

# Effect of Low Sodium Dialysate on Regression of Left Ventricular Hypertrophy in Hemodialysis Patients

Effect of Low Sodium on Regression on LVH in Hemodialysis

Adnan Akhtar<sup>1</sup>, Shakeel Khan<sup>1</sup>, Usman Khalid<sup>2</sup>, Khawar Sultan<sup>1</sup> and Muhammad Kashif Khan<sup>1</sup>

## ABSTRACT

**Objective:** To compare the effect of low sodium dialysate with the standard sodium dialysate in terms of regression of left ventricular hypertrophy in dialysis patients.

**Study Design:** Randomized controlled trial study

**Place and Duration of Study:** This study was conducted at the Nephrology Department, PIMS Islamabad. Duration of study from March, 2018 to August, 2018.

**Materials and Methods:** This study involved eighty-four Dialysis dependent patients (n=84) of either gender aged between 18-65 years with hypertension and LVEF>40%. They were randomly divided into two groups. Intervention group was switched to 136 mmol/L dialysate sodium (low sodium) while control group were kept on dialysate sodium concentration of 140 mmol/L (standard sodium). Study outcomes were measured in terms of interdialytic weight gain, blood pressure response and left ventricular mass index (LVMI) at six months.

**Results:** There were 71.4% (n=30/42) males and 28.6% (n=12/42) females in low sodium group and were 57.1% (n=24/42) males and 42.9% (n=18/42) females in standard sodium group. In low sodium group, mean age was 41.2 years  $\pm$  8.8 SD, mean height was 1.64 m  $\pm$  0.06 SD and mean weight was 73.4 Kg  $\pm$  10.4 SD. In standard sodium group, mean age was 44.7 years  $\pm$  9.5 SD, mean height was 1.68 m  $\pm$  0.06 SD and mean weight was 74.2 Kg  $\pm$  9.9 SD. In low sodium group, mean LVEF was 48.5 %  $\pm$  2.3 SD, mean interdialytic weight gain was 2.58 Kg  $\pm$  0.43 SD, mean systolic BP was 155.2 mmHg  $\pm$  7.5 SD, mean diastolic BP was 99.5 mmHg  $\pm$  6.6 SD and mean LVMI was 123.6 g/m<sup>2</sup>  $\pm$  13.5 SD. In standard sodium group, mean LVEF was 49.1 %  $\pm$  2.6 SD, mean interdialytic weight gain was 2.53 Kg  $\pm$  0.44 SD, mean systolic BP was 156.1 mmHg  $\pm$  7.9 SD, mean diastolic BP was 101.2 mmHg  $\pm$  6.6 SD and mean LVMI was 123.3 g/m<sup>2</sup>  $\pm$  14.6 SD. At six months, mean interdialytic weight gain was 2.02 Kg  $\pm$  0.43 SD in the low sodium group compared with 2.53  $\pm$  0.43 SD in standard sodium group, (P=0.001). Mean systolic blood pressure was 147.5 mmHg  $\pm$  7.9 SD in the low sodium group compared with 157.5 mmHg  $\pm$  8.2 SD in standard sodium group, (P=0.001). Low sodium tends to lower down the systolic pressure when compared to high sodium. Mean diastolic blood pressure was 99.5 mmHg  $\pm$  5.9 SD in the low sodium group compared with 101.2 mmHg  $\pm$  6.6 SD in standard sodium group, no significant difference was observed in diastolic blood pressure in both the groups at six months (P=0.06). Mean LVMI was 121.8 g/m<sup>2</sup>  $\pm$  13.5 SD in low sodium group while it was 131.8 g/m<sup>2</sup>  $\pm$  14.6 SD in standard sodium group (p=0.003).

**Conclusion:** Mean interdialytic weight gain was significantly lesser and mean LVMI was significantly lower in low sodium group compared to standard sodium group. Low sodium tends to lower down the systolic pressure when compared with standard sodium group at six months.

**Key Words:** Dialysis, Dialysate, Sodium.

**Citation of article:** Akhtar A, Khan S, Khalid U, Sultan K, Khan MK. Effect of Low Sodium Dialysate on Regression of Left Ventricular Hypertrophy in Hemodialysis Patients Med Forum 2021;32(2):88-92.

## INTRODUCTION

<sup>1</sup>. Department of Nephrology, PIMS, Islamabad.

<sup>2</sup>. Department of Nephrology, G.C, Gujranwala.

