Original Article

Visual Outcome and Causes of Decreased Vision in Traumatic Pediatric Cataracts

Causes of **Decreased Vision** in Traumatic Pediatric **Cataracts**

Faiza Rameez, Sadia Bukhari, Israr Bhutto and Atia Zehra

ABSTRACT

Objective: Pediatric Ocular trauma is a leading cause of vision loss and is avoidable. Hence, blindness can be prevented by awareness regarding causes and consequences of eye trauma in children by health education. Therefore, this study was intended to assess the visual outcome associated with cataract surgery in traumatic pediatric cataract.

Study Design: Quasi experimental study

Place and Duration of Study: This study was conducted at the Ophthalmology Department, Al Ibrahim Eye Hospital, Karachi from September 2021 till March 2022.

Materials and Methods: A total of 68 children aged 5 to 15 years with presenting history of trauma either open globe or closed globe with no associated retinal pathology and children with 3 months follow up were included in this study. Data analysis was done using SPSS V 23.0. Categorical variables like gender, mode and duration of trauma, surgical procedure, pre and post-operative visual acuity were documented as frequencies and percentages.

Results: The results showed that out of 68 children, 46(67.6%) were boys and 22(32.4%) were girls. With regard to mode of injury, blunt trauma was observed in 30(44.1%) children and penetrating trauma was observed in 38(55.9%) children. The most common causative agents were wooden stick 26(38.2%), followed by stones 10(4.7%). Postoperative complication revealed that 16(23.5%) children developed corneal opacity, amblyopia developed in 18(26.5%) children Postoperative uveitis developed in 20(29.4%) children whereas decentered IOL was reported in 18(26.5%) children.

Conclusion: This study concluded that boys were more expected to affect from traumatic cataract as compared to girls. The leading object causing injury was wooden stick. Most of the eyes had effective intra-ocular lens implantation in cataract surgical treatment while best corrected visual acuity enhanced in a moderate percentage of these patients. However, visual impairment was observed in few eyes owing to amblyopia and Uveitis.

Key Words: Traumatic pediatric cataract, Best corrected Visual acuity, intra-ocular lens implantation, amblyopia, Uveitis.

Citation of article: Rameez F, Bukhari S, Bhutto I, Zehra A. Visual Outcome and Causes of Decreased Vision in Traumatic Pediatric Cataracts. Med Forum 2022;33(9):75-79.

INTRODUCTION

Ocular trauma in children is one of the main causes of unilateral blindness^{1,2} that is accounted for 29%-57% of cataract cases in children³. In the US, the prevalence of ocular trauma ranges from 8 to 13 per 1000 people⁴. While, the prevalence of ocular trauma is reported 20.53% in India and 12.9% in Pakistan⁵. It is observed that younger age group is most frequently affected by ocular injuries.

Department of Ophthalmology, Al-Ibrahim Eye Hospital, Karachi.

Correspondence: Faiza Rameez, Pediatric Ophthalmology Fellow, Al-Ibrahim Eye Hospital, Karachi.

Contact No: 0303 9122991

Email: faizamuhammad@hotmail.com

May, 2022 Received: July, 2022 Accepted: Printed: September, 2022 Therefore, it has of great significance in public health in terms of health care, long-standing disability, loss of efficiency, rehabilitation facilities, and cost⁶. Cataract development is a frequent complication of penetrating or blunt visual trauma triggering a vision loss⁴⁻⁷.

Traumatic cataracts, a distinct kind of cataract, presents with ocular morbidity and are avoidable⁸. Generally, most common mode of ocular injuries is penetrating injuries⁹. According to past studies, the incidence of severe vision loss or blindness caused by penetrating ocular trauma in children range from 2% to 14% 10.

Generally, traumatic cataracts give rise to numerous associated outcomes that depends on the type of trauma and its severity¹¹. Therefore, it is challenging for medical and surgical ophthalmologists to manage these associated injuries to other ocular structures 12. Management of traumatic cataracts depends on precise finding of Keratometry and axial-length dimensions, surgical timing, and correct implantation of the intraocular lens (IOL)¹³. Initially, the desired technique with a penetrating eye injury is to repair the corneal or scleral tears (if one happens) and then execute the

cataract extraction¹⁴. A better visibility during surgical procedure results in a low probability of postoperative complications¹⁵. Traumatic cataract surgery can be categorized into primary or secondary, where secondary cataract extraction is more valuable owing to low probability of postoperative complications¹⁶.

