Original Article

Comparison Between Skin

Pain After Inguinal Hernia Plasty

Staples and Polypropylene Suture in Patients of Inguinal Hernia for Securing Mesh in Term of Postoperative Pain

Irfan Ahmad, Rafagat Shafique and Muhammad Zarak Awais

ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare the skin staples and polypropylene suture for securing mesh in Lichtenstein inguinal hernioplasty in term of post-operative pain.

Study Design: Randomized controlled study.

Place and Duration of Study: This study was conducted at the Department of Surgery, Nishtar Hospital, Multan from July 2016 to January 2017.

Materials and Methods: Total 266 patients of inguinal hernia either male or female and having age 20-60 years were selected. Assessment of post-operative pain was done by using VAS after 24 hours of surgery.

Results: Mean age of the patients was 34.37 ± 10.95 years, mean duration of hernia and VAS was 5.52 ± 3.312 years and 4.54 ± 2.811 respectively. Post-operative pain was noted in 39 (29.3%) patients of group A and in 59 (44.4%) patients of group B. Statistically significant (P = 0.02) difference between the frequency of post-operative pain was noted.

Conclusion: Results of this study revealed that post-operative pain rate was significantly high in Polypropylene as compared to skin staple group. Significant difference was seen between the male patients of both groups but the difference was insignificant between female patients of both groups. Similarly difference in younger age group as significant but insignificant in older age group for both study groups.

Key Words: Polypropylene suture, Lichtenstein inguinal hernioplasty, Mean operative time. Skin staples, Post-operative pain

Citation of articles: Ahmad I, Shafique R, Awais MZ. Comparison Between Skin Staples and Polypropylene Suture in Patients of Inguinal Hernia for Securing Mesh in Term of Postoperative Pain. Med Forum 2017;28(9):45-48.

INTRODUCTION

External hernias (EH) are one of the common surgical problem and inguinal hernias accounted for about 73% cases. Surgery is the best option for prevention of hernia's complications. Inguinal hernia repair is one of the commonly performed surgeries. Annual incidence rate of inguinal hernia is 13/1000 patients. By the year 1989, tension-free hernioplasty was started at the Lichtenstein Hernia Institute to reduce the post operative pain, recurrence and recovery period. The Lichtenstein hernioplasty consists of reduction of the hernia contents followed by reinforcement of the inguinal floor with a prosthetic mesh and creation of a new internal ring. Polypropylene suture is standard way of securing mesh in position on the posterior wall of the inguinal canal.

Department of Surgery, NMC / Nishtar Hospital, Multan

Correspondence: Dr. Irfan Ahmad, Senior Registrar, Department of Surgery, Nishtar Hospital Multan. Contact No: 0333-6104624

Email: irfanafa@hotmail.com

Received: June 23, 2017; Accepted: July 37, 2017

With the recent advancement, a modified hernioplasty using skin staples for the anchorage of mesh is under trial which may decrease post-operative pain and operative time.³ A recent study compared the results of both methods of mesh fixation. It showed that the technique of mesh fixation with skin staples is as effective as conventional fixation with polypropylene sutures with an important added advantage of fewer complications.³

As inguinal hernia is a common clinical problem, new innovations in the surgical intervention are developing day by day in order to benefit the patients by reducing the postoperative pain. The results of this study may guide us that which one procedure has less pain. So, the surgeons adopt that procedure with less pain.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was done at Department of Surgery, Nishtar Hospital, Multan from July 2016 to January 2017. Total 266 patients of inguinal hernia either male or female and having age 20-60 years were selected for this randomized controlled. Patients having inguino-scrotal swelling (reducible, non-tender) were labelled as having inguinal hernia. Patients having complicated (irreducible, strangulated or obstructed) inguinal hernia, all the patients with history of diabetes mellitus,

patients with history of chronic renal failure, patients with history of bleeding disorders, immunocom promised and patients with history of recurrent and bilateral inguinal hernia were excluded from the study. All the selected patients were into two equal groups A & B randomly. Patients of group A were managed with skin staples and patients of group B were managed with polypropylene suture. After surgery for pain management, Injection diclofenac sodium 75mg IM BD was given.

