

# To Observe the Role of Angiotension Receptor Blocker Losartan in Treating Prehypertension

1. Shah Nawaz Jamali 2. Syed Mohsin Turab 3. Munir Hussain Siddiqui 4. Mohammad Aurangzeb  
5. Jwad-us-Salam 6. Afzal Qasim 7. Babar Bashir 8. Akhtar Ali Balouch

1. Assoc. Prof. of Pharmacology & Therapeutics, Hamdard College of Medicine & Dentistry, HU, Karachi 2. Prof. of Pharmacology & Therapeutics, Hamdard College of Medicine & Dentistry, HU, Karachi 3. Asstt. Prof. of Medicine, DIMC, DUHS, Karachi 4. Assoc. Prof. of Medicine, DIMC, DUHS, Karachi 5. Asstt. Prof. of Neurology, DIMC, DUHS, Karachi 6. Asstt. Prof. of Cardiology, DIMC, DUHS, Karachi 7. Asstt. Prof. of Medicine, DIMC, DUHS, Karachi 8. Assoc. Prof. of Medicine, DIMC, DUHS, Karachi

## ABSTRACT

**Objective:** The primary objective of the present study was to determine whether in patients with prehypertension six months of treatment with an angiotensin II, type 1 receptor antagonist (at a dose of 8mg once a day) reduces the incidence of hypertension in borderline patients

**Study Design:** Randomized, open-labeled, prospective study.

**Place and Duration of Study:** This study was conducted in the department of pharmacology and therapeutics, Basic Medical Sciences Institute (BMSI), Jinnah Post Graduate Medical Centre (JPMC), Karachi, from July 2007 to January 2008.

**Materials and Methods:** This study involved eighty untreated participants between 30 to 60 years of age of either sex with blood pressure on study entry in high-normal range i.e. systolic blood pressure of 130 to 139 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure of 85 to 89 mmHg, according to the classification developed by Joint National Committee on prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of high blood pressure (JNC-VI). All participants were randomized and enrolled in study after baseline investigations and informed written consent.

**Results:** All values have been expressed in standard error of mean ( $\pm$  SEM). Forty patients were treated with DR1 and DR2 from day 0 to day 90<sup>th</sup> of study period respectively. In DR1 group the mean systolic B.P was decreased from 138 mmHg to 125.8 mmHg from day 0 to day 90<sup>th</sup>. In DR2 group an increase was observed in systolic B.P from 128 mmHg to 136 mmHg from day 0 to day 90<sup>th</sup>. An average percentage decrease of 8.21 % in case of DR1 while, 5.52 % was increased in DR2 group. In same way a decrease of 11.82 % in DR1 group, while, an increase of 11.5 % was observed in case of DR2 group in mean diastolic blood pressure respectively from day 0 to day 90<sup>th</sup> of study duration.

**Conclusion:** Treatment of prehypertension with an angiotension receptor antagonist May decreases incident hypertension. Additional studies will be needed to ascertain whether this or other strategies involving early pharmacological treatment of prehypertension would positively affect clinical outcomes.

**Key Words:** Prehypertension, Candesartan Cilexetil, Systolic blood pressure, Diastolic blood pressure.

## INTRODUCTION

Regardless of terminology, Prehypertension is considered as a precursor of hypertension<sup>1</sup> and is associated with excess morbidity and deaths from cardiovascular cause<sup>2</sup>. The name of the range of blood pressure between what is clearly normal and what is definitely hypertensive changed from transient hypertension in the 1940s<sup>3</sup> to borderline hypertension in the 1970s<sup>4</sup> high- normal blood pressure in the 1990s<sup>5</sup> and most recently prehypertension in 2003<sup>6</sup>. Furthermore, an association of prehypertension with other cardiovascular risk factors has been established. We justified our study of pharmacological intervention with the use of an angiotensin-receptor blocker in prehypertension is based on following three grounds. One, in prehypertension, blood pressure remains a strong predictor of cardiovascular events after a statistical adjustment for other risk factors<sup>7</sup> suggesting

that lowering blood pressure might be beneficial. Hypertension is a self-accelerating condition. The transition from prehypertension to established hypertension reflects in part ongoing changes such as arterial hypertrophy<sup>8</sup> and endothelial dysfunction<sup>9</sup>. Increased vasoconstriction and diminished vasodilatation, consistent with these structural and functional findings have been described in prehypertension<sup>10</sup>. Two, Growth factors mediated by stimulation of the sympathetic nervous system<sup>11</sup> and excess activity of the renin-angiotensin system<sup>12</sup> tend to promote vascular hypertrophy by direct as well as hemodynamic effects. Third, present guidelines recommend that prehypertension be managed with changes in the participants lifestyle, weight loss<sup>13</sup>, salt restriction, exercise, and dietary modifications have been shown to reduce blood pressure in clinics specializing in lifestyle modifications.<sup>14</sup> Despite

intensive community efforts to promote healthful lifestyles, however, the prevalence of prehypertension in the United States is increasing<sup>15</sup>

