Original Article

## **Comparison of Outcomes in**

Diathermy VS Dissection Method Tonsillectomy

### **Diathermy versus Dissection Method**

# Tonsillectomy at a Tertiary Care Hospital in Karachi

Furqan Mirza<sup>1</sup>, Iqbal Hussain Udaipurwala<sup>2</sup>, Ashok Kumar Raheja<sup>3</sup>, Salman Mutiullah Shaikh<sup>1</sup>, Fatima Siddiqui<sup>4</sup> and Amna Bukhari<sup>5</sup>

#### **ABSTRACT**

**Objective:** Over the years, various methods of tonsillectomy have been practiced to reduce or eliminate intraoperative and post-operative morbidity. The objective of this study is to compare outcomes in diathermy versus dissection tonsillectomy in a tertiary care hospital of Karachi.

Study Design: Comparative Randomized double blind study

**Place and Duration of Study:** This study was conducted at the Jinnah Medical College Hospital, an affiliated hospital of Jinnah Medical & Dental College, Karachi, Pakistan from August, 2019 to January, 2021.

Materials and Methods: The total number of patients enrolled in the study were 100 with ages ranging between 4 to 28 years old (mean age  $11.2 \pm 2.1$  years). Patients were then randomly divided into two equal groups of 50 patients each; group A consisting of diathermy tonsillectomy while group B comprising of dissection tonsillectomy. During and after surgery different parameters were measured including post-operative earache, excessive thick slough formation in the tonsillar fossa, fever, duration of analgesic use, time of return to a regular diet, operating time, blood loss during surgery, post-operative hemorrhage and post-operative pain scores.

**Results:** The mean pain score of both the groups in immediate post-operative period  $(7.96 \pm 0.9 \text{ vs } 7.63 \pm 0.8)$  and 24 hours period  $(6.78 \pm 1.1 \text{ vs } 6.12 \pm 0.9)$  was almost the same while pain score at  $7^{th}$  post-operative is significantly high in diathermy group  $(5.47 \pm 0.9 \text{ vs } 2.55 \pm 0.8)$ . 4% of Diathermy patients suffered from secondary bleeding and none of the Cold Steel patients had such complaint (p- value 0.056). Mean operating time was shorter in diathermy group  $(21.7 \pm 2.1 \text{ vs } 28.4 \pm 2.4 \text{ minutes})$  and intra-operative blood loss was also less in diathermy group  $(15.5 \pm 3.1 \text{ vs } 98.1 \pm 9.4 \text{ ml})$ .

**Conclusion:** We conclude that cold steel tonsillectomy has better patient outcomes as compared to diathermy, however diathermy method has less operating time and less intra-operative bleeding.

Key Words: tonsillectomy, diathermy tonsillectomy, cold Steel tonsillectomy, post-operative complications

Citation of article: Mirza F, Udaipurwala IH, Raheja AK, Shaikh SM, Siddiqui F, Bukhari A. Comparison of Outcomes in Diathermy versus Dissection Method Tonsillectomy at a Tertiary Care Hospital in Karachi. Med Forum 2022;33(2):19-23.

#### INTRODUCTION

Tonsillectomy is one of the most commonly performed surgical operation in ENT practice and nearly 530,000 children younger than 15 years are operated annually in United States of America.<sup>1</sup>

- <sup>1.</sup> Department of ENT, Jinnah Medical and Dental College, Karachi
- <sup>2.</sup> Department of ENT, Bahria University Medical and Dental College, Karachi.
- <sup>3.</sup> Department of ENT, Lyari General Hospital, Karachi.
- <sup>4.</sup> Department of ENT, Garrison Hospital & Diagnostic Center, Karachi.
- <sup>5.</sup> Department of ENT, Fatmiyah Hospital, Karachi.

Correspondence: Dr. Furqan Mirza, Department of ENT, Head & Neck Surgery, Jinnah Medical and Dental College, Karachi.