Assessment of postoperative pain was done by using VAS (Visual Analogue Scale) and score 4 and above was taken as pain at the end of 24 hours of surgery. After 24 hours of surgery post-operative pain was assessed and recorded on pre-designed proforma along with demographic profile of all the patients.

All the collected data was analysed by using SPSS version 20. Mean and standard deviation was calculated for age and frequencies were calculated for gender and post-operative pain (Yes/No). Chi-square test was applied to compare the frequency of post-operative pain in both groups. Stratification was done for age and gender and Chi-square test was applied to see the effect of these on postoperative pain. P-value less than or equal to 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Total 266 cases of inguinal hernia were selected for this study. Patients were equally divided into two groups A & B. Patients of group A were managed with skin staples and patients of group B were managed with polypropylene suture and post-operative pain was noted on after 24 hours of surgery. Mean age of the patients was 34.37±10.95 years, mean duration of hernia and VAS was 5.52±3.31 years and 4.54±2.81 respectively. Mean age of the patients of Group A was 34.91±10.88 years, mean duration of hernia and VAS was 5.53±3.32 years and 4.54±2.81 respectively. Mean age of the patients of Group B was 33.83±11.03 years, mean duration of hernia and VAS was 5.50±3.30 years and 4.53±2.84 respectively.

Comparison of frequency of post-operative pain between both groups was done. Post-operative pain was noted in 39 (29.3%) patients of group A and in 59 (44.4%) patients of group B. Statistically significant (P = 0.02) difference between the frequency of post-operative pain was noted (Table 1).

In age group 20-40 years, out of 100 patients of group A, post-operative pain was noted in 33 (33%) patients. Out of 107 patients of group B, post-operative pain was noted in 49 (45.8%) patients. Difference between post-operative pain for both groups was statistically in significant with p value 0.0658. In age group 41-60 years, out of 33 patients of group A, post-operative pain was noted in 6 (18.2%) patients. Out of 26 patients of group B, post-operative pain was noted in 10 (38.5%) patients. Difference between post-operative pain for

both groups was statistically in significant with p value 0.1391 (Table 2).

After comparing post-operative pain between male patients of both groups, significant (P=0.0243) difference was noted between the post-operative pain in both groups but insignificant (P=0.6372) difference was noted between the post-operative pain for female patients of both groups. (Table 3)

Table No.1: Comparison of frequency of postoperative pain

Group	Postoperative pain		Total	P
	Yes(%)	No (%)	Total	value
A (Staple	39	94	133	
Group)	(29.3%)	(70.7%)	(100%)	0.02
B(Polypropyl	59	74	133	0.02
ene Group)	(44.4%)	(55.6%)	(100%)	

Table No.2: Age distribution

Crown	Post-operative pain		Total		
Group	Yes (%)	Yes (%) No (%)			
20-40 years (P= 0.07)					
A	33 (33.0%)	67 (67.0%)	100		
В	49 (45.8%)	58 (54.2%)	107		
41-60 years (P = 0.14)					
A	6 (18.2%)	27 (81.8%)	33		
В	10 (38.5%)	16 (61.5%)	26		

Table No.3: Gender distribution

Group	Post-operati	Total				
	Yes (%) No (%)		Total			
Male $(P = 0.02)$						
A	36 (28.8%)	89 (71.2%)	125 (100%)			
В	53 (43.1%)	70 (56.9%)	123 (100%)			
Female (P = 0.63)						
A	3 (37.5%)	5 (62.5%)	8 (100%)			
В	6 (60.0%)	4 (40.0%)	10 (100%)			

