Original Article

The Combined Role of Alvarado

Acute Appendicitis

Score and Ultrasonography for the Diagnosis of Acute **Appendicitis**Zulfiqar Ali¹, Wasfa Gul², Allauddin³ and Haroon Javaid Majid⁴

ABSTRACT

Objectives: Objective of the study was to evaluate use of Alvarado score and ultrasonography in diagnosis of acute appendicitis.

Study Design: Cross sectional study

Place and Duration of Study: This study was conducted at Department of Surgery along with Department of Radiology at Shaikh Zayed Hospital Lahore from 1st January 2013 to 31st August 2013.

Materials and Methods: 250 patients of Alvarado Score were enrolled for the diagnosis of acute appendicitis attending out-patient, accident & emergency departments.

Results: There were 184 (74%) were males and 66 (26%) were females with mean age of 35.27±12.57 years. One hundred and seventy patients had anorexia while 76 patients had no anorexia. 49.6% patients while in 50.4% were reported anorexia. Right iliac fossa was noted in all patients. 95% patients had rebounded tenderness 203 patients have elevated temperature.

Conclusion: Alvarado score is a simple and reliable non-invasive diagnosis modality without any extra cost and complication. It has also proved to be handy for our peripheral hospital setting where backup facilities not available. By application of Alvarado scoring system with non-invasiv ultrasolography improves diagnosis accuracy by reducing negative appendicectomies hence reducing complications rate in our settings.

Key Words: Acute appendicitis, Alvarado score, Ultrasonographic and histopathology findings

Citation of article: Ali Z, Gul W, Allauddin, Majid H. The Combined Role of Alvarado Score and Ultrasonography for the Diagnosis of Acute Appendictis. Med Forum 2016;27(8):19-23.

INTRODUCTION

The appendix is a worm like extension of the cecu is a structure without apparent function, although it thought to be important cause of morbidity & hortain. It is process of treatment of appendix developed during the last about 80 years but knowledge of the Usease is more older than a century back. Appendicitis is inflammation of the inner lining of the vermiform appendix that spreads to its older parts. Surgical conditions may occur for severa reasons due to any infection of the appendix but the most common step is the obstructions of the appendiceal lumen. 1

^{1.} Department of Surgery, Salmaniya Medical Complex, Kingdom of Bahrain

Correspondence: Dr. Zulfiqar Ali, Surgical Specialist, Department of Surgery, Salmaniya Medical Complex, Kingdom of Bahrain.

Contact No: +973-35902498 Email: zulfi007@hotmail.com

Received: May 30, 2016; Accepted: June 27, 2016

Appendicitis is also one of the most common surgical emergency and one of the most frequent cause of abdominal pain. It is the most frequent perform operation about 10% of all emergencis of the abdominal operations.² Being a very common disease condition with life time prevalence of 7 to 8%.^{3,4} Its incidence is 1.5-1.9/1000 in male and female population⁵. Therefore much efforts need to be directed towards early diagnosis and the earliest possible intervention. The diagnosis of acute appendicitis is based mainly on patients medical history based on clinical examination and few laboratory investigation like white blood cell counts. 6 The diagnosis might be obtained at surgery and after histopathological examination of the surgical specimen.⁷ The diagnostic accuracy in acute appendicitis (AA) has been improved by computer diagnosis, laparoscopy, computerized tomography scanning and even radioisotope imaging.⁸ The surgical cause of acute abdomen to be the prompt diagnosis rewarded by marked decrease in morbidity and mortality. The decision to perform surgery is based mainly on clinical evaluation along with laboratory data. Therefore diagnostic errors are common, resulting the frequency of perforation of 20%, negative laparotomy rate ranging from 2-30%. 10

In order to improve the diagnostic accuracy of acute appendicitis ultrasound and computed tomography include clinical aids ensuing in reduced unnecessary

^{2.} Department of Radiology, Mohtarma Benazir Bhutto Shaheed Medical College Mirpur Azad Kashmir