Correspondence: Khawar Sultan, Postgraduate Resident Nephrology, Pakistan Institute of Medical Sciences Islamabad.

Contact No: 0333-5051328

Email: khawarthakur@gmail.com

Received: August, 2020

Accepted: October, 2020

Printed: February, 2021

Dialysis is most commonly used modality of renal replacement therapy across the globe<sup>1</sup>. Unfortunately left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) is considered as a main risk factor for sudden cardiac death in dialysis patients. Regression of LVH by any intervention can reduce cardiac mortality in these patients.<sup>2</sup> Regression of LVH can be achieved by removal of dialysis sodium along with better blood pressure control in these patients.<sup>3</sup> The balance of sodium in dialysis patients mainly depends on intake of dietary salt and removal of sodium during dialysis. Volume overload is triggered by intake of salt.<sup>4</sup> Negative sodium gradient is when the dialysate sodium is lower than the patient's plasma sodium at the start of hemodialysis.<sup>5</sup> In chronic hemodialysis patients

average intake of sodium intake is between 150-250 mmol/day.<sup>6</sup> Dialysis should therefore be optimized to remove excessive sodium, which accumulates during interdialysis period and by minimizing chronic fluid overload.<sup>7,8</sup> Thus, the major determinants of optimum dialysate sodium removal are the volume of ultrafiltration during haemodialysis and the relationship between plasma levels of sodium and prescribed dialysate sodium concentration.<sup>9</sup>

Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study (DOPPS) reported that about 57% of HD facilities adopt uniform Dialysate sodium prescriptions in more than ninety percent of patients.<sup>10</sup> Use of high Dialysate sodium may be beneficial for prevention of episodes of hypotension, but at the same time may result in to a positive sodium balance leading to an increase in BP and fluid overload. However, use of low dialysate sodium is associated with reduced thirst, BP and fluid overload but can sometime be detrimental, especially in patients who are prone to hypotension.

A panel of clinicians from fourteen large dialysis units in the USA have suggested that Dialysate sodium should not exceed 134–138 mmol/L.<sup>11</sup> However, researchers from DOPPS group quickly rejected this proposition and claimed that the standard range of 138–140 mmol/L should not be lowered before more evidence showing clear cut benefit is gathered.<sup>12</sup> With this background in mind, our aim was to perform a randomized controlled trial to analyse possible benefits of low versus standard Dialysate prescriptions in hypertensive patients on chronic hemodialysis.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

We enrolled a total of 84 patients of end stage renal disease on regular twice weekly dialysis for last 6 months with hypertension and Left ventricular Ejection fraction <40%. They were randomly divided into two groups (n=42 in each group) by coin method; an intervention (group A) and a control (group b) group. By echocardiography Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), Mass of the left ventricle was measured and LVMI was calculated.

Intervention group was switched to 136 mmol/L dialysate sodium while control group dialysate sodium concentration was kept at 140 mmol/L. Interdialytic weight gain (IDWG) and BP was recorded in both groups at the time of study enrolment, at each dialysis during whole study period of 6 months. After 6 months echocardiography was repeated to see any change in LVMI along with improvement in IDWG and BP control in both groups.

## RESULTS

There were 71.4% (n=30/42) males and 28.6% (n=12/42) females in low sodium group and were 57.1% (n=24/42) males and 42.9% (n=18/42) females in standard sodium group. In low sodium group, mean

age was 41.2 years  $\pm$  8.8 SD, mean height was 1.64 m  $\pm$  0.06 SD and mean weight was 73.4 Kg  $\pm$  10.4 SD. In standard sodium group, mean age was 44.7 years  $\pm$  9.5 SD, mean height was 1.68 m  $\pm$  0.06 SD and mean weight was 74.2 Kg  $\pm$  9.9 SD.

In low sodium group, mean LVEF was 48.5 %  $\pm$  2.3 SD, mean interdialytic weight gain was 2.58 Kg  $\pm$  0.43 SD, mean systolic BP was 155.2 mmHg  $\pm$  7.5 SD, mean diastolic BP was 99.5 mmHg  $\pm$  6.6 SD and mean LVMI was 123.6 g/m<sup>2</sup>  $\pm$  13.5 SD. In standard sodium group, mean LVEF was 49.1 %  $\pm$  2.6 SD, mean interdialytic weight gain was 2.53 Kg  $\pm$  0.44 SD, mean systolic BP was 156.1 mmHg  $\pm$  7.9 SD, mean diastolic BP was 101.2 mmHg  $\pm$  6.6 SD and mean LVMI was 123.3 g/m<sup>2</sup>  $\pm$  14.6 SD (table 1).

