Original Article

# **Lateral Anal Sphincterotomy for** Chronic Anal Fissures- A Comparison of **Outcomes and Complications under Local**

Lateral Anal **Sphincterotomy** under Local VS **Spinal** Anaesthesia

# Anaesthesia Versus Spinal Anaesthesia

Sumta Khan, Farhan Zaheer, Shafaq Naseer, Usama Iqbal Khatri, Rizwan Khan, **Oamaruddin Baloch** 

#### **ABSTRACT**

**Objective:** To compare the mean pain scores at 6 hours and 24 hours after lateral internal sphincterotomy under local anesthesia versus spinal anesthesia.

Study Design: A double-blind prospective randomized controlled trial study

Place and Duration of Study: This study was conducted at the conducted at surgical unit 2, Civil Hospital Karachi, Pakistan from June, 2018 to December, 2018 for a period of six months.

Materials and Methods: A total of 60 patients were selected by non-probability consecutive sampling, aged 18-65 years of either gender with complaint of chronic anal fissure lasting 3 months, underwent lateral internal sphincterotomy. They were randomized into 30 patients who received local anesthesia and 30 patients who received spinal anesthesia by closed envelop method. Mean pain score was measured as the primary outcome at 6 hours and 24 hours after the procedure.

**Results:** There was no statistically significant difference found in mean pain score at 6 hours and 24 hours after the LIS in both groups. Mean pain score at 6 hours was 5.40 (±1.886) and 5.40 (±2.568) respectively (p-value=0.102) while at 24 hours mean pain score was 1.77 (±1.278) and 2.37(±1.159) respectively (p-value=0.859). Moreover, the patients who had local anesthesia were met withlow risk of anesthesia, short hospital stay and early return to home.

Conclusion: Lateral internal sphincterotomy can be safely performed under local anesthesia with minimum risk of complications.

Key Words: Chronic anal fissure, Bupivacaine, Local anesthetic, Lateral internal sphincterotom

Citation of article: Khan S, Zaheer F, Naseer S, Khatri UI, Khan R, Baloch O, Lateral Anal Sphincterotomy for Chronic Anal Fissures- A Comparison of Outcomes and Complications Under Local Anaesthesia Versus Spinal Anaesthesia. Med Forum 2021;32(10):176-179.

#### INTRODUCTION

Fissure in Ano is described as a linear breach or ulcer in the anoderm which usually remains distal to the dentate line. Even though the definite cause of anal fissure is still unknown<sup>1</sup>, it is one of the most common anorectal diseases associated with pain in the anal region.<sup>2,3</sup> and bleeding during defecation; thereby, leading to the clinical diagnosis of the disease.4 It is often associated with increased resting anal sphincter tone and decreased blood supply<sup>5</sup> and is classified into acute and chronic fissures.

Department of Surgery, Civil Hospital, Dow University Of Health Sciences, Karachi.

Correspondence: Dr. Farhan Zaheer, Associate Professor of Surgery, Surgical Unit-6, Civil Hospital, Dow University Of Health Sciences, Karachi.

Contact No: 03002108924 Email: farhan.zaheer@duhs.edu.pk

Received: May, 2021 July, 2021 Accepted: Printed: October, 2021 Acute anal fissures resolve within four weeks while, chronic anal fissure usually persists beyond four weeks with a non-healing indurated edge and prominent internal sphincter fibers at the base of the fissure.

The treatment modalities commonly include nonoperative and operative techniques, however, both work in a similar manner, that is, by reducing the anal sphincter tone and improve blood supply.<sup>6</sup> Although majority of non-operative techniques include topical application of lignocaine gel, nitrates or calcium channel blockers, sitz baths, high fiber diet, and stool softeners <sup>6,7</sup>, Lateral internal sphincterotomy (LIS) is considered the gold standard surgical treatment for chronic anal fissures.8 is also preferred in the cases of, complicated, and acute anal fissures refractory to nonoperative treatment.9 The overall procedure of the LIS surgical technique involves dividing the lower fibers of internal sphinchter to relieve muscle subsequently, improving blood supply accompanied by incontinence, the persistence of fissure, and recurrence like complications.<sup>10</sup>

LIS may be performed under local anesthesia or spinal anesthesia. Generally, LIS is routinely performed under spinal anesthesia which provides good intra- operative and immediate post-operative pain relief, however it carries risks of complications like hypotension, urinary retention, spinal headache, spinal hematoma, hospitalization, meningitis, prolonged and immobilization. 11,12 The effectiveness of local anesthesia in terms of patient's cost, decreased morbidity, and short hospital stay<sup>13,14,15</sup> is highlighted by Romero AS, Siddique T, and Kulkarni in their separate studies. Furthermore, an in study by Kashani SMTit was observed that LIS performed under local anesthesia was associated with less postoperative pain (mean pain score  $1.90 \pm 1.07$ ) as compared to that performed under spinal anesthesia (mean pain score  $3.77 \pm 1.25$ ) at 6 hours. 16 Moreover, lateral sphincterotomy performed under Local anesthesia has advantage of shorter duration of surgery.<sup>17</sup>