Contact No: 0300-3373674 Email: drfurqanmirza@gmail.com

Received: August, 2021 Accepted: November, 2021 Printed: February, 2022 Over the years, various methods of tonsillectomy have been practiced and oriented around reducing or eliminating both intra-operative and post-operative morbidity in the patients.<sup>2</sup> These methods include blunt dissection, laser, electro-cauterization, electrosurgical scissors. ultrasonic dissection and coblation. Tonsillectomy is a day-care surgical procedure hence requiring efficient pain and bleeding postoperatively.<sup>3</sup> The two most commonly used methods include; bipolar diathermy scissors and harmonic scalpel. The bipolar diathermy method is unique in this technique of coagulating and cutting the tissue simultaneously by applying an electrical current in the scissor hands.<sup>4</sup> Whereas in a harmonic scalpel, it vibrates at a frequency of 55.5Hz generation pressure that divides the tissue. In this method there is minimal damage to the tissue because the division is at the cellular level by degeneration of proteins.<sup>5</sup> According to the study by East and Central African Journal of Surgery, the average time required to remove a tonsil by cold dissection was found to be longer than that for diathermy tonsillectomy.6

Regardless of the methods used however, intraoperative blood loss, postoperative hemorrhaging and postoperative pain remain a considerable challenge for both the surgeon and the patients. It has been studied that back in the nineteenth century, surgeons used chemicals such as tanno-gallic acid and silver nitrate or even icing was used.<sup>7</sup> Bleeding has been one of the complications that is always greatly concerning, it may be intra-operative, or post-operatively which can be further divided into reactionary if within 24 hours or secondary if after the first 24 hours usually occurs between 5 to 10 days post-operatively.

Numerous comparative studies have been done on diathermy and dissection method that showed variable results. So Common postoperative morbidity of tonsillectomy operations are pain and hemorrhage. Hemorrhage after tonsillectomy operation is potentially a lethal complication. This study was conducted with the aim to see differences in outcomes and postoperative pain and hemorrhage between the two groups of diathermy and dissection method tonsillectomy.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

This comparative randomized double blind study was conducted at Jinnah Medical College Hospital, an affiliated hospital of Jinnah Medical & Dental College, Karachi, Pakistan. The study commenced in January 2019 and proceeded till August of 2021. The data collection was done via questionnaires filled by the surgeon at the time of admission and post operatively on follow up visits in outpatient clinic. Approval from the hospital ethical review committee (ERC) was taken before the start of the study and informed written consent was taken from each patient. Inclusion criteria were all consecutive patients operated for tonsillectomy during this period in our hospital. Exclusion criteria were; patient with combined adenoidectomy and tonsillectomy, patients lost for follow-up, patients with any other systemic, hematologic or neurologic problem and patients not giving written consent for inclusion in this study.

The total sample size was 122 patients out of which 22 patients were lost to follow up with actual sample size of 100, who were to be operated for tonsillectomy exclusively. The patients with chronic or recurrent tonsillitis in spite of adequate medical therapy or tonsillar hypertrophy causing obstructive airway sleep apnea, odynophagia and speech abnormalities were selected. Detailed history and clinical examination was done followed by laboratory studies including complete blood count, coagulation profile (activated partial thromboplastin time, prothrombin time), and HBsAg and Anti HCV markers. Patients were then divided into two groups randomly; group A, consisting of 50 total patients operated with diathermy method and the group B, consisting of 50 patients operated with dissection/cold steel method.

During and after surgery different parameters were measured including post-operative earache, excessive thick slough formation in the tonsillar fossa, fever, duration of analgesic use, time of return to a regular diet, operating time, blood loss during surgery, post-operative hemorrhage and post-operative pain scores . The post-operative pain level was scored from 1 to 10 using the visual analog score (VAS). The data was analyzed on SPSS 20.1 using non-parametric tests such as chi-square and T-tests and p-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

#### **RESULTS**

Over the period of study, a total of 100 out of 122 patients were compliant and came for follow up, out of these 50 had undergone cold steel surgery and the rest 50 had diathermy removal of their tonsils. Mean age in diathermy and dissection tonsillectomy groups was  $10.8 \pm 2.1$  years and  $11.5 \pm 1.9$  years respectively. In cold steel group 52% of the patients were female whereas in diathermy group 58% were females [Table 1]. About 77% and 60% presented with recurrent infection in each group respectively however none of the patients suffered from any co morbidities. The use of ligature of the pedicle was applied in all of cold steel surgeries but in none of the diathermy procedure.

All the parameters and their values are shown in table 2. Cold steel procedure showed a higher mean operative time of 28.4  $\pm$ 2.4 minutes as compared to the 21.7  $\pm$ 2.1 minutes in diathermy tonsillectomy. Intra-operative mean blood loss was 15.5 ±3.1 ml in diathermy group as compared to 98.1 ±9.4 ml in dissection group. In comparison of post-operative complications in both the groups, 10% of patients who underwent cold steel complained of fever post operatively. Whereas only 8% patients of Diathermy group had this complaint. There was no report of reactionary bleeding post operatively in both groups. However, we see that 4% patients of diathermy group came with secondary hemorrhage post operatively in emergency department requiring admission for further management while none of the Cold Steel patients presented with such a complain (pvalue 0.056).

