Original Article

Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes in Overweight and Obese Women: A Cross **Sectional Study**

Adverse Pregnancy in Overweight and Obese

Sana Iqbal, Nargis Shabana and Fatima Chaudhry Inayat

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine maternal outcomes of pregnancy among women having increased body mass index (more than 25).

Study Design: Descriptive cross-sectional study

Place and Duration of Study: This study was conducted at the Out-patient Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Nishtar Hospital Multan from 03-07-2014 to 03-01-2015.

Materials and Methods: 150 pregnant women were enrolled for the research after informed consent. A proforma was filled encompassing the patients data which was analysed by SPSS -17.

Results: Pre-eclampsia, Diabetes and Post partum hemorrhage was seen in 43.3 %, 21.3% and 46.7% % of the cases respectively.

Conclusion: Obesity confers a high risk of gestational PPH, pre-eclampsia and gestational diabetes in pregnant women.

Key Words: BMI, Pre-eclampsia, Gestational diabetes.

Citation of article: Iqbal S, Shabana N, Inayat FC. Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes in Overweight and Obese Women: A Cross Sectional Study. Med Forum 2020;31(3):35-38.

INTRODUCTION

WHO defines BMI of more than 25 as overweight and more than 30 as obese ¹. Obesity is effecting health of mankind across the globe in form of epidemic, showing a prevalence of 15 -20% and effecting health care system by costing 2-7% of total health expenditure. Obesity is more common in women than men as shown by study in PIMS Pakistan making women more susceptible to complications related to obesity. Maternal obesity worldwide posses a major challenge to obstetricians.

Pre-pregnancy weight of the mother and gain in pregnancy effect both maternal and fetal outcome. Prepregnancy weight has a significant relation with birth weight not only in industrialized as well as developing countries. Similarly, increase in weight because of pregnancy has an independent correlation. Maternal obesity challenges maternal and neonatal health by imposing complications such postdates hence artificial initiation of labour, macrosomic fetus,

Department of Obs. and Gynae, Fazaia Medical College, Islamabad.

Correspondence: Sana Iqbal, Senior Registrar, Department of Obs. and Gynae, Fazaia Medical College, Islamabad.

Contact No: 0900-7038806 Email: sanaigbal 349@yahoo.com

Received: August, 2019 December, 2019 Accepted: Printed: March, 2020

shoulder dystocia, prolonged labour, heavy blood loss, abdominal delivery rates and neonatal hospitalisation. Maternal obesity is also linked with congenital malformations⁴⁻⁵

Athukorala et al. found pre-eclampsia and cesarean section in 11.4% and 36.4% in obese women respectively. ⁶ Similarly gestational diabetes mellitus has been reported as 21.1% in obese pregnant women in a study.8,9

The risk of post partum hemorrhage due to atony increases rapidly with increasing BMI as much as two higher risk seen in obesity class III. 10,11 Correlation of obesity and hypertensive disorders in pregnancy have been found in a study carried out in Australia. 12 Researches conducted in Pakistan have also narrated higher cesarean section rate as much as 39.8% ¹³ and post partum hemorrhage as about 45% among obese mothers¹⁴.

Humankind is exposed to calamity of obesity because of changes in lifestyle including high fat diet and lack of physical activity. Owing to the possible prevention and lack of research in this part of world regarding effect of obesity on pregnancy outcomes this study was planned so that we can understand the effect of raised BMI which is a modifiable anthropometric risk factor could be acquired and a management plan could be established, as it will help in devising a management plan starting well before pregnancy to prevent and cater for these complications hence improving maternal and neonatal outcome. In addition the results of this study have generated practical and beneficial database helping healthcare professionals to pre-empt these outcomes and improving their antenatal, intra partum and post partum care.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This descriptive cross sectional study was conducted at the Out-patient Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Nishtar Hospital Multan for six months from 03-07-2014 to 03-01-2015. Total of 150 patients were enlisted in study group. Non-Probability consecutive sampling technique was used.

Inclusion Criteria

- Any gestational age.
- Women with BMI >25.
- Age 15 to 39 years.

Exclusion Criteria

- Chronic hypertensive patients.
- Type 1 and 2 diabetes mellitus.
- Low lying placenta.
- History of recurrent pregnancy loss.
- Previous abdominal deliveries.
- Those who didn't give consent of participation.

Data Collection Procedure: Pregnant ladies attending obstetric clinics of Nishtar hospital Multan with BMI > 25 kg/m²were registered in the study after informed consent.

Ethical considerations were taken care of and formal approval was taken from the Ethical Committee of the Institution. Detailed history and physical examination including BMI was done and relevant information was filled in a specifically designed proforma. Patients were followed throughout their pregnancy for development of pre-eclampsia, gestational diabetes mellitus and during delivery for occurrence of post-partum hemorrhage. These developments were also noted in the respective proforma by the researcher.