This study was designed to compare postoperative pain scores at 6 and 24h hours in patients undergoing LIS under local anesthesia with spinal anesthesia, consequently, assessing the efficiency of local anesthesia in this particular procedure. This would contribute in increasing the frequency of future LIS performed under local anesthesia, which would not only enhance and expedite hospital services delivery by catering more patients on operation theater lists but would substantially play an important role in saving the patients from the complications associated with spinal anesthesia.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A double-blinded prospective randomized controlled trial was conducted from 25th June' 18 to 25th December' 18 at surgical unit 2, Civil Hospital Karachi, Pakistan. A total of 60 patients were selected by nonprobability consecutive sampling, aged 18-65 years of either gender with a complaint of chronic anal fissure lasting 3 months or more diagnosed clinically by history and examination at OPD. Patients with clinical evidence of Anal stenosis, Incontinence, Perianal abscess, Hemorrhoids, Fistula-in-ano, and known biopsy-proven Intestinal Tuberculosis, Crohn's disease, and Anorectal carcinoma were excluded from the study. After ethical and Institutional Reviewer Board (IRB) approval, informed consent was taken from all the participants, who were then randomly allocated in two groups of thirty patients by closed envelop method. Group A was given local anesthesia (10ml of 2% lignocaine with 10ml of 0.5% bupivacaine) around the anal verge while group B was operated in spinal anesthesia (0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine in the intrathecal space).

Thereafter, LIS and all other relevant procedures were conducted by specialized consultant general surgeons, working in the civil hospital for 5 years. In order to control the risk of bias, an on-duty general surgery resident, unaware of the type of anesthesia used (local or spinal), collected the data regarding post-operative

pain scores (using visual analog scale) at 6 hours and 24 hours through a manual Performa (attached).

#### RESULTS

With the sample size remaining consistent throughout the study, a total of 60 patients, who fulfilled the inclusion criteria, were identified from 25 June'18 to 25 December'18. The ages of the included patients were between 18 and 61 years with an overall mean age (± SD) of 35.50 ( $\pm 10.679$ ) years. The mean age in group A ( $\pm$  SD) was 34.77 ( $\pm$  10.325) years, whereas in group B ( $\pm$  SD) was 36.23 ( $\pm$  11.150) years with no significant difference between the two groups (p-value = 0.647) (Table 1). Furthermore, the study consisted of 19 (31.7%) male and 41 (68.3%) female patients. Group A consisted of 11 male and 19 female patients, while group B constituted eight male and 22 female patients (Table 1). The mean pain scores after two hours of LIS were then compared between both groups by applying the t-test.

According to the visual analog scale (measured 0-10 cm), pain scores at 6 hours and 24 hours after LIS for chronic anal fissure were recorded by the on-duty resident. As a result, at 6 hours mean pain scores ( $\pm$ ) were [group A 5.40 ( $\pm$  1.886) versus group B 5.40 ( $\pm$  2.568)], while at 24 hours mean pain scores ( $\pm$ ) were [group A 1.77 ( $\pm$  1.278) versus group B 2.37 ( $\pm$  1.159] (Table 2). In addition to this, as per the calculation of independent t-tests, no significant differences were observed between the mean pain scores of the two groups at 6 hours (p-value = 0.102) and 24 hours (p-value = 0.859) (Table 2).

**Table No.1: Characteristics of Patients** 

	GROUP				
Variable	Group A	Group B			
	(local anesthesia)	(spinal anesthesia)			
	(n=30)	(n=30)			
Age Group (years)					
≤ 35	14(46.66%)	13(43.33%)			
> 35	16(53.33%)	17(56.66%)			
Gender					
Male	11(36.66%) 8(26.66%)				
Female	19(63.33%)	22(73.33%)			

Table No.2: Pain Score at 6 and 24 Hours Lateral Internal Sphincterotomy

		•				
Group	N	Mean pain	Std.	p-		
		scores	Deviation	value		
At 6 Hours						
Group A	30	5.40	1.886	0.102		
(local anesthesia)						
Group B	30	5.40	2.568			
(spinal anesthesia)						
At 24 Hours						
Group A	30	1.77	1.278	0.859		
(local anesthesia)						
Group B	30	2.37	1.159			
(spinal anesthesia)						