One of the most important parameters regarding the patients' concern we considered while performing this study was the level of pain experienced post operatively. The pain level was scored from 1 to 10 using the visual analog scale (VAS), 1 being the lowest severity and 10 being the worst. We measured pain scores on three occasions; in immediate post-operative period, 24 hours after the surgery and on  $7^{\rm th}$  post-operative day at OPD follow up. Our analysis showed that the mean pain scored of both the groups in immediate post-operative period and 24 hours period was almost the same, for Cold steel the mean scores were  $7.63 \pm 0.8$  and  $6.12 \pm 0.9$  in immediate post-operative period and 24 hour period respectively while

the mean scores were  $7.96 \pm 0.9$  and  $6.78 \pm 1.1$  in immediate post-operative period and 24 hour period for Diathermy group respectively (p value 0.366 and 0.212).

Table No.1: Demography of the groups

	Group A	Group B
	Diathermy	Dissection
	Method	Method
Total number of patients	50	50
Male patients	21 (42%)	24 (48%)
Female patients	29 (58%)	26 (52%)
Male to Female ratio	1:1.38	1:1.08
Minimum age (in years)	4	4
Maximum age (in years)	27	28
Mean Age (in years)	$10.8 \pm 2.1$	11.5 ± 1.9

Table No.2: Measured Parameters in both groups with p-values

with p-values		~ ~	
	Group A	Group B	p-
	Diathermy	Dissection	value
	Method	Method	value
Earache	28 (56%)	16 (32%)	0.001
Excessive Thick	22 (640/)	14 (200/)	0.021
Slough	32 (64%)	14 (28%)	0.021
Fever	4 (8%)	5 (10%)	0.412
Mean Duration			
for use of	$18.5 \pm 2.1$	$13.2 \pm 1.8$	0.002
Analgesics	16.3 ± 2.1	13.2 ± 1.6	0.002
(in days)			
Mean Day of			
return to a	10.1 . 1.0	125 . 17	0.000
regular diet	$19.1 \pm 1.9$	$13.5 \pm 1.7$	0.000
(in days)			
Mean Operating			
Time	$21.7 \pm 2.1$	$28.4 \pm 2.4$	0.005
(in minutes)			
Mean Blood			
Loss during	155 01	001 01	0.011
surgery	$15.5 \pm 3.1$	$98.1 \pm 9.4$	0.011
(in ml)			
Reactionary	0 (00()	0 (00()	0.000
hemorrhage	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0.000
Secondary	2 (40/)	0 (00/)	0.056
hemorrhage	2 (4%)	0 (0%)	0.030
Post-operative			
pain score after	$7.96 \pm 0.9$	$7.63 \pm 0.8$	0.366
recovery			
Pain score after			
24 hours post	$6.78 \pm 1.1$	$6.12 \pm 0.9$	0.212
operatively			
Pain score after			
7 days Post	$5.47 \pm 0.9$	$2.55 \pm 0.8$	0.048
operatively			

Whereas, the 7<sup>th</sup> day follow up pain scores showed significant difference in between the groups, cold steel

patients score being  $2.55 \pm 0.8$  and diathermy group had a much higher mean of  $5.47 \pm 0.9$  (p value 0.048). Postoperative earache and excessive thick slough formation in the tonsillar fossae were also higher in diathermy group as compared to dissection method group (table 2).

#### **DISCUSSION**

Over the years, tonsillectomy has been performed by cold dissection method, however, with the advent of newer technology surgeons started preferring electrosurgical methods such as diathermy use for dissection and hemostasis which gave better control of intra-operative hemorrhage. Traditionally, cold surgical dissections have been performed with the use of a scalpel under the use of general anesthesia during a procedure lasting approximately 45 minutes to an hour. However, in more recent decades, many new types of surgical procedures have been found to be both effective and undemanding. Some of these applied procedures are diathermy (electro-dissection or electrocauterization), electrocautery, harmonic scalpel, laser ablation and much more. 12 Although many different methods have been studied for the removal of tonsils, the two most common techniques found are dissection with cold dissection and electro-cauterization. Regardless of the methods used however, intraoperative blood loss, postoperative hemorrhaging and postoperative pain remain a considerable challenge for both the surgeon and the patients.

