of teeth to estimate age can be used and it is the most practical option when correlated with the patient's chronological age. Another advantage of this method is that it is not afflicted by the environmental factors. Dental age estimation (DAE) method using radiographs are non-invasive, simple, fast and reproducible^{7,8}. Demirjian method was developed on French-Canadian population in 1973 is the most

commonly used radiograph-based method till now^{9,6}. The London Atlas¹⁰ technique is another approach for age estimation, this method is founded on the developmental stages of crown and root of teeth and has specific reference material for age estimation from third molars. The accuracy of these methods with chronological age and with other methods were evaluated before in many studies in different population but in Pakistan only few numbers of studies have been conducted. In past few years many unfortunate events like plane crash to non-consented marriages of minors had occurred in Pakistan where age estimation was crucial to identify individuals from remains to solve the legal issue respectively.

"Age is just a number" is a famous quote but it is not just a number in much legal, medical, dental and forensic perspective, to identify age is an important component in making decisions to solve the problems, for treatment planning and etc. In orthodontics if accurate age of patient is known it helps to plan treatment, for example many orthopedic or growth modification appliances are only applicable up to specific age, after that certain age they are of no help. There is another advantage of finding dental age in orthodontics, if chronological age of patient is known and there is a difference of ±2 years exist between dental and chronological age than we can assess from these findings that patient is early grower or late grower, this helps in planning a treatment¹¹.

As the importance of accuracy in age estimation was understood, many dental age evaluation methods were assessed to find out which technique is most trusted. In our study we compared the two most accurate dental age estimation methods found in various studies, in this study we used the London Atlas technique and Demirjian's method to estimate age. In the present study we assessed and compared the accuracy of these two methods.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study was conducted from May 2021 to February 2022 at department of Orthodontics at Nishtar Institute of Dentistry, Multan. For the purpose of comparison and to find out the accuracy between the two methods of the

dental age estimation, in this cross-sectional study we evaluated OPGs of 100 participants in their growing age of between 7 to 16 years. This study group consisted of 45 boys and 55 girls and they were divided into four groups according to age. Dental age was assessed by Demirijian's and London Atlas method. The chronological age was obtained by subtracting date on which OPG was taken with the date of birth of the individuals¹².

Differences and associations between two selected dental age estimation methods and chronological age were evaluated by paired t-test and Pearson's correlation analysis.

RESULTS

In the current study the known chronological age of the participants was registered, which was calculated by the method explained above and than we compared the calculated and documented chronological age of each participants with the dental age which was estimated by London Atlas and Demirijian's methods separately, then with each other. In this study the mean chronological age evaluated was 10.23±2.7 years, while in London atlas method it was estimated as 10.11±2.91 and in Demirjian 10.44±2.5 years. These results showed that overall there was no significant difference between the estimated mean ages. In few number of individuals, Demirjian's method slightly over-estimated the age in females than males, while in London Atlas it underestimated the age in girls than boys, but the overall difference was not statistically significant. The second method mentioned was simple and quick to apply and time saving with equivalent or has slightly more accuracy than demirijian in this specific study. In our study the results showed that in majority of the participants the frequency of deviation from chronological age lies within range of ±0.5 year as shown in table 1. P value was significant below 0.05. Pearson's correlation coefficient documented strong relation between chronological age and ages estimated by Demirijian and London atlas methods. No significant difference was detected between two methods and chronological age.

Table No.1: The frequency of deviation of ages evaluated by Demirijian's and London Atlas method from the chronological age

Chronological age	Gender	London Atlas			Demirijian's method		
		>1 y	-1 to +1	<-1year	>1 y	-1 to +1	<-1 y
7-9	Boys	1	2	2	3	1	1
	Girls	1	3	1	2	2	1
10-12	Boys	1	10	1	2	9	1
	Girls	2	12	4	3	13	2
13-15	Boys	4	16	1	2	18	1
	Girls	4	17	3	4	18	2
>15	Boys	2	3	1	2	2	2
	Girls	3	1	4	2	5	1

Table No 2.	Ages estimated by	I andan Atlas and	Demirijian method	and mean chr	analogical age
Table No.2.	Ages esumated b	LUHUUH AHAS AHU	Demininan membu	i anu mean cm	UHUIUZICAI AZE

Age	Boys		Gir	ls	Total		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Chronological age	9.8	2.92	10.1	2.28	10.23	2.7	
London atlas	9.7	2.32	10.2	2.7	10.11	2.91	
Demirijian's method	9.6	2.39	10.21	2.7	10.44	2.5	

In the above table it was observed that majority of deviation ranges between -1 to +1. Therefore, overall difference was not found to be significant. Deviation categorized by age and sex were also insignificant. Positive correlation was observed between chronological age and age estimated by the two methods.

