# Original ArticleOutcome of Open Fractures of<br/>Tibia Treated with Interlocking &Open Fractures of<br/>Tibia Treated with<br/>Intermedullary Nail in Terms of Infection and Union

Umair Ahmed<sup>1</sup>, Mudassar Hassan<sup>2</sup>, Ubaid Ullah Khan<sup>3</sup> and Zubair Khalid<sup>1</sup>

# ABSTRACT

**Objective:** To find out the outcome of Gustilo Anderson Type I,II,III, A open fractures of tibia treated with intramedullary nail.

Study Design: A case series study

**Place and Duration of the Study:** This study was conducted at the Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Ghurki Trust Teaching Hospital, Lahore from January 2019 to December 2019.

**Materials and Methods:** This case series study was done evaluating 60 patients presenting to us with Gustilo-Anderson I, II and III A open tibial fractures (OTFs). All patients were aged 18-70 years and had open diaphyseal tibial fractures. All included patients had a minimum follow up period of 6 months. All patients were managed adopting pre-op antibiotics, prompt debridement, fixation and primary closure. Demographic data of all cases along with mechanism of injury, time of fixation, flap coverage, union and infection rates were noted.

**Results:** Overall, mean age was noted to be  $37.17\pm13.5$  years. Majority of the patients, 55 (91.7%) were male. Left side was turned to be involved in majority of the cases, 32 (53.3%). Road-traffic accidents (RTA) were found to be commonest mechanism of injury. Overall, mean time to union was noted to be  $35.8\pm8.17$  weeks. Union was observed in 46 (76.7%). Comparatively, older age and smoking were also found to be significantly associated with delayed union (p<0.05). Infections were recorded among 14 (23.3%) cases. Infection was found to be significantly more common among older age and smokers (p<0.05).

**Conclusion:** Rates of delayed union and infections are high among GustiloAnderson Type IIIA open tibial fracture cases treated with intramedullary nailing. Comparatively younger age and no history of smoking were found to have significant relation with union and no infection post-surgery.

Key Words: Open tibial fractures, intramedullary nailing, union, infection.

Citation of article: Ahmed U, Hassan M, Khan U, Khalid Z. Outcome of Open Fractures of Tibia Treated with Interlocking & Intermedullary Nail in Terms of Infection and Union. Med Forum 2020;31(12):90-93.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Tibia is known to be the commonest long bone involved in fractures among humans. Treating open tibial fractures (OTFs) is not easy and controversies surround views about best approach to treatment. "Gustilo-Anderson" OTF injuries require debridement, appropriate fixation .Tibial fractures commonly occur due to high-velocity injuries, like falling from height or crush trauma injuries.

Correspondence: Dr. Zubair Khalid, Postgraduate Resident (Ortho), Ghurki Trust Teaching Hospital, Lahore. Contact No: 3326125051 Email: zubair.khalid262@gmail.com

Received:	March, 2020
Accepted:	August, 2020
Printed:	December, 2020

Some of these fractures also result among patients having multiple injuries.<sup>2</sup> Main goals treating these individuals are to reinstate bony union without infection and completely functional pain-free limb.<sup>3</sup>Patients treated with these fractures are prone to significant rates of non-union as well as infections. GustilloAnderson OTFs can also result in loss of limb among otherwise healthy adults.<sup>4,5</sup>

Treating open fractures is considered an orthopedic emergency.<sup>6</sup> Traditional approach consists of external fixator and if possible within 6 hours of injury.<sup>7</sup> Effectiveness of intramedullary nails for acute management of OTFs is controversial mainly because of the risk of osteomyelitis among immunecompromised host and delays of more than 6 hours in operative management.<sup>8,9</sup> Outcome of open fractures also varies among different institutions. Some of the most important aspects of judging outcomes of open fractures have been infection, non-union and amputation.<sup>10</sup> Data enlisting outcomes of open fracture can also give us evidence about the quality of care and practices in different institutions. We aimed this study to find out outcome of Gustilo Anderson open fractures of tibia treated with intramedullary nail.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1.</sup> Department of Orthopaedic, Ghurki Trust Teaching Hospital, Lahore.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Ortho, Pak Red Crescent Medical and Dental College, Dina Nath, Kasur.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1.</sup> Department of Ortho, DHQ Hospital Ghalanai,District Mohmand.