At six months, mean interdialytic weight gain was 2.02 Kg  $\pm$  0.43 SD in the low sodium group compared with 2.53  $\pm$  0.43 SD in standard sodium group, (P=0.001, table 2). Mean interdialytic weight gain was significantly lesser in low sodium group compared to standard sodium group.

**Table No.1: Baseline patient characteristics in both groups**

Variables	Groups	Mean	SD	P-value T-test
LVEF (%)	Low Sodium dialysate	48.5	2.3	0.377
	Standard sodiumdialysate	49.1	2.6	
Interdialytic Weight gain (kg)	Low Sodium dialysate	2.58	0.43	0.617
	Standard sodiumdialysate	2.53	0.44	
Systolic bp (mmhg)	Low Sodium dialysate	155.2	7.5	0.622
	Standard sodiumdialysate	156.1	7.9	
Diastolic bp (mmhg)	Low Sodium dialysate	99.5	5.9	0.227
	Standard sodiumdialysate	101.2	6.6	
Lvmi (g/m <sup>2</sup> )	Low Sodium dialysate	123.6	13.5	0.665
	Standard sodiumdialysate	122.3	14.6	

Mean systolic BP was 147.5 mmHg  $\pm$  7.9 SD in the low sodium group compared with 157.5 mmHg  $\pm$  8.2 SD in standard sodium group, (P=0.001). Low sodium tends to lower down the systolic pressure when compared to

high sodium. Mean diastolic blood pressure was  $99.5\text{mmHg} \pm 5.9$  SD in the low sodium group compared with  $101.2\text{ mmHg} \pm 6.6$  SD in standard sodium group, No significant difference was observed in diastolic blood pressure in both the groups at six months ( $P=0.06$ ).

Mean LVMI was  $121.8\text{ g/m}^2 \pm 13.5$  SD in low sodium group while it was  $131.8\text{ g/m}^2 \pm 14.6$  SD in standard sodium group ( $p=0.003$ ). Mean LVMI was significantly lower in low sodium group compared to standard sodium group at six months.

**Table No.2: Outcomes in both groups at six months**

Variables	Groups	Mean	Sd	P-value T-test
Interdialytic Weight gain (kg)	Low Sodium diasylate	2.02	0.43	0.001
	Standard sodiumdiasylate	2.53	0.44	
Systolic bp (mmhg)	Low Sodium diasylate	147.5	7.9	0.001
	Standard sodiumdiasylate	157.5	8.2	
Diastolic bp (mmhg)	Low Sodium diasylate	97.5	5.9	0.06
	Standard sodiumdiasylate	100.2	6.6	
Lvmi ( $\text{g/m}^2$ )	Low Sodium diasylate	121.8	13.5	0.003
	Standard sodiumdiasylate	131.1	14.6	

## DISCUSSION

Currently available clinical evidence supports a significant role of LVH in sudden cardiac death among dialysis patients. In one study, LVH was found to be associated with higher risk of mortality even after adjustment for age, known CAD, DM and BP.<sup>13</sup> It has been observed that in patients who are on dialysis with conventional technique, persistent elevation in BP and positive salt-water balance resulting in extra-cellular fluid overload significantly contribute to on-going LVH.<sup>14-16</sup>

It has been demonstrated that both BP and IDWG was increased when sodium was overloaded either by excessive dietary intake or by excessive diffusion via dialysate.<sup>17</sup> In addition, elevated sodium plasma levels may induce hypertension, which is independent of ECF volume. A number of observational studies as well as small uncontrolled clinical studies have shown that lower dialysate  $[\text{Na}^+]$  associates with less thirst,<sup>18-20</sup>

lower IDWG, lower ECF volume and lower BP, with only a minority of studies being completely negative.<sup>21-27</sup> A previous research by Solid trial team demonstrated that a decrease in dialysate  $[\text{Na}^+]$  by 3 mM in 52 facility based patients was well tolerated and reduced systolic and diastolic BP by 4–5 and 2–3 mmHg, respectively.<sup>28</sup> The observation of improvement in intermediary outcomes such as BP suggest that lower dialysate  $[\text{Na}^+]$  could be beneficial for improving LVH as well. There are at least two studies that examined the effect of lower dialysate sodium on structure and function of left ventricle.<sup>29,30</sup> One of the studies demonstrated a decrease in volumes of left ventricle associated with lower dialysate levels.<sup>29</sup> However, both the studies were not long enough to evaluate changes in mass of left ventricle.