Data Analysis Procedure: Data analysis was done by using SPSS version17. Mean and standard deviation was calculated for age and gestational age of the patients. Forpre-eclampsia, gestational diabetes mellitus and post-partum haemorrhage frequencies and percentages were calculated.

Stratification was done for effect modifiers like age and gestational age. Post stratification chi-square test was

applied. P value equal or less than 0.05 was considered as significant.

RESULTS

Mean ages of patients of my study were 30.47 ± 4.18 years. Mean gestational ages of these study cases were 35.63 ± 3.91 weeks. Mean parity of these study cases was 3.31 ± 1.15 . Mean BMI values of these study cases were 29.97 ± 2.91 Kg/m².Majority of our study cases i.e. 95 (63.3%) had BMI in the range of 26-30 Kg/m² while none of our study cases presented with BMI more than 36 Kg/m² (Table-1).

65 out of 150 cases amounting 43.3% had preeclampsia in our study cases which is quite high, while gestational diabetes mellitus was seen in 32 out of 150 ladies accounting for 21.3% of the study cases . 70 out of 150 pregnant ladies making 46.7% of total ended having post partum hemorrhage during delivery. (Table 2).

The study results have also shown that pre-eclampsia was significantly more seen in the women with BMI $25\text{-}30~\text{kg/m}^2$ as calculated p-value was 0.003 (Table-3). Gestational diabetes mellitus and post-partum hemorrhage were both insignificantly associated with BMI as p-values calculated were 0.063 for each (Table-3).

Table No.1: Distribution of BMI among study cases. (n=150)

BMI	Frequency	Percentage
(kg/m^2)		
26-30	95	63.3
31-35	55	36.7
Total	150	100

Table No.2: Frequency of pre-eclampsia, Gestational Diabetes and Post Partum Haemorrhage among study cases. (n=150)

		Yes	%age	No	%age
1.	Pre- eclampsia	65	43.3	85	56.7
2.	Gestational	32	21.3	118	78.7
	diabetes				
3.	Post Partum	70	46.7	80	53.3
	Haemorrhage				

Table No.3: Stratification of Pre-eclampsia, Gestational Diabetesand Postpartum Hemorrhage with respect to BMI. (n=150)

. (,									
	Pre-eclampsia			Gestation	al Diabetes		Postpartum Hemorrhage		
	Yes	No	p-	Yes	No	p-	Yes	No	p-
BMI	(n=65)	(n=85)	value	(n=32)	(n=118)	value	(n=70)	(n=80)	value
(kg/m^2)									
25-30 (n=95)	50	45	0.003	25	70	1 0 063 ⊢	50	45	0.063
31-35 (n=55)	15	40		7	48		20	35	

DISCUSSION

Adverse health hazards related to certain medical disorders such as heart diseases, diabetes mellitus and

cancer are augmented by increase in body weight. During pregnancy obesity puts mother and fetus at risk of certain problems like pre eclampsia, diabetes, preterm birth and abdominal delivery. All these effects on maternal and fetal health make the mother and fetus vulnerable to adverse outcome.

Major factors related with these perinatal complications are not amenable to available interventions. Latest epidemiologic reports have indicated that weight control particularly in those women who are planning their first pregnancy, have the potential to affect these gestational outcomes.

Pre-eclampsia was found in 43.3% of cases in our study higher than results of studies done in Karachi by Jaleel et al and Ali et al, in which 30.3% 23.3% of cases had preeclampsia 15,16.

Worldwide variable frequency of pre eclampsia has been reported for example,32.4% in Egypt¹⁷, 34% in Australia¹⁸,30% in USA¹⁹ and as low as 15.3% in Saudi Arabia²⁰ and 11.4% reported by Athukorala et al⁶.This wide variation may be explained by variable propensity of different ethnic societies for hypertension.

Gestational diabetes, in our study, was seen in 21.3% of the study cases while Mehar-un-Nisa¹⁸ reported 12.8% gestational diabetes mellitus among Saudi women. Gestational diabetes among obese women of Egypt has been reported to be 11.8% by Ahmed et al¹⁶. Lu et al has reported 30% rate of gestational diabetes mellitus in obese pregnant females²¹This wide variation in prevalence may partly be explained by increase propensity of diabetes among some ethnic populations.

Our study results have indicated that post partum hemorrhage (PPH)occurred in 46.7% of the cases comparable to a study done by Fatima et al ¹⁴ who reported45 % occurrence rate among obese women. Occurrence rate as high as 70 %for post partum hemorrhage in obese women have also been reported in literature as in a study by Sibre et al.²²

Limitations of our study included small sample size for generalization of result. Pre-pregnancy weight should ideally be taken to quantify the relation of obesity to complications separately from the excessive weight gain during pregnancy which is an independent risk for these complications.