## **DISCUSSION**

Despite the recent advances in non-operative treatment modalities, lateral internal sphincterotomy still remains the primary surgical treatment option for chronic anal fissure mainly because it guarantees rapid healing and decreased recurrence rates.<sup>18</sup> LIS is generally performed under general anesthesia, spinal anesthesia, and local anesthesia. In a multi-centre randomized controlled trial, Brown CJ et al. found lateral internal sphincterotomy as the most suitable procedure for the treatment of chronic anal fissure<sup>19</sup>. In this procedure anterior fibers of internal anal sphincter are divided sharp dissection or with Conventionally this procedure was performed under spinal anesthesia which was widely accepted by patients in terms of intra operative and immediate postoperative pain relief, then again this type of anesthesia carries risk of complications like urinary retention, headache, hypotension etc. 15 However, recent studies are in favor of favour local anesthesia due to its safe and cost-effective nature accompanied by early recovery and decreased morbidity of patients.<sup>20</sup> As observed by Antonio Arroyo in his study, local anesthesia substantially benefited patients in terms of less morbidity, less hospital expenses, early resumption of daily routine, thereby, leading to greater patient's satisfaction.<sup>21</sup> In another study, local anesthesia was again found as the fruitful treatment for patients undergoing LIS for chronic anal fissure.<sup>22</sup>

Furthermore, Nessar, et al. reported postoperative pain as the most common cause of discomfort and inconvenience to the patient after LIS (n=9,20.9%) in his study. Similarly in our study experienced pain was the most frequent post-operative complication observed in our study. In our study no statisticticaly significant differences were observed in the mean pain scores at 6 hours and 24 hours of both groups (spinal versus local anesthesia), which were  $5.40 \pm 1.886$  vs  $5.40 \pm 2.568$  (pvalue= 0.102) and 1.77  $\pm 1.278$  vs 2.37  $\pm 1.159$  (pvalue= 0.859) respectively. The results of this research trial were in line with the study of Manoharan R, et al (2017), where no statistically significant difference was observed between the pain scores of the two groups with spinal and local anesthesia in the immediate postoperative period (p=0.097)<sup>18</sup>. Thus the efficacy of local anesthesia was found similar to spinal anesthesia in terms of pain relief in the postoperative period. Kashani et al also reported significantly less pain at 6 hours after LIS in the LA local anesthesia group as compared to the spinal anesthesia group  $(1.90 \pm 1.07 \text{ vs. } 3.77 \pm 1.25)^{16}$ . In addition to pain, bleeding was also noted in two patients at second post-operative day reported the complaint of bleeding in OPD, which was, however, mild and stopped spontaneously. Urinary retention was also observed in three patients who underwent the procedure in spinal anesthesia.

Literature suggests that individuals from all age groups are equally prone to the anal fissures, however, chronic anal fissure is more frequent in the younger age group. <sup>23, 24</sup> Correspondingly in this study of 60 patients, the mean age group was 35.5 years, the youngest subject being 18 years and the oldest 61 years. Further more it is reported by Isbister et al that chronic anal fissure is more common in males with a ratio of 1.3:1<sup>25</sup>. On the contrary, our study demonstrates an increased number of females having the disease (2.1:1) compared to males. Nonetheless, when data was stratified for age and gender, no difference was found in mean pain scores between the groups for age, whereas the mean pain score in the female gender was prominen.

#### CONCLUSION

In this study, we did not found any significant differences between mean pain scores in patients undergoing LIS in local anesthesia versus spinal anesthesia. our results suggest that local anesthesia may routinely be used as a day case procedure to avoid possible complications that are associated with spinal anesthesia.

#### **Author's Contribution:**

Concept & Design of Study: Sumta Khan

Drafting: Farhan Zaheer, Shafaq

Naseer

Data Analysis: Usama Iqbal Khatri,

Rizwan Khan,

Qamaruddin Balcoh

Revisiting Critically: Sumta Khan, Farhan

Zaheer

Final Approval of version: Sumta Khan

**Conflict of Interest:** The study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

# **REFERENCES**

- 1. Beaty JS, Shashidharan M. Anal Fissure. Clin Colon Rectal Surg 2016;29(1):30-7.
- 2. Peker K, Yilmaz I, Demiryilmaz I, Inal A, Işik A. The effect of lateral internal sphincterotomy on resting anal sphincter pressures. Turk J Med Sci 2014;44(4):691-5.
- 3. Golfam F, Golfam P, Khalaj A, Mortaz SS. The effect of topical nifedipine in treatment of chronic anal fissure. Acta Med Iran 2010;48(5): 295-9.
- 4. Adamová Z, Slováček R, Bár T, Sankot J, Vlček P. Anal fissure. Cas Lek Cesk 2015;154(1):11-3.
- 5. Esfahani MN, Madani G, Madhkhan S. A novel method of anal fissure laser surgery: a pilot study. Lasers Med Sci 2015;30(6):1711-7.
- Giridhar CM, Babu P, Rao KS. A Comparative Study of Lateral Sphincterotomy and 2% Diltiazem Gel Local Application in the Treatment of