### December, 2020

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

This case series study was done evaluating 60 patients presenting to us with Gustilo-Anderson I, II, IIIAOTFs during January 2019 to December 2019 at The Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Ghurki Trust Teaching Hospital, Lahore.All patients were aged 18-70 years and had open diaphyseal tibial fractures. All included patients had a minimum follow up period of 6 months. Patients who were skeletally immature or had tibial fractures other than Gustilo-Anderson I,II, IIIA were not enrolled. All patients with preexisting external fixator that got changed to an intramedullary nail were also excluded.All cases who were referred from other settings were also not enrolled in this study as they were suspected to have delayed presentations. The study was approved by Institutional Ethical Committee. Written consent was seeked from all study participants.

All patients were managed adopting prompt debridement, fixation and primary closure as feasible. Demographic data of all cases along with mechanism of injury, time of fixation, union and infection rates were noted.Union was confirmed with radiographic evidence of callus bridging at least 3 cortices. Evaluation of radiological union was done by an FCPS orthopedic surgeon having at least 3 year post-fellowship experience. Details about infections, existence of microbes along with bacterial cultures were recorded. Deep infection as labeled as infection needing surgical debridement with positive tissue or bone cultures.

For data entry and analysis, SPSS version 26.0 was employed.Age was presented as mean and standard deviation while qualitative data like gender, sides involved, mechanism of injury, presence of infection, union/non-union, and factors related to delayed union were shown as frequency and percentages. Chi square test was used to compare qualitative variables while quantitative data (age) was compared using independent sample t-test. P value below 0.05 was taken as significant.

# **RESULTS**

At total of 60 patients were included. All cases were given intravenous antibiotics and managed surgically adopting thorough wound debridement and temporary fracture stabilization at the initial surgery. Overall, mean age was noted to be 37.17+13.5 years. Majority of the patients, 55 (91.7%) were male. Left side was turned to be involved in majority of the cases, 32 (53.3%). Road-traffic accidents (RTA) were found to be commonest mechanism of injury. Table number 1 shows characteristics of study participants.

Table number 2 is showing significant relationship of Gustilo-Anderson types open fractures with respect to union and revision or delayed union (p<0.001). Type-III A open fractures were noticed to have significant relationship with revision or delayed-union.

Table No.1:	Characteristics	of Study	<b>Participants</b>
-------------	-----------------	----------	---------------------

Table No.1: Characteristics of Study Farticipants				
Characte	Number (%)			
Gender	Male	55 (91.7%)		
	Female	5 (8.3%)		
Side Involved	Left	32 (53.3%)		
	Right	28 (46.7%)		
Mechanism of	Road-Traffic	40 (66.7%)		
Injury	Accident			
	Industrial	14 (23.3%)		
	Reasons			
	Assault	2 (3.3%)		
	Sports	2 (3.3%)		
	Falling from	2 (3.3%)		
	Height			
Gustilo-Anderson	Ι	30 (50.0%)		
Types				
	II	20 (33.3%)		
	III	10 (16.7%)		

Table No.2: Distribution of Gultilo-Anderson Typ	es
Open Fractures with respect to Union or Revision	1 /
Delayed Union	

Gustilo- Anderson Types	Union (n=46)	Revision or Delayed Union (n=14)	P-Value
I (n=30)	28(60.9%)	2 (14.3%)	< 0.0001
II (n=20)	16(34.8%)	4 (28.6%)	
III-A (n=10)	2(4.3%)	8 (57.1%)	

Table	No.3:	Factors	Linked	with	Revision	or
Delayed Union						

Factors		Revision	Union	P-
		or	(n=46)	Value
		Delayed		
		Union		
		(n=14)		
Age in Y	ears	39.51+12	30.81+14	0.046
(Mean+SD)		.8	.3	0
Gender	Male	12	43	0.357
		(85.7%)	(93.5%)	4
	Femal	2 (14.3%)	3 (6.5%)	
	e			
Smoker	Yes	6(42.9%)	8 (17.4%)	0.048
	No	8 (57.1%)	38	5
			(82.6%)	
Time from	<6	9 (64.3%)	31	0.829
injury to			(73.9%)	1
1 <sup>st</sup>	>6	5 (35.7%)	15	
Debrideme			(26.1%)	
nt (hours				