DISCUSSION

Age determination is of a fundamental importance regarding many procedures including medical, legal and forensic perspectives and also plays a crucial part in dentistry as in treatment planning in orthodontics and pediatric dentistry and surgeries^{1,2}.

The estimation of age accurately of a person is of central significance in law when crimes were committed by minors, to rule out underage slavery and employment, to solve the issues related to child marriage and in identification of individuals in cases of mass calamity in forensic medicine. In recent years, in our country many cases have been reported regarding the abovementioned crimes. Many countries are facing an alarming expansion in crime rate committed by juveniles⁶ and age forgery in sports⁶ which has increased the demand to find out the accurate estimation of age, so that only those who are guilty of crime can receive punishment.

In various fields of dentistry dental age estimation has gained popularity due to its central importance in planning treatment, like in orthodontics, in pediatric dentistry, in forensic dentistry and in maxillofacial surgeries^{1,2}.

For the age estimation various methods have been proposed including height, weight, secondary sexual characteristics, bone and dental development ¹². Among these the one mentioned in last is commonly used. Dental development stages regarded as the most appropriate technique for determination of age because these stages are resistant to environmental changes unlike other methods which can be severely affected by intrinsic and extrinsic factors like hormonal changes, behavioral changes and socioeconomic conditions ¹².

In our study for the purpose of comparison and to check the accuracy we selected two methods, one is Demirjian⁹ method of dental age estimation which is simple, non-invasive and one of the oldest and the most widely used method⁶. The second technique is London Atlas method which we used in our study, it is also simple, novel, fast and accurate method that was developed by Alqahtani et al in 2010¹², also known as

atlas of tooth development and eruption. The objective of our study was to establish a comparison between the two chosen methods and to assess the precision of the London Atlas and Demirjian age estimation methods and for this purpose we used panoramic radiographs of developing teeth.

According to the study done by Bianca Gelbrich¹³ and coworkers, the London Atlas method provides more precise estimates of dental age. In our study the dental age estimated by London atlas method also showed more accuracy than demirjian but the difference between the two was not found to be significant enough. In comparing our study with other relevant studies like by Chhaparwal et al³, a strong correlation between chronological and dental age estimated by Demirjian method was observed like in our study.

V. Jain et al⁶used the original Demirjian method in OPGs of 102 individual showed significant mean underestimation of age unlike our study, in which among sample few were overestimated but overall difference was not significant. In our study frequency of deviation of age estimation of London Atlas from chronological age was between +1 to-1, it was similar to the results found from the study conducted by Alshihri et al14 in Saudi Arabian children and adolescents in which they used London Atlas method for age estimation, the majority (65.5%) of estimate frequency deviation was within 12 months of chronological age which was calculated. The current study demonstrated Demirjian's method to have accuracy in both genders similar to the study done by Javadinejad et al¹.

The main goal of our study was to investigate if any difference exists between dental ages estimated by the Demirjian and the London Atlas method and chronological age and how accurate the two methods were. The result of our study was similar to many studies like V. Jain et al⁶, Alshihri et al¹⁶and Rezwana Begum Mohammed et al15, they all documented that these age estimation methods are reliable and accurate. Similar to the study done in Sri Lankan population by S. Ranasinghein¹⁶ which they compared three accuracy of the three dental age estimation methods including Demirjian and doccumented that all three methods are reliable and applicable. By comparing different studies we came to know that in each population different methods are more reliable and in our study both methods prove to be applicable and reliable in our population.

CONCLUSION

The present research showed that London Atlas and Demirjian's methods determine dental age of children and adolescents with acceptable accuracy. Both of the methods are simple but the one mentioned first was more easy and time saving and slightly more accurate than demirijian in this specific study.