In spite of great innovations in investigative and treatment approaches, traumatic cataract leads to develop complications like lens luxation, corneal perforation, secondary glaucoma, endophthalmitis, and retinal detachment results vision loss permanently, even occupational disabilities, in many patients ^{17,18}. Therefore, awareness and preventive measures in order to avoid such critical traumatic conditions are of critical importance.

A number of population based researches revealed visual consequences of traumatic cataract in children^{19, 10}. There is a paucity of researches on traumatic cataract in Pakistan. Therefore, this experimental study aims to explore the demographic characteristics, visual consequences, and complications of pediatric traumatic cataract at Isra Hospital, in Karachi.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This was a Quasi experimental study carried out at Al-Ibrahim Eye Hospital employing convenient sampling. Hospital's research ethical committee approved the study. 68 children, aged 5 to 15 years, presenting a history of trauma either open or closed globe with no associated retinal pathology and patients with 3 months' follow up were included in this study. Whereas, patients having a history of ocular surgery and associated ocular disease were excluded from the study.

Comprehensive history with visual acuity and ocular examination were performed for all patients. The children aged more than 5 years were inspected with the help of Snellen's chart and illiterate E chart. The ocular examination was done using ophthalmoscope, slit lamp and hand held slit lamp. Personal information and medical data were documented including age, gender, mechanism of injury, causative agent, and extent of the injury, interval between injury and first surgical intervention, and preoperative and postoperative visual acuity. Any comorbidity was also documented. General anesthesia is administered for all surgeries. Amblyopia was treated, if present. All patients were re-examined at the termination of their 3 months' postoperative duration. Data analysis was done using SPSS v23.0

RESULTS

Of the 68 children included, 46(67.6%) were boys and 22(32.4%) were girls. Blunt trauma was observed in 30(44.1%) children and penetrating trauma in 38(55.9%). The most common causative agents were

wooden stick 26(38.2%), followed by stones 10(4.7%), knife 6(8.8%) and cricket ball 6(8.8%).

Table No.1: Demographic characteristic, pre-operative Visual acuity and surgical intervention of study

participants. (n=68)

participants. (n=	ariable	n	%
Gender V	Male	1 46	67.6
Gender	Female	22	32.4
Ohioat	Knife	1	
Object Causing		6	8.8
Trauma	Stone	10	14.7
Hauma	Wooden Stick	26	38.2
	Matchstick	6	2.9
	Ball (cricket)		8.8
	Glass Piece	2 2	2.9
	Gun pellet	2	2.9
	Plastic Toy Needle	4	5.9
	Hand Pump	2	2.9
	Rubber	2	2.9
	Rubber Road Traffic	+	
	Accident	2	2.9
	Scarf Pin	2	2.9
Mode of		38	55.9
Trauma	Penetrating Blunt	30	44.1
Duration of	1 Week		
Trauma		18	26.5
Trauma	1 Month	26	38.2
D '	>1 Month	24	35.3
Previous	Yes	16	23.5
Intervention	No	52	76.5
Type of Previous	Corneal Repair	38	55.9
Intervention	Corneal Scleral	10	14.7
intervention	Repair	1.2	17.6
	Scleral Repair	12	17.6
Corneal	Lens Aspiration	8	11.8
	Yes	40	58.8
Opacity	No Control	28	41.2
If Yes, then	Central	24	35.3
D.,	Para-Central	-	64.7
Pre-operative Visual Acuity	1/60	6	8.8
	2/60	2	2.9
	6/18	2	2.9
	6/24	6	2.9
	6/36	1	8.8
	6/60	6	8.8
	Hand motion (HM)	18	26.5
	Pl +ve	12	17.6
	Count finger 10 cm	2	2.9
	Count finger 1	2	2.9
	feet Count finger	2	2.9
	20cm Count finger at	2	2.9
	50cm Perception of	4	5.9
	Light		
	Count finger at 40cm	2	2.9

Table No.2: Frequency of lens status, intraocular lens implantation, post-operative visual acuity and Associated Ocular Findings.