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted in the department of pharmacology and therapeutics, Basic Medical Sciences Institute (BMSI), in collaboration with the department of medicine, Jinnah Post-graduate Medical Centre, Karachi, from July 2007 to January 2008. This six months, randomized study involved eighty untreated participants between 30 to 60 years of age of either sex with blood pressure on study entry in high-normal range i.e. systolic blood pressure of 130 to 139 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure of 85 to 89 mmHg, according to the classification developed by Joint National Committee on prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of high blood pressure (JNC-VI). All participants were randomized and enrolled in study after baseline investigations and informed written consent.

The study period was consisted of 24 weeks with weekly follow-up visits of participants; but the observations of the parameters were recorded on day 0, day 45 and day 90 of the study period. The selected participants were divided into two groups. DR1 (losartan) and DR2 (Placebo). The DR1 group received Tab. losartan 50 mg once a day for 90 days, while DR2 group received Placebo once a day for 90 days. Following parameters were observed in the present study.

- Systolic blood pressure
- Diastolic blood pressure

## RESULTS

The results have been expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM (standard error of mean). Forty participants were treated with DR1 and DR2 from day 0 to day 90<sup>th</sup> of study duration respectively. In DR1 group the mean systolic blood pressure was decreased from 138 mmHg on day 0 to 125.8 mmHg on day 90<sup>th</sup>. This reduction was found statistically highly significant ( $p < 0.001$ ). The average percentage reduction in systolic B.P was 8.21 % from day 0 to day 90<sup>th</sup> of the treatment as shown in table 1A and figure 1A. In DR2 group 40 study participants were treated from day 0 till day 90<sup>th</sup> of study duration. The mean systolic blood pressure was increased from 128 mmHg on day 0 to 136 mmHg on day 90<sup>th</sup> of the treatment. This increase was also observed statistically significant. The average percentage increase in systolic blood pressure was observed 5.52 % from day 0 to day 90<sup>th</sup> of treatment as depicted in table 1.

In DR1 group, the mean diastolic blood pressure on day 0 was 87 mmHg which decreased to 75.8 mmHg on day 90<sup>th</sup>. This decrease in diastolic blood pressure was found statistically significant with a p-value ( $p < 0.001$ ),

while in case of DR2 group the mean diastolic blood pressure was increased from 74 mmHg on day 0 to 85 mmHg on day 90<sup>th</sup> of study period. This increase in mean diastolic blood pressure was found statistically significant. The mean diastolic changes have been depicted in Table 2.

**Table No.1: Changes in mean systolic B.P from Day 0 – Day 90<sup>th</sup>, of the treatment with DR1, DR2 groups**

Groups	Day -0 B.P (mm Hg)	Day-90 B.P (mm Hg)	% change from day 0 – day 90 <sup>th</sup>
DR1	138 $\pm$ 0.07 (40)	125.8 $\pm$ 0.6 (38)	↓8.21 %
DR2	128 $\pm$ 0.41 (40)	136 $\pm$ 0.2 (36)	↑5.52 %

### Key:

- DR1 (losartan)
- DR 2 (Placebo)
- Values are in (mean  $\pm$  SEM)
- All observations are in mmHg
- ↓ shows decrease in percentage in B.P
- ↑ shows increase percentage in B.P

**Table No.2: Changes in mean diastolic B.P from Day 0 – Day 90<sup>th</sup>, of the treatment with DR1, DR2 Groups**

Groups	Day -0 B.P (mm Hg)	Day -90 B.P (mm Hg)	% change from day 0 – day 90 <sup>th</sup>
DR1	87 $\pm$ 0.2 (40)	75.5 $\pm$ 8.33 (38)	↓11.82 %
DR2	74 $\pm$ 0.58 (40)	85 $\pm$ 0.24 (36)	↑11.5 %

### Key

- DR1 (Losartan)
- DR 2 (Placebo)
- Values are in (mean  $\pm$  SEM)
- All observations are in mmHg
- ↓ shows decrease in B.P
- ↑ shows increase percentage in B.P

## DISCUSSION

Untreated hypertension is a self-accelerating condition; evolving arteriolar hypertrophy and endothelial dysfunction facilitate the later increase of blood pressure and contribute to the transition from prehypertension to established hypertension. Abnormalities in cardiovascular structure and function and in neuroendocrine control occur in young adults with a predisposition to hypertension<sup>16</sup>. Therefore, we hypothesized that an intervention in humans with prehypertension might alter the natural history and prevent or delay the onset of established hypertension<sup>17</sup>. The results of our study are in accordance with clinical trials of Julius et al 2006<sup>18</sup> and Whelton PK et al 2002.<sup>19</sup> The main objective of the present study was to

realize and recognize the importance of prehypertension and its intervention at its initial stages. The current international guidelines recommend lifestyle modifications for the management of prehypertension. The findings of our study can also be correlated with the findings of the Trial of Hypertension preventions.