CONCLUSION

Obesity places a pregnant women at risk of development of gestational diabetes, PPH, pre-eclampsia. Weight loss programs prepregnacy directing obese women attending prepregnancy clinics and avoidance of excessive weight gain during pregnancy can help to avoid certain percentage of these complication thus decreasing associated morbidity and mortality as well as health cost.

Author's Contribution:

Concept & Design of Study: Sana Iqbal
Drafting: Nargis Shabana
Data Analysis: Fatima Chaudhry Inayat

Revisiting Critically: Sana Iqbal, Nargis

Shab

Shabana

Final Approval of version: Sana Iqbal

Conflict of Interest: The study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

REFERENCES

- 1. Galtier F, Raingeard I, Renard E, Boulot P, Bringer J. Optimizing the outcome of pregnancy in obese women from pre-gestation to long term management. Diabetes Metab 2008;34(1):19-25.
- 2. Kiran TSU, Hemmadi S, Bethel J, Evans J. outcome of pregnancy in women with an increased body mass index. Int J Obstet Gynecol (BJOG) 2005;112:768-72.
- 3. Callaway LK, Prins JB, Chang AM, Mc Intyre HD. The prevalence and impact of overweight and obesity in an Australian obstetric population. Med J Aust 2006;182(2):56-9.
- 4. Shahab U, Tahir S. Effect of obesity on cesarean section rate. J Surg Pak 2010;15(2):92-96.
- 5. Fatima S, Rehman A, Gangat SA, Kamal A, Zeeshan A. To compare maternal and fetal outcome in obese verses non-obese laboring mothers. J University Med Dental Coll 2011;2 (2):28-32.
- 6. Owens LA, O'Sullivan EP, Kirwan B, Avalos G, Gaffney G, Dunne F. ATLANTIC DIP: the impact of obesity on pregnancy outcome in glucosetolerant women. Diabetes Care 2010;33:577-9.
- 7. Crane JM, Murphy P, Burrage L, Hutchens D. Maternal and perinatal outcomes of obesity in pregnancy. J Obstet Gynecol Can 2013;35:606-11.
- 8. Abrams b, Parker J. Overweight and pregnancy complications. Int J Obes 1998;12:293-303.
- 9. Edwards LE, Dickens WF, Alton IR, Hakanson EY. Pregnancy in massively obese: course, outcome and obesity prognosis of the infant. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1978;131:479-83.
- 10. Blomberg M. Maternal obesity and risk of postpartum hemorrhage. Obstet Gynecol 2011; 118(3):561-8.
- 11. Fyfe EM, Thompson JM, Anderson NH, Groom KM, McCowan LM. Maternal obesity and postpartum haemorrhage after vaginal and caesarean delivery among nulliparous women at term: a retrospective cohort study.BMC Pregnancy Childbirth 2012; 12:112.
- 12. Callaway LK, Prins JB, Chang AM, Mc Intyre HD. The prevalence and impact of overweight and obesity in an Australian obstetric population. Med J Aust 2006;182(2):56-9.
- 13. Shahab U, Tahir S. Effect of obesity on cesarean section rate. J Surg Pak. 2010;15(2):92-96.
- 14. Fatima S, Rehman A, Gangat SA, Kamal A, Zeeshan A. To compare maternal and fetal outcome in obese verses non-obese laboring

- mothers. J University Med Dental Coll 2011;2 (2):28-32.
- 15. Jaleel R. The impact of maternal obesity on pregnancy outcomes. J Surg Pak 2009;14(1):2-6.
- 16. Ahmed SR, Ellah MAA, Mohamed OA, Eid HM. Pregnancy obesity and pregnancy outcome. Int J Health Sci 2009;3(2):203-8.
- 17. Ali HS, Lakhani N. Effect of obesity and its outcome among pregnant women. Pak J Med Sci. 2011;27(5):1126-8.
- Anderson NH, McCowan LME, Fyfe EM, Chan EHY, Taylor RS, Stewart AW. The Impact of maternal Body Mass Index on the phenotype of pre-eclampsia: a prospective cohort study. BJOG 2012;DOI:10.1111/j.1471-0528.2012.03278.x.

- 19. Roberts JM, Bodnar LM, Powers RW. The role of obesity in pre-eclampsia. Pregnancy Hypertens 2011;1(1):6-22.
- 20. Mehar-Un-Nisa, Aslam M, Ahmed SR, Rajab M, Kattea L. Impact of obesity on fetomaternal outcomes in pregnant Saudi females. Int J Health Sci;3(2):187-95.
- 21. Lu GC, Rouse DJ, DuBard M, Cliver S, Kimberlin D, Hauth JC. The effect of the increasing prevalence of maternal obesity on perinatal morbidity. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2001;185:845-9.
- 22. Sebire NJ, Jolly M, Harris JP, Wadsworth J, Joffe M, Beard RW, et al. Maternal obesity and pregnancy outcome: a study of 287,213 pregnancies in London. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 2001;25:1175-82.