^{3.} Department of Surgery, Bolan Medical College Quetta

^{4.} Department of General Surgery, Shaikh Zayed Hospital, Lahore

laparotomy rates. 11,12,13 While ultrasound in expert hands can achieve a high degree of accuracy, its dependence on the operator may result in significant inter-observer variability in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. During the past few years, there has been a growing trend toward the use of formal probabilistic reasoning or quantitative data as a guide to clinical decision-making. 14

The negative appendicectomy of 20 to 40% have been reported in literature search and most of the surgeon report rate of 30% as inevitable in our settings. 11 Misdiagnosis, delay in surgery usually lead to complication like perforations and peritonitis among patients suffering from this condition. 15 Incorrect diagnosis of these patients of appendicitis often subjects the patient to unnecessary laparotomy surgical procedures. Study results by Flum et al from USA, the length of patients hospital stay, complications and mortality came out to be statistically significant higher for the cases of negative appendectomy. 16,17 The vermiform appendix by graded compression sonography technique seem is helpful for detect and diagnosing acute appendicitis with sensitivity and specificity 86% and 81% respectively. Various systems have been devised to aid in the diagnosis. 18,19

The 88.8% sensitivity with specificity of 75%, ²⁰ while PPV of Alvarado score to be 84.3% ¹⁵ 88% ²¹ 95.2% ²² and 98.1% respectively. ²³ By the experienced hand practitioners ultrasonography have reported sensitivities of 75 to 90% with specificities of 86 to 100% Accuracies of 87 to 96% with positive predictive value of 91to 94% and a negative predictive value of 89 of 97% for diagnosis of acute appendicitis.

There are some other scoring systems like Ran irez and Dues, the Alvarado system rely upon in patients clinical history, their physical examinations, some lab investigation and is quite easy to the compared to any other system. Where decision making of the acute appendicitis is difficult radiological investigation is not of much help through ultrasonoghraphy and laparoscopy and C.T scan hay be carried out.²⁴

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This cross sectional study was carried out from 01-01-2013 to 31-08-2013 at Departments of Surgery and Radiology, Shaikh Zayed Hospital Lahore. A total of 250 patients of Alvarado Score for diagnosis of acute appendicitis presenting from our out-patient and accident and the emergency departments were enrolled. The study subjects were explained the procedures and their consequence of our study. Adult patients were our in the study subjects.

RESULTS

The continuous variable like age, its mean and standard deviation were 35.27±12.57 years and there were males 184 (74%) and females 66 (26%) with 1.92:1 male to

female ratio. There was anorexia among 174 study subject with its percentage 70% while 76 with its percentage 30% had no symptom. Out of total subjects 124 (49.6%) had Nausea and vomiting while 126 (50.4%) had no symptom of nausea or vomiting. Tenderness in right iliac fossa was found in all patients. 236 (95%) patients have rebound tenderness. Elevated temperature was observed in 203 with percentage of 81%. Among 220 (88%), the leukocytosis >10,000 cells/L was observed in only 117 (47%) patients with white cell count.

The score of appendicitis, 8 (3%) had score 5, 13 (5%) had score 6. 127 (51%) had 7-8 score and 102 (41%) patients who had score 9-10 (Table 4). Two hundred and thirty patients (92%) had appendicitis and 20 (8%) had no ultrasound finding of appendicitis Table 5). Two hundred forty one patients (96%) had acute appendicitis and 9 patients (4%) had normal appendicitis (Table 6).

Table No.1: Frequency (fage (n=250)

Age (years)		Equency	Percentage
< 20	_	29	12.0
21–40		139	55.0
41-60	V	717	31.0
60		5	2.0

Table No. Prequency of genders

_	<u> </u>	Sex	Frequency	Percentage
		Male	184	74.0
		Female	66	26.0

Pable No.3: Frequency of Alvarado score

Alvarado Score	Patients Score			
variable	0	1	2	
Anorexia	76	174		
Allorexia	(30%)	(70%)	-	
Nausea and	126	124		
vomiting	(50.4%)	(496%)	-	
Tenderness in			250	
right iliac fossa	_	-	(100%)	
Rebound	13	236		
tenderness	(5%)	(95%)-	-	
Elevated	47	203		
temperature	(19%)	(81%)	-	
Leukocytosis	21	9	220	
>10,000 cells/L	(8%)	(4%)	(88%)	
Shifting of white	133	117		
cell count to left	(53%)	(47%)	_	