Although, several techniques and attempts have been made by the use of electrocautery tools (which are developed as an alternative to traditional cold dissection), a significant reduction to postoperative pain has yet not been reported. Oko et al conducted a study that compared cold dissection tonsillectomy to ultrasonic scalpel tonsillectomy and according to their study cold dissection cause less pain during the initial days. 13 Whereas, our study results demonstrated that the pain score levels during the early days after surgery were equal but later on pain score is significantly lower in dissection tonsillectomy. According to Pinder et al, and their detailed review and comparison, it was concluded that there isn't strong enough evidence to support one method of tonsillectomy over another when considering post operative bleeding.<sup>14</sup> Their data concluded that there weren't any differences in hemorrhages in either primary or secondary hemorrhages in the different types of tonsillectomy methods. However in case of pain they did conclude that evidence favors monopolar diathermy dissection to result in more post-operative pain. Our study showed comparatively less rates of post operative hemorrhages when being compared to previous studies conducted. A report demonstrated a rate of 0.2-2.2% primary and 1% to 3.3% secondary hemorrhage after tonsillectomy. 15 Another study demonstrates that despite the various

choices available, the rate of post tonsillectomy bleeding still remains in the range 0.28 to 20%.16 Hemorrhage after tonsillectomy remains a matter of concern as it may result in readmission of the patients and further management measures to control the bleeding.

The concept of electro-dissection was first described by Goycloea in 1982 using monopolar diathermy and 10 years later Pang reported the first electro-dissection tonsillectomy using the bipolar forceps.<sup>17</sup> The surgical technique of bipolar diathermy or electro-cautery is similar to Coblation method or electro-dissociation. In both methods, an alternative current passes between the active electrodes on the tip of the device which causes the destruction of the target tissue adjacent to the electrodes.<sup>18</sup> In bipolar diathermy, direct contact between electrodes and tissue produces local temperatures of 400°C to 600°C resulting in the heating of intracellular contents and subsequent vaporization of the cell.19

Intra-operative blood loss is an important parameter for surgeon as well as for patient's post-operative morbidity. The obvious advantage of diathermy over dissection method is less intra-operative bleeding. In our study also there is less mean intra-operative blood loss in group where diathermy method was used (mean blood loss of 15.5 ml vs 98.1 ml). This is much similar with a study conducted at Saudi Arabia showing mean intra-operative blood loss of 25.37 ml with diathermy method vs 88.45 ml with dissection tonsillectomy.<sup>20</sup> Similarly another advantage of diathermy tonsillectomy is the surgical operating time which is much shorter. In our study mean operating time for diathermy method is 21.7 minutes in contrast to 28.4 minutes in dissection method tonsillectomy. This finding is also much similar to other studies like in one local study from Faisalabad where mean operating time for diathermy method was 13.09 minutes versus 24.94 minutes in dissection method tonsillectomy.21

Although, there is obvious advantage of intra-operate blood loss and operating time with diathermy tonsillectomy but post-operative pain and increased of secondary hemorrhage are marked incidence limitations or disadvantages of diathermy tonsillectomy.<sup>22</sup> In our study post-operative pain scores on 7th day are much higher in diathermy group than dissection group. Similarly incidence of secondary hemorrhage was around 4% in diathermy group versus 0% in dissection group. We also compared the mean time for return to a regular diet which is also much shorter in dissection group. Similarly duration for use of analgesics after surgery was also much shorter in dissection group than diathermy group. Post-operative earache and thick slough formation in the tonsillar fossa were also less in the dissection group. So these are the clear advantages of dissection method as compared to diathermy method.

### **CONCLUSION**

We conclude that cold steel tonsillectomy has better patient outcomes as compared to diathermy, however diathermy method has less operating time and less intra-operative bleeding.

**Acknowledgement:** We grateful are administrative, clerical and paramedical staff of Jinnah Medical College Hospital, Karachi for their support in conducting this study.