Dunlop JL in a very recent systematic reviewed randomized controlled trials of low ( $< 138$  mM) versus neutral (138 to 140 mM) or high ( $> 140$  mM) dialysate  $[\text{Na}^+]$  for maintenance HD patients. They demonstrated that low dialysate reduced the interdialytic weight gain compared to neutral or high dialysate  $[\text{Na}^+]$ ; probably reduced predialysis mean arterial BP; probably reduced post dialysis means arterial BP and could reduce consumption of antihypertensive medication. However, lower sodium dialysate was associated with increased events of hypotension when compared with neutral or high dialysate  $[\text{Na}^+]$ . Whether lower sodium dialysate changed LV mass is uncertain due to low quality of evidence.<sup>31</sup>

Whether lower sodium dialysate influences the serum sodium levels is another concern for clinicians. Pre-dialysis serum  $[\text{Na}^+]$  did change in several small prospective clinical trials after changes to dialysate  $[\text{Na}^+]$ , although often after a lag of several months. Several other studies have shown an association between low serum  $[\text{Na}^+]$  and mortality in patients with kidney disease and authors suggested that an intervention that might potentially lower serum  $[\text{Na}^+]$  needs stringent and careful scrutiny.<sup>32-33</sup>

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study shows that low sodium dialysate is an effective measure in decreasing left ventricular mass index and is especially recommended in patients with uncontrolled hypertension and excessive interdialytic weight gain.

### Author's Contribution:

Concept & Design of Study:	Adnan Akhtar
Drafting:	Shakeel Khan, Usman Khalid
Data Analysis:	Khawar Sultan, Muhammad Kashif Khan
Revisiting Critically:	Adnan Akhtar, Shakeel Khan
Final Approval of version:	Adnan Akhtar