Table No.4: Frequency of total score of patients

Table 140.4. Frequency of total score of patients			
Patient's score	No.	%age	
5	8	3.0	
6	13	5.0	
7	45	18.0	
8	53	21.0	
9	57	23.0	
10	74	30.0	

Table No.5: Frequency of acute appendicitis on ultrasonography

Acute appendicitis	No.	%age
Yea	230	92.0
No	20	8.0

Table No.6: Frequency of Histopathology Finding of Patients

Histopathology findings	No.	%age
Acute appendicitis	241	96.0
Normal or chronic appendicitis	9	4.0

DISCUSSION

Good clinical acumen remains the mainstay of correct diagnosis of acute appendicitis. ^{25,26} In the present study the mean age was 35.27±12.57 years between 15-70 years. Khan²⁷ reported mean age was 20.2 years, Siddiqui²⁸ reported 28.7±11.9 years, Soomro²⁴ reported 20.47 years, Shah ²⁹ reported 20.6 years and Almulbim³⁰ reported the mean 21.7 years which are comparable to the present study.

In the present study 184 (74%) males and 66 (26%) females with a male to female ratio was 1.92:1. Khan et al²⁷ reported male to female 1:1.4. Soomro²⁴ reported 150 (67%) male and 77 (34%) were female. Talukder³¹ also reported that males were more susceptible than females with a male-female ratio of 1.38:1. Almulbim³⁰ 61% patients were male and 39% patients were female. The results are comparable to the present study Anorexia in 147 (74%) patients, pain in right iliac fos in all (250) patients, elevated temperature in 203 (870), nausea and vomiting in all patients, rebound tendernes in 236 (95%) patients and Leucocytosis >10,000 cells/L, raised in 220 (88%) cases were recorded in the present study. Soomro²⁴ reported that pain in 18th iliac fossa (67.8%), fever (66.9%), nause and vomiting (49.7%) and anorexia (62.7%). Of the signs in the patients undergoing surgery, trade as in right iliac fossa was found in 170 (91.96) cases, rebound tenderness in 149 (80.54) cases, elevated temperature in 156 (84.32%) cases. Regading investigations, TLC was raised in 140 (75.67%) cases.

Cobben³² stated that the right lower quadrant pain, and vomiting occurs in only 50% of cases. Nausea is present in 61-92% of patients; anorexia is present in 74-78% of patients. Vomiting that precedes pain is suggestive of intestinal obstruction, and the diagnosis of appendicitis should be reconsidered. Old³³ reported that abdominal pain in 99-100% patients, right lower quadrant pain/tenderness in 96% of patients, anorexia in 24–99%, nausea 62–90% of patients, vomiting 32–75%, migration of pain to right iliac fossa in 50% of cases and rebound tenderness in 26% of patients.

In a study conducted in United States that ultrasound (US) had a sensitivity of 68.4%. The negative appendectomy rate in patients with positive ultrasound was 5.5%. So, a "first-pass" approach using ultrasound

first and then computed tomography scan if ultrasound is not diagnostic may be desirable in some institutions.³⁴ In another retrospective study, carried out on 1,228 children with suspected appendicitis during 2003-2008 that children with suspected acute appendicitis, ultrasound first and then computed tomography scan was highly accurate (sensitivity, 98.6%; specificity; 90.6%). The negative appendicitis computed tomography rate was 8.1% (19 of 235 patients). The missed appendicitis rate was less than 0.5% (1 of 631 patients).³⁵ Poortman et al stated that graded-compression ultrasound complementary multidete computed tomography or computed tomography scanning, yields a high diagnostic accuracy for acute appendicitis. Although ultrasound is less accurate than computed tomography scanning, it can be used as a primary imaging modality and avoids the disadvantages of computed tomography scanning.36