Author's Contribution:

Concept & Design of Study: Laila Azher Jawa Drafting: Zehra Azher Jawa,

Zubair Hassan Awaisi Zubair Hassan Awaisi,

Data Analysis: Zubair Hassan Aw Zehra Azher Jawa

Laila Azher Jawa, Zehra

Azher Jawa

Final Approval of version: Laila Azher Jawa

Conflict of Interest: The study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

REFERENCES

Revisiting Critically:

- Javadinejad S, Sekhavati H, Ghafari R. A comparison of the accuracy of four age estimation methods based on panoramic radiography of developing teeth. J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects 2015;9(2):72-8.
- Berndt DC, Despotovic T, Mund MT, Filippi A. Die Rolle des Zahnarztes in der heutigen forensischen Altersschätzung [The role of the dentist in modern forensic age determination]. Schweiz Monatsschr Zahnmed 2008;118(11): 1073-88.
- Chhaparwal Y, Kumar M, Madi M, Chhaparwal S, Pentapati KC. Age Estimation by Modified Demirjian's Method in a Hospital-Based Population: A Radiographic Study. Pesquisa Brasileira em Odontopediatria e Clín Integrada 2021;5;21.
- Dehankar N, Naidu GS, Makkad RS, Nagi R, Jain S, Deshmukh U. Validity of Demirjian 8-teeth method for age estimation by orthopantomogram—a prospective study. J Ind Acad Oral Med Radiol 2018;30(2):148.
- Pratyusha K, Prasad MG, Radhakrishna AN. Applicability of Demirjian's method and modified Cameriere's methods for dental age assessment in children. J Clin Diagn Res 2017;11(2):ZC40-ZC43.

- 6. Jain V, Kapoor P, Miglani R. Demirjian approach of dental age estimation: Abridged for operator ease. J Forensic Dent Sci 2016;8(3):177.
- 7. Kapoor P, Jain V. Comprehensive Chart for Dental Age Estimation (DAEcc8) based on Demirjian 8-teeth method: Simplified for operator ease. J Forensic Legal Med 2018;59:45-9.
- Pereira CP, Russell LM, de Pádua Fernandes M, Alves da Silva RH, Vargas de Sousa Santos RF. Dental age estimation based on development dental atlas assessment in a child/adolescent population with systemic diseases. Acta stomatologica Croatica. Int J Oral Sci Dent Med 2019;53(4): 307-17.
- 9. Demirjian A, Goldstein H, Tanner JM. A new system of dental age assessment. Human Biol 1973;5:211-27.
- 10. de Moraes Correia A, da Silva Barbosa D, da Silva Alcantara JA, da Costa Oliveira PM, de Barros Silva PG, Franco A, et al. Performance and comparison of the London Atlas technique and Cameriere's third molar maturity index (I3M) for allocating individuals below or above the threshold of 18 years. Forensic Sci Int 2020;317:110512.
- 11. Rakosi T, Jonas I, Graber TM. Orthodontic diagnosis. Thieme; 1993.
- 12. Ghafari R, Ghodousi A, Poordavar E. Comparison of the accuracy of the London atlas and Smith method in dental age estimation in 5–15.99-year-old Iranians using the panoramic view. Int J Legal Med 2019;133(1):189-95.
- 13. Gelbrich B, Carl C, Gelbrich G. Comparison of three methods to estimate dental age in children. Clin Oral Investigations 2020;24(7):2469-75.
- 14. Alshihri AM, Kruger E, Tennant M. Dental age assessment of Western Saudi children and adolescents. The Saudi Dent J 2015;27(3):131-6.
- 15. Mohammed RB, Sanghvi P, Perumalla KK, Srinivasaraju D, Srinivas J, Kalyan US, et al. Accuracy of four dental age estimation methods in southern Indian children. J Clin Diagnostic Research: JCDR 2015;9(1):HC01.
- Ranasinghe S, Perera J, Taylor JA, Tennakoon A, Pallewatte A, Jayasinghe R. Dental Age Estimation using Radiographs: Towards the best method for Sri Lankan children. Forensic Sci Int 2019;298: 64-70.