Variable		n	%
Status of The	Phakic	48	70.6
Lens	Aphakic	8	11.8
	Zonular Weakness	8	11.8
	Sub-Luxation	4	5.9
Intraocular	Primary	54	79.4
lens	Secondary	12	17.6
Implantation	Scleral Fixation	2	2.9
Post-operative	6/6	6	8.8
Visual Acuity	6/9	6	8.8
at 1week	6/12	6	8.8
	6/18	16	23.5
	6/36	8	11.8
	6/60	14	20.6
	3/60	4	5.9
	Hand motion (HM)	2	2.9
	Pl +ve	2	2.9
	Count finger 50cm	2	2.9
	Count finger 60cm	2	2.9
Post-operative	6/6	12	17.6
Visual Acuity	6/9	16	23.5
at 6 week	6/18	8	11.8
	6/36	10	14.7
	6/60	12	17.6
	Count finger	8	11.8
	Pl +ve	2	2.9
Cause of	Corneal Opacity	16	23.5
Decreased	Amblyopia	18	26.5
Vision	Decentered Intraocular lens	14	20.6
	Uveitis	20	29.4

Time period from injury to surgery was 1 week in 18(26.5%) children, 1 month in 26(38.2%) children and >1 month in 24(35.3%) children.

Previous intervention was reported in 16(23.5%) children while 52(76.5%) had no history of any intervention. 38(55.9%) children had corneal repair followed by scleral repair that was reported in 12(17.6%) children and corneal scleral repair in 10(14.7%), while lens aspiration was reported in 8(11.8%) children. Corneal opacification was observed in 40(58.8%) cases. Corneal topography showed Para central corneal found in 44(64.7%) cases and central corneal seen in 24(35.3%) cases. The pre-operative visual acuity was perception of light in 4(5.9%) patients, counting finger at 10 cm, 40 cm, 1 feet, 20 cm and 50 cm in 2(2.9%) patients each and 6/24 in 2(2.9%), 6/36 in 6(8.8%) and 6/24 in 2(2.9%) patients each, as shown in Table I.

Phakic lens was most commonly reported in 48(70.6%) cases and Aphakic lens was observed in 8(11.8%) cases. Zonular weakness was seen in 8(11.8%) children whereas subluxation is uncommon but found in 4(5.9%). Primary IOL Implantation was reported in 54(79.8%) and secondary IOL insertion was found in cases whereas 12(17.6%) intraocular directly fixated to sclera had reported in 2(2.9%) children. The postoperative VA at one week was 6/6 in 6(8.8%) patients, 6/9 in 6(8.8%) patients, 6/12 in 6(8.8%) patients, and 6/18 in 16(23.5%) patients. About 14(20.6%) children achieved a VA of 6/60. Furthermore, postoperative VA 6/6 at six weeks was improved in 12(17.6%) patients, 6/9 in 16(23.5%) patients, 6/18 in 8(11.8%) patients. Postoperative complication revealed that 16(23.5%) children developed corneal opacity, amblyopia developed in 18(26.5%) children, postoperative uveitis developed in 20(29.4%) children whereas decentered IOL was reported in 18(26.5%). None of the cases developed secondary glaucoma or posterior segment complications, as shown in Table 2.

DISCUSSION

Pediatric ocular trauma is one of the most frequent injuries that can create substantial vision loss and emotional distress in children, in addition to their caretakers, and develop remarkable monetary encumbrance in emerging nations²⁰. Despite skilled emergency treatment, most of the children with traumatic cataract leads to visual impairment permanently depending on severity of the injury²¹. In traumatic cataract, prevention is always superior to management. Therefore, adult observation can reduce ocular injuries²². In this study, we aimed to demonstrate the postoperative visual outcome and decreased vision caused by traumatic pediatric cataracts in Pakistani children.

An Indian research reported thorns and stones to be the most common objects causing ocular trauma²³. Likewise, a Malaysian study revealed that organic extraneous bodies were the most common cause of ocular trauma among children²⁴. Similarly, another research demonstrated that sharp metal objects, toys, wooden sticks and predominantly scissors cause ocular injuries in children²⁵. The present study reinforced that most common object causing ocular trauma was wooden stick 26(38.2%) followed by stone and knife 10(4.7%) and 6(8.8%) respectively.

It is reported in research that different causative agents are responsible for pediatric traumatic cataract²⁶. One of the researches revealed that the most common cause of traumatic cataract is wooden stick subsequently stones, toys, and other sharp objects such as knives and scissors⁹.