In the present study, 256 (12%) patients had acute appendicitis. Two hundred and forty one patients (96%) had acute appendicition or histopathology and 9 (4%) patients had normal or chronic appendicitis which comparable to other study. Soomro²⁴ reported in his study in flamed appendix (58.37%), perforated appendix (24.32%) cappendicular mass (4.3%) and gangrenous appendix (9.18%). In 7 cases (3.78%), the appendix was found normal, resulting in a negative appearance to the study of the study o

In the present study, Alvarado scoring system showed that the accuracy of the diagnosis was very dependable and acceptable in higher scores but patients with lower scores should be under observation. Those patients who have 8 to 10 scores are almost certain to have appendicitis and they should undergo operation immediately, 5 to 7 scores indicate probable appendicitis and 4 or less scores are very unlikely but not impossible to have appendicitis and they can be discharged from hospital after giving initial conservative treatment.

CONCLUSION

The finding of acute appendicitis according to Alvarado score is a simple, reliable, non-invasive and safe diagnostic modality without extra expenses and complication.

Conflict of Interest: The study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

REFERENCES

- Santacroce L, Ochoa JB. Appendicitis. eMedicine General Surgery. Available from http://emedicine. medscape.com/article/195778; 2010.
- 2. Khan MN, Davie E, Irshad K. The role of white cell count and C-reactive protein in the diagnosis

- of acute appendicitis. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad 2004;16:51-55.
- 3. Ahmed AM, Vohra LM, Khaliq T, Lehri AA. Diagnostic accuracy of Alvarado score in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Pak J Med Sci 2009;25:118-21.
- 4. Kemal M, Bora K, Metin M, Ender O. The value of preoperative diagnostic tests in acute appendicitis, retrospective analysis of 196 patients. World J Emer Surg 2010;5:1749-92.
- Kamran H, Naveed D, Nazir A, Hameed M, Ahmad M, Khan U. Role of total leukocyte count in diagnosis of acute appendicitis. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad 2008;20:70-1.
- Khan I, Rehman A. Application of Alvarado score in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad 2005;17:41-4.
- 7. Shafi SM, Afsheen M, Reshi FA. Total leukocyte count, C-reactive protein and neutrophil count: Diagnostic aid in acute appendicitis. Saudi J Gastroenterol 2009;15:117-20.
- Augustin T, Bhende S, Charda K, Vandermeer T, Cagir B. Computed tomography scan and acute appendicitis: a five year analysis from a rural teaching hospital. J Gastrointest Surg 2009;13: 1306-12.
- 9. Poortman P, Oostrogel HJ, Bosma E, Lohle PN, Ceusta MA, et al. Improving diagnosis of acute appendicitis: results of a diagnostic pathway will standard use of ultrasonography followed by selective use of CT. J Am Coll Surg 2009;203 434-41.
- 10. Andersson R. Meta-analysis of the clinical and laboratory diagnosis of appendicus. L. J. Surg 2004;91(1):28–37.
- 11. Horzic M, Salamon A, Kop jar M, Skupnjak M, Cupurdija K, Vanjak D. Analysis of scores in diagnosis of acut appendict is in women. Coll Antropol 2005;29:13-38.
- 12. Liu JL, Wyatt JC, De S JJ, Clamp S, Keen J, Verde P. Systematic reviews of clinical decision tools for acute abdominal pain. Health Technol Assess 2006;10(47):1-167.
- 13. Shreef KS, Waly AH, Abd-Elrahman S, Abd Elhafez MA.Alvarado score as an admission criterion in children with pain in right iliac fossa. Afr J Paediatr Surg 2010;7(3):163-5.
- 14. Enochsson L, Gudbjartsson T, Hellberg A, Rudberg C, Wenner J, Ringqvist I. The Fenyö-Lindberg scoring system for appendicitis increases positive predictive value in fertile women--a prospective study in 455 patients randomized to either laparoscopic or open appendectomy. Surg Endosc 2004;18:1509-13.