**Conflict of Interest:** The study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

## REFERENCES

1. U.S. Renal Data System, USRDS 2012 Annual Data Report: Atlas of End-stage renal disease in the united states, national institutes of health, national institute of diabetes and digestive and kidney diseases. Bethesda, MD; 2012.
2. Parker T, Hakim R, Nissenson AR, Steinman T, Glasscock RJ. Dialysis at a crossroads: 50 years later. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2011;6(2):457–61.
3. Liu J, Sun F, Ma L, Shen Y, MEI X, Zhou Y. Increasing dialysis sodium removal on arterial stiffness and left ventricular hypertrophy in hemodialysis patients, *J Renal Nutr* 2016;26(1): 38-44.
4. Santos SF, Peixoto AJ. Sodium balance in maintenance hemodialysis. *Semin Dial* 2010; 23:549–55.
5. Munoz MJ, Sun S, Chertow GM, Moran J, Doss S, Schiller B. Dialysate sodium and sodium gradient in maintenance hemodialysis: a neglected sodium restriction approach. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2011;26:1281-7.
6. Lindley EJ. Reducing sodium intake in hemodialysis patients. *Semin Dial* 2009;22: 260–3.
7. Basile C, Libutti P, Lisi P. Sodium setpoint and gradient in bicarbonate hemodialysis. *J Nephrol* 2013;26:1136–42.
8. Weiner DE, Brunelli SM, Hunt A. Improving clinical outcomes among hemodialysis patients: a proposal for a “volume first” approach from the chief medical officers of US dialysis providers. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2014;64:685–95.
9. Hecking M, Karaboyas A, Antlanger M. Significance of interdialytic weight gain versus chronic volume overload: consensus opinion. *Am J Nephrol* 2013;38:78–90.
10. Hecking M, Karaboyas A, Rayner H. Dialysate sodium prescription and blood pressure in hemodialysis patients. *Am J Hypertens* 2014; 27:1160–69.
11. Parker T, III, Johnson D, Nissenson A. Creating an open dialogue on improving dialysis care. *Nephrol. News Issues* 2013;27:18–20.
12. Port F, Hecking M, Karaboyas A. Current evidence argues against lowering the dialysate sodium. *Nephrol News Issues* 2013;27:18–21.
13. Silberberg JS, Barre PE, Prichard SS, Sniderman AD. Impact of left ventricular hypertrophy on survival in end-stage renal disease. *Kidney Int* 1989;36(2):286–90.
14. Koc Y, Unsal A, Kayabasi H, Oztekin E, Sakaci T, Ahbap E, et al. Impact of volume status on blood pressure and left ventricle structure in patients undergoing chronic hemodialysis. *Ren Fail* 2011;33(4):377–81.
15. Li H, Wang SX. Improvement of hypertension and LVH in maintenance hemodialysis patients treated with sustained-release isosorbide mononitrate. *J Nephrol* 2011;24(2):236–45.
16. Inal S, Erten Y, Akbulu G, Onec K, Tek NA, Sahin G, et al. Salt intake and hypervolemia in the development of hypertension in peritoneal dialysis patients. *Adv Perit Dial* 2012;28:10–5.
17. Marshall MR, Dunlop JL. Are dialysate sodium levels too high? *Semin Dial* 2012;25(3):277–83.
18. Munoz Mendoza J, Sun S, Chertow GM, Moran J, Doss S, Schiller B. Dialysate sodium and sodium gradient in maintenance hemodialysis: a neglected sodium restriction approach? *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2011;26(4):1281–7.
19. Gumrukcuoglu HA, Ari E, Akyol A, Akdag S, Simsek H, Sahin M, et al. Effects of lowering dialysate sodium on carotid artery atherosclerosis and endothelial dysfunction in maintenance hemodialysis patients. *Int Urol Nephrol* 2012;44(6):1833–9.
20. Zwiech R, Bruzda-Zwiech A. The dual blockade of the renin-angiotensin system in hemodialysis patients requires decreased dialysate sodium concentration. *Int Urol Nephrol* 2012.
21. Shah A, Davenport A. Does a reduction in dialysate sodium improve blood pressure control in haemodialysis patients? *Nephrol (Carlton)* 2012;17(4):358–63.
22. Sandhu E, Crawford C, Davenport A. Weight gains and increased blood pressure in outpatient hemodialysis patients due to change in acid dialysate concentrate supplier. *Int J Artif Organs* 2012;35(9):642–7.
23. Ireland R. Dialysis: Does reducing dialysate sodium level lower blood pressure? *Nat Rev Nephrol* 2012;8(4):192-6.
24. Del Giudice A, Cicchella A, Di Giorgio G, Piemontese M, Prencipe M, Fontana A, et al. Prevalence and control of hypertension in chronic hemodialysis patients: results of a single-centre clinical audit. *G Ital Nefrol* 2012;29(2):230–7.
25. Arramreddy R, Sun SJ, Munoz Mendoza J, Chertow GM, Schiller B. Individualized reduction in dialysate sodium in conventional in-center hemodialysis. *Hemodial Int* 2012;16(4):473–80.
26. Hecking M, Karaboyas A, Saran R, Sen A, Inaba M, Rayner H, Horl WH, Pisoni RL, Robinson BM, Sunder-Plassmann G. et al. Dialysate sodium concentration and the association with interdialytic weight gain, hospitalization, and mortality. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2012;7(1):92–100.
27. Hamzi AM, Asseraji M, Hassani K, Alayoud A, Abdellali B, Zajjari Y, et al. Applying sodium profile with or without ultrafiltration profile failed

- to show beneficial effects on the incidence of intradialytic hypotension in susceptible hemodialysis patients. *Arab J Nephrol Transplant* 2012;5(3):129–34.
28. Thein H, Haloob I, Marshall MR. Associations of a facility level decrease in dialysate sodium concentration with blood pressure and interdialytic weight gain. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2007; 22(9):2630–9.
29. Sayarlioglu H, Erkoc R, Tuncer M, Soyoral Y, Esen R, Gumrukcuoglu HA, et al. Effects of low sodium dialysate in chronic hemodialysis patients: an echocardiographic study. *Ren Fail* 2007; 29(2):143–6.
30. Kutlugun AA, Erdem Y, Okutucu S, Yorgun H, Atalar E, Arici M. Effects of lowering dialysate sodium on flow-mediated dilatation in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2011;26(11):3678–82.
31. Dunlop JL, Vandal AC, Marshall MR. Low dialysate sodium levels for chronic haemodialysis (Review). *Cochrane Database of Systematic Rev* 2019; (1):CD011204.
32. Nigwekar S, Wenger J, Thadhani R, Bhan I. Low serum sodium, bone mineral disease and mortality in incident chronic hemodialysis patients. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2011;22:58A-9.
33. Waikar SS, Curhan GC, Brunelli SM. Mortality associated with low serum sodium concentration in maintenance hemodialysis. *Am J Med* 2011; 124(1):77–84.