- Chronic Fissure in ano. J Clin Diagn Res 2014;8(10):NC01-2.
- Fox A, Tietze PH, Ramakrishnan K. Anorectal conditions: anal fissure and anorectal fistula. FP Essent 2014;419:20-7.
- 8. Madalinski MH. Identifying the best therapy for chronic anal fissure. World J Gastrointest Pharmacol Ther 2011;2(2):9-16.
- 9. Higuero T. Update on the management of anal fissure. J Visc Surg 2015;152(2 Suppl):S37-43.
- Kang WH, Lim CH, Choi DH, Shin HK, Lee YC, Jeong SK, et al. Comparison of skin incisions used for open lateral internal sphincterotomies--radial versus circumferential incisions: a retrospective cohort study. Int J Surg 2014;12(11):1141-5.
- 11. Toyonaga T, Matsushima M, Sogawa N, Jiang SF, Matsumura N, Shimojima Y, et al. Post-operative urinary retention after surgery for benign anorectal disease: potential risk factors and strategy for prevention. Int J Colorectal Dis 2006;21(7): 676-82.
- 12. Talpur AA, Memon GN, Memon N, Jamali AH, Ghumro AA. Comparison between treatment of benign perianal diseases under local anaesthesia and regional or general anaesthesia. Med Channel 2007;13(4):22-4.
- 13. Romero AS, Sebastián AA, Vicente FP, Paz PS, Polo FC, Gómez AT, et al. Open lateral internal anal sphincterotomy under local anesthesia as the gold standard in the treatment of chronic anal fissures. A prospective clinical and manometric study. Rev Esp Enferm Dig 2004;96(12):856-63.
- 14. Siddique T, Khan SA, Rehman M, Islam HR, Ahmad W. Lateral internal sphincterotomy for chronic anal fissure under local anesthesia as a day case surgery. Ann King Edward Med Uni 2003;9(1):52-4.
- 15. Kulkarni SV, Agarwal P, Nagraj K. To compare the outcome of minor anorectal surgeries under local anesthesia versus spinal anesthesia. Ind J Surg 2014;76(5):343-9.

- Kashani SMT, Ali MH, Marzie L, Ali ZS. Lateral internal sphincterotomy under local anesthesia (randomized clinical trial). Eur Surg 2006; 38(5):364-367.
- 17. Sarkar S, Kapur N. Lateral internal sphincterotomy under local and spinal anaesthesia for chronic anal fissure: A randomised control trial. Hellenic J Surg 2016;88(6):398–401.
- 18. Manoharan R, Jacob T, Benjamin S, et al. Lateral Anal Sphincterotomy for Chronic Anal Fissures- A Comparison of Outcomes and Complications under Local Anaesthesia Versus Spinal Anaesthesia J Clin Diagn Res 2017; 11(1):PC08–PC12.
- 19. Brown CJ1, Dubreuil D, Santoro L, Liu M, O'Connor BI, McLeod RS. Lateral internal sphincterotomy is superior to topical nitroglycerin for healing chronic anal fissure and does not compromise long-term fecal continence: six-year follow-up of a multicenter, randomized, controlled trial. Dis Colon Rectum 2007;50(4):442-8.
- 20. Gudityte J, Marchertiene I, Pavalkis D. Anesthesia forambulatory anorectal surgery. Medicina (Kaunas) 2004;409:101–111.
- 21. Arroyo A, Pérez F, Serrano P, Candela F, Calpena R. Open versus closed lateral sphincterotomy performed as an outpatient procedure under local anesthesia for chronic anal fissure: prospective randomized study of clinical and manometric longterm results. J Am Coll Surg 2004;199: 361–367.
- 22. Liratzopoulos N, Efremidou EI, Papageorgiou MS, Kouklakis G, Moschos J, Manolas KJ, et al. Lateral subcutaneous internal sphincterotomy in the treatment of chronic anal fissure: our experience. J Gastrointestine Liver Dis 2006;15(2):143–47.
- 23. Lund JN, Scholefield JH. Aetiology and treatment of anal fissure. Br J Surg 1996;83:1335–44.
- 24. Isbister WH, Prasad J: Fissure in ano. Aust N Z J Surg 1995;65:107-08.