- 15. West WM, Brady-West DC, McDonald AH, Hanchard B, Fearon-Booth D. Ultrasound and white blood cell counts in suspected acute appendicitis. West Indian Med J 2006; 55.
- Flum DR, Koepsell T. The clinical and economic correlates of misdiagnosed appendicitis: nationwide analysis. Arch Surg 2002;137:799–804.
- 17. Bernard A. Birnbaum, Stephanie R. Wilson. Appendicitis at the Millen. Radiol 2000;215: 337–48.
- 18. Goldman RD, Carter S, Stephens D, Antoon R, Mounstephen W. Prospective validation of the Pediatric appendicitis score. J Pediatr 2008;153: 278-82.
- 19. Dado G, Anania G, Baccarani U, Marcotti E, Donini A, Risaliti A. Application of a clinical score for the diagnosis of acute appendicitis in childhood. J Pediatr Sur 2000;35:1320-22.
- 20. McDonald GP, Pendar i DP, Wilmoth R, Daley BJ. Influence of preoperative computed tomography on patients undergoing appendectomy. Am Sprg 201;67:107-21.
- 21. Sinon D, Bring III JR. Sensitivity, specificity, and predictive value. In: Walker HK, Hall WD, Hurst W, editors. Clinical methods: The history, physical, and laboratory examinations, 3rd ed. Lohdon: Butterworth's; 1990.
- 2. Denizbasi A, Unluer EE. The role of the emergency medicine resident using the Alvarado score in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis compared with the general surgery resident. Eur J Emerg Med 2003;10:296-301.
- 23. Hsiao KH, Lin LH, Chen DF. Application of the Mantrels scoring system in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis in children. Acta Paediatr Taiwan 2005;46:128-31.
- 24. Soomro AG, Siddiqui FG, Abro AH, Abro S, Shaikh NA, Memon AS. Diagnostic accuracy of Alvarado scoring system in acute appendicitis. JLUMHS 2008;93-96.
- 25. Shrivastava UK, Gupta A, Sharma D. Evaluation of the Alvarado score in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. Trop Gastroenterol 2004;25:184-6.
- 26. Sadiq M, Amir S. Efficacy of modified Alvarado scoring system in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. J Postgrad Med Inst 2002;16:72-7.
- 27. Khan I, Rehman A. Application of Alvarado score in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad 2005;17:41-4.
- 28. Siddiqui ZR, Khaliq T, Shah SA. A new simple scoring system for the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. J Pak Med Stud 2011;1:32-7.
- 29. Shah NA, Islam M, Sabir IA, Mehreen T, Khan M. Combination of abdominal ultrasound and alvarado

- score, in patients with acute appendicitis. J Postgrad Med Inst 2008;22:41-6.
- 30. Almulbim ARS, Al-Sultan AI. Modified Alvarado score for acute appendicitis in overweight patients. Saudi Med J 2008;29:1184-87.
- 31. Talukder DB, Siddiq AKMZ. Modified Alvarado scoring system in the diagnosis of acute appendicitis. JAFMC Bangladesh 2009;5:18-20.
- 32. Cobben LP, de Van Otterloo AM, Puylaert JB. Spontaneously resolving appendicitis: frequency and natural history in 60 patients. Radiol 2000; 215(2):349-52.
- 33. Old J, Dusing RW, Yap W. Imaging for suspected appendicitis. Am Fam Physician 2005;71:71-8.
- 34. van Randen A, Bipat S, Zwinderman AH, Ubbink DT, Stoker J, Boermeester MA. Acute

- appendicitis: meta-analysis of diagnostic performance of CT and graded compression US related to prevalence of disease. Radiol 2008; 249:97-106.
- 35. Wan MJ, Krahn M, Ungar WJ, Caku E, Sung L, Medina LS, et al. Acute appendicitis in young children: Cost-effectiveness of US versus CT in diagnosis- a markov decision analytic model. Radiol 2008;19.
- 36. Poortman P, Oostvogel HJ, Bosma E. Improving diagnosis of acute appendicitis: results of a diagnostic pathway with standard use of ultrasonography followed by selective use of computed tomography. J Am Coll Surg 2009; 208:434-41.