Overall, mean time to union was noted to be 35.8+8.17 weeks. Union was observed in 46 (76.7%) cases. Table number 3 is showing factors linked to delayed union or revision. Comparatively, older age was significantly linked to delayed union (p=0.0460). Smoking was also

## Med. Forum, Vol. 31, No. 12

found to be significantly associated with delayed union (42.9% vs. 17.4%, p=0.0485). Early surgical debridement was not noted to have significant effect on union. Infections were recorded among 14 (23.3%) cases. Out of these 14 cases, 9 were treated with antibiotics and wound wash while remaining 5 had removal of implant andilizarov applied.

# DISCUSSION

Treatment of OTFs is considered tricky and satisfactory outcomes depend upon multiple factors.<sup>10</sup>Lots of work has been done describing various forms of treatment for OTFs but best treatment option is yet to be labeled. Intramedullary nailing is shown to have good success for the treatment of OTFs. This study is one of the biggest open tibial GustiloAnderson fractures series documented in the recent years in Pakistan. In the present study, 91.7% of the cases were male. Data from other parts of the world have reported male predominance ranging from 66-93.2% cases of OTFs.<sup>11,12</sup> Data from Malaysia revealed 84.6% of OTF cases to be male.<sup>13</sup> In a country like Pakistan where males are more exposed to outdoor and daily living activities, a clear predominance of male cases forming majority of OTF cases is not surprising.

RTAs were the commonest cause of OTFs in the present study, comparing 66.7% of total cases. Local study conducted by Haq SN et al also revealed that 78.5% cases of open diaphyseal fractures of tibia were caused due to RTAs.<sup>14</sup>Data from Nigeria revealed 71% cases due to RTAs while researchers from developed countries also noted RTAs to cause 58% of OTFs.<sup>11,15</sup>

In this study, 23.3% had non-union or delayed union and needed further treatment. A study done by Singh A et al reported that 41.1% of the treated GustiloAnderson OTF cases required further procedures following delayed union.<sup>12</sup> Singh A et al revealed that intramedullary nailing in the treatment of OTFs is associated with high rates of non-union or delayed union and require revision and further treatment. In the present study, Infections were recorded among 23.3% cases. The infection rates seen among OTFs range between 9-52%.<sup>12,16-18</sup> Appropriate debridement along with young age and no prior history of smoking can certainly aid minimizing chances of infection. Infection rate is considered to be directly linked to severity of injuries as described by "Gustilo-Anderson Classification" and host comorbidities. Superficial infections commonly resolve and need minimal interventions while deep infections require multiple additional surgeries and form major chuck of morbidities. In the present work, out of a total of 14 patients who experienced infections, 9 were treated with antibiotics and wound wash while remaining 5 had removal of implant and ilizarov applied.

In the present study, smoking was linked to delayed union and infection. Similar findings have been reported by Singh A et al where history of smoking was significantly associated with non-union and presence of infections following treatment of OTFs.<sup>12</sup> Schmitz MA et al also reported prolonged time of healing among smokers with OTFs while Patel RA et al in their systemic review evaluating "effect of smoking on bone healing" revealed very similar findings.<sup>19,20</sup>

Our study had some limitations as well. Being a single center study, the findings cannot be generalized. We also did not have any comparator group so cannot conclude intramedullary nailing as the best possible choice handling Gustilo AndersonOTFs. We were also unable to record functional outcome, patient's preference and cost issues in the present research.

# CONCLUSION

Rates of delayed union and infections are high among GustiloAndersonTYPE IIIA open tibial fracture cases treated with intramedullary nailing. Comparatively younger age and no history of smoking were found to have significant relation with union and no infection post-surgery.

Acknowledgement: The authors would like to thank Muhammad Aamir (Research Consultant, Bahawalpur), for his volunteer assistance in statistical analysis of this research.