As far as the affected age of children with traumatic cataract is concerned, one of the studies examined children with ocular trauma < 18 years of age. It was also observed that predominantly boys are more injured by ocular trauma in almost all of the age groups²⁵. The present study endorsed the above cited researches and indicated that frequency of traumatic cataract was greater in boys 46(67.6%) as compared to girls 22(32.4%) reflecting 2:1 ratio, which could be attributed to the violent activities and more association of boys in outside and athletic activities.

Owing to distinctive aspects of ocular trauma, penetrating injuries in children be likely to more noticeable deterioration in visual acuity²⁷, make it easy for guardians to notice the penetrating injury and timely approach to the hospital. The present study was in agreement with the above researches and revealed that most of the cases were attributed to penetrating injuries 38(55.9%) than blunt injuries 30(44.1%). Therefore, timely recognition by the parents and appropriate surgical intervention leads to diminish the probability of decreased vision in traumatic pediatric cataract with better prognosis.

Amblyopia is a well-recognized complication of traumatic cataract in children in younger age group. One study reported that incidence of mostly ocular injuries happened after the age of 5 years (85.3%), however, the frequency of amblyopia 6% was comparatively low⁹. The present study was not corroborated with the above research and revealed that incidence of amblyopia was higher 18(26.5%) comparative to above research and uveitis was observed in 20(29.4%) children.

In summary, it was observed that there was better visual outcome in a substantial percentage of the children that was attributed to improved surgical procedures and optical restoration with implantation of intra-ocular lenses. Nonetheless, few eyes had remaining vision loss that may be caused by amblyopia from late management and other related eye injuries. This study has few limitations as it used small study sample so it is needed to collect data from larger sample to explore more outcomes of disease. Therefore, further researches on larger sample size with probability sampling technique are needed to discover more causes of eye injuries with accompanied consequences of its management to prevent ocular injury in order to decrease the chances of blindness in children.

CONCLUSION

This study concluded that boys were more expected to affect from traumatic cataract as compared to girls. The leading object causing injury was wooden stick. Most of the eyes had effective intra-ocular lens implantation in cataract surgical treatment while best corrected visual acuity enhanced in a moderate percentage of these patients. However, visual impairment was observed in few eyes owing to amblyopia and uveitis. Hence, quick and timely management is associated with

encouraging visual effects in eyes without associated posterior segment injuries or amblyopia.

Author's Contribution:

Concept & Design of Study: Faiza Rameez
Drafting: Sadia Bukhari

Data Analysis: Israr Bhutto, Atia Zehra Revisiting Critically: Faiza Rameez, Sadia

Bukhari

Final Approval of version: Faiza Rameez, Sadia

Bukhari

Conflict of Interest: The study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

REFERENCES

- 1. Aghadoost D. Ocular trauma: an overview. Arch Trauma Res 2014;3(2):e21639.
- Puodžiuvienė E, Jokūbauskienė G, Vieversytė M, <u>Asselineau</u> K. A five-year retrospective study of the epidemiological characteristics and visual outcomes of pediatric ocular trauma. BMC Ophthalmol 2018;18(1):10.
- 3. Khokhar SK, Pillay G, Dhull C, Agarwal E, Mahabir M, Aggarwal P. Pediatric cataract. Indian J Ophthalmol 2017;65(12):1340-1349.
- 4. Janjua MI, Raza A, Shakoor T. Visual Outcome of Traumatic Cataract at Holy Family Hospital, Rawalpindi. Pak J Ophthalmol 2016;32(1):25-29.
- Memon MN, Narsani AK, Nizamani NB. Visual outcome of unilateral traumatic cataract. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak 2012;22(8):497-500.
- 6. Omar R, Anan NS, Azri IA, Majumder C, Knight VF. Characteristics of eye injuries, medical cost and return-to-work status among industrial workers: a retrospective study. BMJ Open 2022 31;12(1):e048965.
- 7. Thakur U, Taluja MK, Siddique PA. Visual outcome of traumatic cataract at a tertiary eye care center. Natl J Physiol Pharm Pharmacol 2020;10(11):991-996.
- 8. Shah MA, Shah SM, Appleware AH, Patel KD, Rehman RM, Shikhange KA. Visual outcome of traumatic cataract in pediatric age group. Europ J Ophthalmol 2012;22(6):956-63.
- 9. Kedwany SM, Saleh MGA, Tohamy D, Mostafa MM. Outcome of Pediatric Traumatic Cataract in Upper Egypt: A Tertiary Center Study. Clin Ophthalmol 2021;15:1583-1589.
- 10. Jinagal J, Gupta G, Gupta PC, Yangzes S, Singh R, Gupta R, et al. Visual outcomes of pediatric traumatic cataracts. Europ J Ophthalmol 2019; 29(1):23–27.
- 11. Zhang X, Liu Y, Ji X, Zou Y. A Retrospective Study on Clinical Features and Visual Outcome of Patients Hospitalized for Ocular Trauma in Cangzhou, China. J Ophthalmol 2017;7694913.