DISCUSSION

About 5% of male population of the world affected by inguinal hernia.9 About 100 years ago, Edward Bassini described the open method of inguinal herniorrhaphy. 10 Many changes have been made to this surgical procedure in the interim, with varying degrees of efficacy. 11 Lichtenstein described the tension-free inguinal hernia repair with the help of prosthetic mesh.¹² Originally, the mesh is fixed on the posterior wall of inguinal canal with the help of polypropylene 2/0 suture. 13 But Quality of life has increasingly been a matter of consideration in the assessment of medical, and above all, surgical procedures. In inguinal hernia repair, several factors of postoperative quality of life, such as pain and recovery, have recently been assessed. 14 A new modification in the repair of inguinal hernia has been developed in which prolene mesh is

being fixed on the posterior wall of inguinal canal with staples instead of polypropylene suture.¹⁵

In our study, average age of the cases was 34.37 ± 10.95 years, mean duration of hernia and VAS was 5.52 ± 3.31 years and 4.54 ± 2.81 respectively. Average age of the cases of Group A was 34.91 ± 10.88 years, mean duration of hernia and VAS was 5.53 ± 3.32 years and 4.54 ± 2.81 respectively. Average age of the cases of Group B was 33.83 ± 11.03 years, mean duration of hernia and VAS was 5.50 ± 3.30 years and 4.53 ± 2.84 respectively.

In one study by Khan et al⁶ mean age of the cases managed with polypropylene suture was 48.99 ± 14.27 years and mean age of the cases managed with skin staple was 46.37 ± 14.12 years. In another study by Bawahab et al¹⁶, mean age of the cases was 35.83 ± 13.338 years.

In present study, post-operative pain was noted in 29.3% patients of group A (Skin staple group) and in 44.4% patients of group B (polypropylene group). Statistically significant (P = 0.0155) difference between the frequency of post-operative pain was noted.

In one study by Khan et al,⁶ postoperative pain was noted in 23.3% cases managed with polypropylene group and in staple group, postoperative pain was noted in 29.3% cases. Findings of this study are in agreement with our study. Similarly Zwaal et al reported that postoperative pain was reduced when staples were used to fix the mesh 66% for polypropylene group versus 51% for staple group.³ This study showed that the technique of mesh fixation with skin staples is as effective as conventional fixation with polypropylene sutures with an important added advantage; fewer complications or postoperative pain.³ Shaikh et al¹⁷ also found significant difference between post-operative pain between the both groups after surgery.

Damani et al¹⁸ also reported that postoperative pain was less in skin staples group than in Polypropylene suture group. Interpretation of the results of our study shows that anchoring mesh with staples in Lichtenstein inguinal hernioplasty is superior as compared to fixation with polypropylene suture in term of postoperative pain.

On the other hand, Shivhare et al¹⁹ reported in their study insignificant difference in post-operative pain between the polypropylene and skin staple group.In another study, Mills et al²⁰ also reported insignificant difference between postoperative pain of both groups. Similarly Garg et al²¹ reported insignificant difference between the post operative pain of both groups.

CONCLUSION

Results of this study revealed that post-operative pain rate was significantly high in Polypropylene as compared to skin staple group. Significant difference was seen between the male patients of both groups but the difference was insignificant between female patients of both groups. Similarly difference in younger age group as significant but insignificant in older age group for both study groups.

Author's Contribution:

Concept & Design of Study: Irfan Ahmad Drafting: Irfan Ahmad

Data Analysis: Muhammad Zarak Awais

Revisiting Critically: Rafaqat Shafique Final Approval of version: Irfan Ahmad

Conflict of Interest: The study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