## Author's Contribution:

Concept & Design of Study:	Umair Ahmed
Drafting:	Mudassar Hassan
Data Analysis:	Ubaid Ullah Khan,
	Zubair Khalid
Revisiting Critically:	Umair Ahmed, Mudassar
	Hassan
Final Approval of version:	Umair Ahmed

**Conflict of Interest:** The study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Ryan SP, Pugliano V. Controversies in initial management of open fractures. Scand J Surg 2013; 103:132-37.
- 2. Pollak AN, McCarthy ML, Burgess AR. Shortterm wound complications after application of flaps for coverage of traumatic soft-tissue defects about the tibia. The Lower Extremity Assessment Project (LEAP) Study Group. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2000;82-A:1681–91.
- 3. Henley M, Chapman J, Agel J, Harvey E, Whorton AM, Swiontkowski MF. Treatment of type II, IIIA, and IIIB open fractures of the tibial shaft: a prospective comparison of unreamed interlocking intramedullary nails and half-pin external fixators. J Orthop Trauma 1998;12:1-7.

- 4. Carroll EA, Koman LA. External fixation and temporary stabilization of femoral and tibial trauma. J Surg Orthop Adv 2011;20:74-81.
- Bhandari M, Guyatt GH, Swiontkowski MF, Schemitsch EH. Treatment of open fractures of the shaft of the tibia. J Bone Joint Surg Br 2001;83: 62-8.
- Harley BJ, Beaupre LA, Jones CA, Dulai SK, Weber DW. The effect of time to definitive treatment on the rate of nonunion and infection in open fractures. J Orthop Trauma 2002;16:484-90.
- Pollak AN, Jones AL, Castillo RC, Bosse MJ, MacKenzie EJ; LEAP Study Group. The relationship between time to surgical debridement and incidence of infection after open high-energy lower extremity trauma. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2010;92(1):7-15.
- Khatod M, Botte MJ, Hoyt DB, Meyer RS, Smith JM, Akeson WH. Outcomes in open tibia fractures: relationship between delay in treatment and infection. J Trauma 2003;55:949-54.
- 9. Harrison W, Lewis C, Lavy C. Open fractures of the tibia in HIV positive patients:a prospective controlled single-blind study. Injury 2004;34: 852-56.
- O'Brien C, Menon M,Jomha N. Controversies in the management of open fractures. Open Orthop J 2014;8(1):178-84.
- Court-Brown CM, Rimmer S, Prakash U, McQueen MM. The epidemiology of open long bone fractures. Injury 1998;29:529–34.
- 12. Singh A, JiongHao JT, Wei DT, Liang CW, Murphy D, et al. Gustilo IIIB Open Tibial

Fractures: An Analysis of Infection and Nonunion Rates. Indian J Orthop 2018;52(4):406-410.

- Jamaluddin SF, Wahab MA, Mohamed FL, Saiboon IM. National Trauma Database January to December 2007. Second Report Kuala Lumpur, MY: National Trauma Database;2009.
- Haq SNU, Kumar S. Distribution of open diaphysial fractures of tibia gustilo type IIIA and IIIB. Gomal J Med Sci 2017;15:38-41.
- 15. Ikem IC, Oginni LM, Bamgboye EA. Open fractures of the lower limb in Nigeria. Int Orthop 2001;25:386–8.
- 16. Gustilo RB, Mendoza RM, Williams DN. Problems in the management of type III (severe) open fractures: A new classification of type III open fractures. J Trauma 1984;24:742–6.
- 17. Singh J, Rambani R, Hashim Z, Raman R, Sharma HK. The relationship between time to surgical debridement and incidence of infection in grade III open fractures. Strategies Trauma Limb Reconstr 2012;7:33–7.
- Chua W, Murphy D, Siow W, Kagda F, Thambiah J. Epidemiological analysis of outcomes in 323 open tibial diaphyseal fractures: A nine-year experience. Singapore Med J 2012;53:385–9.
- Schmitz MA, Finnegan M, Natarajan R, Champine J. Effect of smoking on tibial shaft fracture healing. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1999;365:184–200.
- 20. Patel RA, Wilson RF, Patel PA, Palmer RM. The effect of smoking on bone healing: A systematic review. Bone Joint Res 2013;2:102–11.