## CONCLUSION

Treatment of prehypertension with an angiotension receptor antagonist may decrease incident hypertension. Additional studies will be needed to ascertain whether this or other strategies involving early pharmacological treatment of prehypertension would positively affect clinical outcomes.

## REFERENCES

1. Leits CM, Cupples LA, Kannel W, et al. High-normal blood pressure progression to hypertension in the Framingham heart study. *Hypertension* 1991; 17:22-7.
2. Levy RL, White PD, Stroud WD, et al. Transient hypertension: the relative prognostic importance of various systolic & diastolic levels. *JAMA* 1945; 128: 1059-61.
3. Levy RL, Hillman CC, Stroud WD, et al. Transient hypertension: its significance in terms of later development of sustained hypertension and cardiovascular- renal diseases. *JAMA* 1944;126: 29-33.
4. Julius S, Schork MA. Borderline hypertension – a critical review. *J Chronic Dis* 1971; 23:723-54.
5. The sixth Report of Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of high blood pressure (JNC-VI). National heart, Lung and blood institute, 1997 (NIH publication No.98-4080).
6. Chobanian AV, George LB, Henry RB, et al. The seventh report of the joint national committee on prevention, detection and treatment of high blood pressure. *JAMA* 2003;289:2560-2572.
7. Julius S, Jamerson K, Mejia A, et al. The association of borderline hypertension with target organ changes and higher coronary risk. *JAMA* 1990; 264: 354-8.
8. Vasan RS, Larson MG, Leip EP, et al. Impact of high- normal blood pressure on the risk of cardiovascular disease. *N Engl J Med* 2001; 345:1291-7.
9. Folkow B. Physiological aspects of primary hypertension. *Physiol Rev* 1982; 62: 347-504.
10. Panza JA, Casino PR, Kilcoyne CM, et al. Role of endothelium-derived nitric oxide in the abnormal endothelium dependent vascular relaxation of patients with essential hypertension. *Circulation* 1993; 87: 1468-74.
11. Eagan B, Hinderlre A, Schork N, et al. Mechanism of increased alpha adrenergic vasoconstriction in human essential hypertension. *J Clin Invest* 1987; 80:812-7.
12. Hart MN, Heistad DD, Brody MJ, et al. Effect of chronic hypertension and sympathetic denervation on wall / lumen ratio of cerebral vessels. *Hypertension* 1980; 02:419-23.
13. Dzan V. The cardiovascular continuum and renin-angiotensin- aldosterone system blockade. *J Hypertens Supp* 2005; 23: S-9-17.
14. The Trials of hypertension prevention collaborative Research group. Effects of weight loss and sodium reduction intervention on blood pressure and hypertension incidence in overweight people with high-normal blood pressure: The Trials of hypertension prevention, Phase II. *Arch Intern Med* 1997; 157: 657-67.
15. Apple LJ, Moore TJ, Obarzanek E, et al. A clinical trial of the effects of dietary patterns on blood pressure. *N Engl J Med* 1997; 336:1117-24.
16. Qureshi AI, Suri MF, Kirmani JF, et al. Prevalence and trends of prehypertension and hypertension in united states: National Health and Nutritional Examination Surveys 1976 to 2000. *Med Sci Monit* 2005; 11: CR 403-cr 409.
17. Neutal JM, Smith DH, Graettinger WF, et al. Heredity and hypertension: impact on metabolic characteristics. *Am Heart J* 1992; 124: 435-40.
18. Weber MA, Smith DH, Neutal JM. Cardiovascular and metabolic characteristics of hypertension. *Am J Med* 1991; 91: 4s-10s.
19. Julius Stevo, Nesbitt SD, Egan MB, et al. Feasibility of treating prehypertension with an angiotension-receptor blocker. *N Engl Med* 2006; 354:1685-97.

### Address for Corresponding Author:

#### Dr. Shah Nawaz Jamali

Assoc. Prof. of Pharmacology & Therapeutics

Hamdard College of Medicine & Dentistry

Hamdard University, Karachi.

E. mail snjamali74@gmail.com

Cell #: 03453544323

Resident of C-24, New Rizvia housing society, scheme 33, opp. Kiran hospital, safoora, Karachi.