#### **Author's Contribution:**

Concept & Design of Study: Furqan Mirza Drafting: Iqbal Hussain

> Udaipurwala, Ashok Kumar Raheja

Data Analysis: Salman Mutiullah

Shaikh, Fatima Siddiqui,

Amna Bukhari

Revisiting Critically: Furqan Mirza, Iqbal Hussain Udaipurwala

Final Approval of version: Furqan Mirza

Conflict of Interest: The study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

#### REFERENCES

- Baugh RF, Archer SM, Mitchell RB, Rosenfeld RM, Amin R, Burns JJ, et al. Clinical Practice guide lines Tonsillectomy in Children Otolaryngology. Head Neck Surg 2011;44 (1 Suppl):S1-30.
- Blair RL, McKerrow WS, Carter NW, et al. The Scottish tonsillectomy audit. Audit Sub-Committee of the Scottish Otolaryngological Society. J Laryngol Otol 1996;110 Suppl 20:1–25.
- Post-tonsillectomy pain after using bipolar diathermy scissors or the harmonic scalpel: a randomized blinded study
- Sutton PA, et al. Comparison of lateral thermal spreadusing monopolar and bipolar diathermy, the harmonic scalpel and the ligasure. Br J Surg 2010;97(3):428-433.
- Gossot D et al. Ultrasonic dissection for endoscopic surgery. The E.A.E.S. Technology Group. Surg Endos 1999;13(4):412–417.
- Adoga AA. Cold versus hot dissection tonsillectomies: The Nigerian experience. East and Central Afri J Surg 2011;16(3).
- 7. Sharif M, Zaman J, Yousaf N, Igbal K, Diathermy Tonsillectomy VS conventional dissection Tonsillectomy. J Postgraduate Med Institute (Peshawar-Pakistan) 2011;18(4).
- 8. Karetzanis A, Borolias C, Prokopakis E, Thermal welding technology v/s ligature tonsillectomy a comparative study. An J Otolaryngol 2008; 29:238.
- Iqbal M, Kumar D, Ansari NA. Tonsillectomy; comparing the effectiveness of dissection and

- diathermy tonsillectomy. Professional Med J 2017; 24(8): 1237-1240.
- Pinder D, Hilton M, Dissection versus diathermy tonsillectomy Cochrane database Syst Rev 2001; (4):CD 002211.
- 11. Ahmed M, Khan AA, Siddiqui T, Ikram M, Mian YM. A Comparison of Dissection-method and Diathermy Tonsillectomies. J Pak Med Assoc 2000;97(7):215-6.
- Saeed I, Samee MS, Ali R. Bipolar Diathermy versus Conventional Cold Dissection Method A Comparison of Mean Operative Blood Loss. Pak J Med Health Sci 2018;12(3):953-6.
- 13. Oko MO, Ganly I, Loughran S, Clement WA, Young D, Geddes NK. A prospective randomized single-blind trial comparing ultrasonic scalpel tonsillectomy with tonsillectomy by blunt dissection in a pediatric age group. Otolaryngol— Head and Neck Surg 2005; 133(4): 579-84.
- 14. Pinder DK, Wilson H, Hilton MP. Dissection versus diathermy for tonsillectomy. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2011(3).
- 15. Windfuhr JP, Chen YS, Remmert S. Hemorrhage following tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy in 15,218 patients. Otolaryngol—Head and Neck Surg 2005;132(2):281-6.
- 16. Ulualp SO. Rate of post-tonsillectomy hemorrhage after elective bipolar microcauterization of

- nonbleeding vessels. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2012;269:1269-75.
- 17. Guragain R, Bhusal C, Adhikari P, Pokharel R. Intraoperative Blood Loss and operating time in Tonsillectomy: Is Electrodissection Better? Nepal J ENT Head Neck Surg 2010; 1(1): 6–7.
- Tahyr SE, Yaseen MA. Coblation versus dissection tonsillectomy in children. Zanco J Med Sci 2018; 22(1): 1–7.
- Matin MA, Chowdhury MA, Haque ME, Islam MN, Shamim T, Muqeet MA, et al. Coblation Tonsillectomy Versus Blunt Dissection Tonsillectomy in Children. AKMMCJ 2013;4(1): 25–9.
- Bukhari MA, Al-ammar AY. Monopolar electrodissection versus cold dissection tonsillectomy among children. Saudi Med J 2007; 28:1525–8.
- Niaz A, Saeed M, Hyder HS. Comparison of Bipolar Diathermy Tonsillectomy Versus Cold Steel Dissection Tonsillectomy. APMC 2020; 14(2): 102-5.
- Chughtai A, Haq A, Saeed Ullah. Is the Cold Steel Dissection Method Still the Most effective Method of tonsillectomy? Pak Armed Forces Med J 2016; 66(5): 705-9.