- 12. Cicinelli MV, Marmamula S, Khanna RC. Comprehensive eye care Issues, challenges, and way forward. Ind J Ophthalmol 2020;68(2): 316-323.
- 13. Self JE, Taylor R, Solebo AL, Biswas S, Parulekar M, Dev Borman A, et al. Cataract management in children: a review of the literature and current practice across five large UK centres. Eye (Lond) 2020;34(12):2197-2218.
- Căciulă D, Gavriş M, Tămăşoi I. Penetrating corneal wound with traumatic cataract and intraocular foreign body-case report. Rom J Ophthalmol 2017;61(1):54-59.
- Thanigasalam T, Reddy SC, Zaki RA. Factors Associated with Complications and Postoperative Visual Outcomes of Cataract Surgery; a Study of 1,632 Cases. J Ophthalmic Vis Res 2015;10(4): 375-84.
- Gaton DD, Mimouni K, Lusky M, Ehrlich R, Weinberger D. Pupillary block following posterior chamber intraocular lens implantation in adults. Br J Ophthalmol 2003;87(9):1109-11.
- 17. Khokhar S, Agrawal S, Gupta S, Gogia V, Agarwal T. Epidemiology of traumatic lenticular subluxation in India. Int Ophthalmol 2014; 34(2):197-204.
- 18. Bansal P, Venkatesh P, Sharma Y. Posttraumatic Endophthalmitis in children: Epidemiology, Diagnosis, Management, and Prognosis. Semin Ophthalmol 2018;33(2):284-292.
- 19. Yardley AM, Ali A, Najm-Tehrani N, Mireskandari K. Refractive and visual outcomes

- after surgery for pediatric traumatic cataract. J Cataract Refract Surg 2018;44(1):85-90.
- 20. Madan AH, Joshi RS, Wadekar PD. Ocular Trauma in Pediatric Age Group at a Tertiary Eye Care Center in Central Maharashtra, India. Clin Ophthalmol 2020;14:1003-1009.
- 21. Ram J, Agarwal A. The challenge of childhood cataract blindness. Ind J Med Res 2014;140(4): 472-4.
- 22. Hoskin AK, Philip SS, Yardley AM, Mackey DA. Eye Injury Prevention for the Pediatric Population. Asia Pac J Ophthalmol (Phila) 2016;5(3):202-11.
- 23. Sharma AK, Aslami AN, Srivastava JP, Iqbal J. Visual Outcome of Traumatic Cataract at a Tertiary Eye Care Centre in North India: A Prospective Study. J Clin Diagn Res 2016;10(1):NC05-8.
- 24. Adlina AR, Chong YJ, Shatriah I. Clinical profile and visual outcome of traumatic paediatric cataract in suburban Malaysia: a ten-year experience. Singapore Med J 2014;55(05):253–256.
- 25. Du Y, He W, Sun X, Lu Y, Zhu X. Traumatic Cataract in Children in Eastern China: Shanghai Pediatric Cataract Study. Sci Rep 2018;8(1):2588.
- 26. Zimmermann A, Magalhães IH, Tanaka HA, Zimmermann IT, Arieta CEL. Pediatric traumatic cataract review: origin of the trauma. Rev Bras Oftalmol 2019;78(2):103–106.
- 27. Bućan K, Matas A, Lovrić JM, Batistić D, Pleština Borjan I, Puljak L, et al. Epidemiology of ocular trauma in children requiring hospital admission: a 16-year retrospective cohort study. J Glob Health 2017;7(1):010415.