REFERENCES

- 1. Bierca J, Kosim A, Kołodziejczak M, Zmora J, Kultys E. Effectiveness of Lichtenstein repairs in planned treatment of giant inguinal hernia own experience. Wideochir Inne Tech Maloinwazyjne 2013;8(1):36–42.
- 2. Kingsnorth AN, Giorgobiani G, Bennet DH. Hernia, umbilicus and abdominal wall. In: Williams NS, Bulstrode CJ, Connel PR, editors. Bailey and Love short practice of surgery. 25th ed. London: Champion Hall;2008.p.968-90.
- Zwaal PV, Berg IR, Plaisier PW, Nolthenius RP. Mesh fixation using staples in Lichtenstein's inguinal hernioplasty: fewer complications and fewer recurrences. Hernia 2008;12:391.
- 4. Fitzgibbons RJ, Giobbie-Hurder A, Gibbs JO, Dunlop DD, Reda DJ, McCarthy M, et al. Watchful waiting vs. repair of inguinal hernia in minimally symptomatic men: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2006;295:285-92.
- Simons MP, Aufenacker T, Bay-Nielsen M, Bouillot JL, Campanelli G, Conze J, et al. European Hernia Society guidelines on the treatment of inguinal hernia in adult patients. Hernia 2009;13(4):343–403.
- Khan AA, Majeed S, Shahzadi M, Hussain SM, Ali MZ, Siddique K. Polypropylene suture versus skin staples for securing mesh in lichtenstein inguinal hernioplasty. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak 2014; 24(2):88–90.
- 7. Kurzer M, Kark A, Hussain T. Inguinal hernia repair. J Perioper Pract 2007;17:321-30.
- 8. Matthews RD, Neumayer L. Inguinal hernia in the 21st century: an evidence-based review. Curr Probl Surg 2008;45:261-312.
- Maingot R. Operations for inguinal hernia. New York: McGraw-Hill: 1980.
- Bassini D, editor. Nuovometodo per la curaradicaledell' erniainguinale. Padua: Stabilimento Prosperini; 1889.
- 11. Danielsson P, Isacson S, Hansen MV. Randomised study of Lichtenstein compared with Shouldice inguinal hernia repair by surgeons in training. Eur J Surg 1999; 165:49-53.

- 12. Rossner F, Munter S. The medical aphorisms of mosesmainonides. New York: Yeshiva University Press; 1970.
- 13. Matthews RD, Neumayer L. Inguinal hernia in the 21st century: an evidence-based review. Curr Probl Surg 2008; 45:261-312.
- 14. Callesen T, Bech K, Nielsen R, Andersen J, Hesselfeldt P, Roikjaer O, et al. Pain after groin hernia repair. Br J Surg 1998;85:1412-4.
- 15. Zieren J, Küpper F, Paul M, Neuss H, Müller JM. Inguinal hernia: obligatory indication for elective surgery? A prospective assessment of quality of life before and after plug and patch inguinal hernia repair. Langenbecks Arch Surg 2003; 387:417-20.
- 16. Bawahab MA, El Maksoud WMA. Evaluation of chronic postoperative pain after hernia repair using self fixating mesh for management of primary inguinal hernia in adult males. Pak J Surg 2013; 29(3):159–64.
- 17. Shaikh FA, Alvi AR, Jiwani ASA, Murtaza G. Recurrence and chronic pain after mesh fixation with skin staples versus sutures in Lichtenstein's

- inguinal hernioplasty: a retrospective cohort study. Hernia 2013;17(3):307–11.
- 18. Damani SAR, Haider S, Shah SSH. Outcome of Mesh Anchoring Using Stainless Steel Skin Staples Versus Polypropylene Suturing in Lichtenstein's Tension Free Inguinal Hernia Repair. J Surg Pak (Int) 2016;21:2.
- 19. Shivhare P, Dugg P, Mittal S, Singh H, Kumar A. A prospective randomized study comparing skin staples versus polypropylene sutures for securing the mesh in lichtenstein's repair. Arch Clin Exp Surg 2014;3(3):1.
- 20. Mills IW, McDermott IM, Ratliff DA. Prospective randomized controlled trial to compare skin staples and polypropylene for securing the mesh in inguinal hernia repair. Br J Surg 1998;85:790-792.
- 21. Garg CP, Bhatnagar AM, Parmar CD, Darshan JR, Sehgal RA. Comparative study of skin staples and polypropylene sutures for securing the mesh in lichtenstein's tension free inguinal hernia repair: A Prospective randomized controlled clinical trial. Ind J Surg 2004;66:152-155.